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CHAPTER 1

General introduction
RISK ASSESSMENT OF CHEMICALS

In the past century, major events such as the industrial revolution and World War I and II took place, which resulted in a significant increase of the development and production of chemicals. Many of these chemicals are beneficial for our life as they for example facilitate the production of crops as fertiliser or protect them from pests, they are used as pharmaceuticals, applied to produce a wide variety of consumer goods, or are used in chemical synthesis. Consequently, chemical exposure has become ubiquitous in daily life, from the moment of conception until death. Exposure to chemicals, however, may also lead to adverse health effects. A classical example is the synthetic hormone diethylstilbestrol (DES) which was prescribed to millions of women around the world, predominantly in the period of 1940 till late 1970s (Troisi et al. 2007), to prevent miscarriages and premature labour (National Toxicology Program 2011). It was considered safe and effective until the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) advised physicians in 1971 to discontinue prescribing DES due to a link to a rare vaginal cancer in female offspring (Anon. 1972). Now, millions of people, both DES mothers and their (grand)children suffer from or are at increased risk to a variety of adverse health effects, like vaginal and cervical cancer (Verloop et al. 2010), breast cancer (Hoover et al. 2011; Titus-Ernstoff et al. 2001), infertility (Senekjian et al. 1988; Verloop et al. 2010) and urogenital abnormalities (Klip et al. 2002). This event and many other incidences reported in the previous century have initiated a more thorough pre-market testing and safety evaluation of novel chemicals.

Over time, dozens of regulations and directives on the safety of compounds have come into force within Europe, like the European regulation on food additives (EC 2008), the European regulation on pesticides (EC 2009a) and the directive on pharmacovigilance (EC 2010). In Europe, health and safety tests for industrial chemicals did not become compulsory until 1981 (Čihák, 2009). As a result, more than 100,000 chemicals have been marketed in Europe prior to 1981, which have not yet been (fully) tested on safety (Čihák, 2009). A more or less similar situation is encountered in the US; since the late 1970s about 84,000 industrial chemicals that are manufactured and/or processed in the USA are listed on the US Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) inventory (EPA 2015), but chemical companies were not obliged to provide specific toxicological evaluations although this information may be required by the EPA (National Research Council 2006). From the time the TSCA was enacted in 1976 until 2009, additional toxicity information was required for only less than 200 chemicals (GOA 2013), leaving a large number of chemicals for which no adequate toxicological data are available. Insight into the possible health effects of these chemicals is therefore highly desirable. With the enforcement of the European Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and restriction of Chemicals (REACH) regulation in 2007 (EC 2007), the EU aims to collect chemical safety information of chemicals that are produced or imported at levels > 1 tonne/
yr, in the period from 2007-2018. The estimated number of chemicals to be registered under REACH lays within 68,000-100,000 (Rovida and Hartung 2009).

Traditionally, human health risk assessment consists of four steps, the hazard identification, dose-response assessment, exposure assessment and risk characterisation (National Research Council 1983), and enables estimation of the probability of adverse health effects in humans due to a chemical exposure. At the current state-of-the-art both the hazard identification and the dose-response assessment of chemicals rely heavily on the results of in vivo toxicity studies in experimental animals. To be able to fill the data gaps on the safety information of industrial chemicals, millions of animals would be required. Rovida and Hartung (2009) estimated that for the implementation of REACH, 114 million vertebrate animals might be needed. The greatest contribution to the total number of animals required has been estimated to come from three toxicity tests only, namely the developmental toxicity screening test (28.13%), the two-generation reproduction toxicity test (31.95%) and the long-term fish toxicity test (26.62%) (Rovida and Hartung 2009). The use of such a high number of experimental animals for safety testing of chemicals is generally regarded unethical, and not acceptable from a financial point of view (Rovida and Hartung 2009) and it would also require an enormous capacity of laboratories to carry out that many animal tests within the proposed period of time (IEH 2001). Because of these drawbacks, the application of alternatives to animal experiments is enforced within REACH (EC 2007) and the Cosmetic Products Regulation (EC 2009b). Moreover, a US National Research Council report on toxicity testing in the 21st century (National Research Council 2007) describes a transition in toxicity testing from relying on apical endpoints determined in in vivo studies towards application of in vitro tests to assess perturbations of toxicity pathways that are relevant for human biology. These initiatives promote that the safety evaluation of chemicals is evolving from using standalone animal toxicity tests towards the application of innovative non-animal based in vitro approaches to predict toxicity.

Over the past decades many efforts have been undertaken to develop alternatives to animal testing for a wide range of toxicological endpoints and parameters. In vitro toxicity assays are mainly used to screen and prioritise chemicals for further toxicity testing. Translation of in vitro toxicity data to the in vivo situation is an important, but limiting step for the use of in vitro toxicity outcomes in the regulatory risk assessment of chemicals, as in vitro derived outcomes of toxicity screening data do not always reflect in vivo toxicity ranking values (Blaauboer 2010). Moreover, the potential of in vitro tests to provide adequate quantitative toxicity values for the hazard and risk assessment of chemicals is limited. An important reason underlying this limitation is that an in vitro assay cannot provide a complete in vivo dose-response curve from which a point of departure (PoD) for risk assessment, like the no observed adverse effect level (NOAEL) or the 95% lower confidence limit of the benchmark dose (BMDL), can be derived. To overcome this constraint, in vitro toxicity tests
could be combined with physiologically based kinetic (PBK) modelling (Forsby and Blaauboer 2007; Louisse et al. 2010; Verwei et al. 2006). PBK models describe the absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion (ADME) of a compound and may provide the link between in vitro derived toxicity data and in vivo toxicity values. Information describing the ADME characteristics can be obtained from in vivo kinetic studies, which have formed the basis for many PBK model parameters (Clewell and Clewell III 2008; Krewski et al. 1994). Along with the development of in vitro toxicity assays, in vitro and in silico approaches describing ADME processes have received increasing attention over the past years and may be used to parameterise PBK models (Rietjens et al. 2011). Combining in vitro toxicity data with PBK models, using in silico and in vitro derived kinetic parameters and information reported in the literature can be a next step to optimally contribute to a replacement, reduction and refinement (3Rs) of animal testing for the qualitative and quantitative safety assessment of chemicals.

Deriving human guidance values for chemicals is an important step for the risk assessment of chemicals and as outlined above frequently relies on animal data. Besides that alternatives to animal testing are required for ethical and financial reasons, alternative approaches might also provide better toxicity estimates for the human situation. At present, for non-genotoxic compounds PoDs derived from animal toxicity studies are extrapolated to human guidance values by applying default uncertainty factors that account for the inter- and intraspecies kinetic and dynamic differences (IPCS 2005). The default uncertainty factors often used amount to 10 for interspecies differences and another factor 10 for intraspecies variation (IPCS 2010). The interspecies safety factor is composed of a factor of $10^{0.6} (=4.0)$ accounting for kinetic differences and $10^{0.4} (=2.5)$ for dynamic differences, where the intraspecies safety factor is composed of a factor of $10^{0.5} (=3.16)$ accounting for human interindividual variability in toxicokinetics and a factor $10^{0.5} (=3.16)$ for human interindividual variability in toxicodynamics (IPCS 2005). The EPA and the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) use allometric scaling to account for interspecies differences. Two methods are often applied, namely allometry based on differences in body size (US FDA 2005) and allometry based on differences in physiological parameters (US EPA 2005) for which a body weight based allometric exponent of 2/3 and 3/4 is used, respectively. After applying intra- en interspecies uncertainty factors, the resulting guidance value can be applied for the general population, depending on the use of the chemical. However, these general factors do not always adequately resemble compound specific interindividual kinetic and dynamic differences. For example, response to environmental chemicals can vary between individuals or population groups as a result of multiple factors, i.e. age, life style, genotype, disease status and use of drugs. Insight into the sensitivity towards chemicals within the human population may be valuable as it can help to define specific groups that might be at higher risk, and thereby facilitate the risk assessment of compounds. Combining PBK modelling
with a statistical sampling technique (i.e. Monte Carlo simulation) may allow to evaluate variability and/or uncertainty in model inputs to variability and or uncertainty in model outputs, which potentially allows to quantify interindividual variability in chemical induced toxicity (Bois et al. 2010).

**AIM OF THIS THESIS**

The aim of the present thesis was to demonstrate the potential of a combined in vitro PBK based approach to translate in vitro data to in vivo toxicity values for rat and human predicting in vivo dose-response curves that allow definition of a PoD for risk assessment. This translation was carried out by applying PBK-based reverse dosimetry, using in silico and in vitro defined kinetic parameters, and by combining the in vitro PBK approach with Monte-Carlo simulations to assess interindividual variability.

The toxicity endpoint chosen for the studies was developmental toxicity because in vivo assays for this endpoint require one of the highest numbers of experimental animals in toxicity testing. Simple phenolic congeners were selected as model compounds based on the availability of in vitro and in vivo data for this endpoint.

**DEVELOPMENTAL IN VITRO TOXICITY TESTING**

Currently, several methods to predict human developmental toxicity are listed in the EURL database on alternative methods to animal experimentation (DM-ALM) (ECVAM DB-ALM 2014). Different species or tissue fractions or cells from different organisms, including mice and rats are used. Despite the fact that these assays are recorded in the database on alternative methods DM-ALM, they differ with respect to their contribution to the 3Rs. For example, in the whole embryo culture (WEC) assay, the frog embryo teratogenesis assay-xenopus (FETAX) test and the chicken embryotoxicity test, whole embryos are used (in culture), while the murine embryonic stem cell test (EST) uses a permanent cell line (Spielmann et al. 2006). Moreover, the alternatives included in the database on alternative methods DM-ALM cover a variety of (developmental) endpoints, i.e. effects of chemicals on differentiation, morphology, viability and/or functional parameters. So far the EST, WEC assay and micromass (MM) test are scientifically validated by ECVAM. From these three assays, the EST is the only test that does not require experimental animals, as it uses a permanent mouse cell line which makes it a promising assay for high-throughput screening of compounds (Spielmann et al. 2006). Therefore, the EST was chosen to assess the embryotoxic potency
of phenols in vitro in the present thesis. The differentiation assay of EST studies the embryotoxic potential of chemicals and is based on the assumption that chemicals block the spontaneous development of embryonic cells into beating cardiomyocyte clusters (Seiler et al. 2006). It is presumed that it covers critical endpoints in embryotoxicity (Adler et al. 2011). Based on different (pre)validation test that were performed it was stated that the EST was a reliable in vitro method to test embryotoxicity in vitro (Seiler et al. 2006). However, another validation study reported a low predictivity of the assay (Marx-Stoelting et al. 2009).

PBK MODELLING

PBK modelling is a mathematical technique to describe the ADME of a compound in an organism. In a PBK model, organs and tissues are represented as compartments, which are defined by anatomical (i.e. organ and tissue weights), physiological (i.e. blood flows), physico-chemical (i.e. blood:tissue coefficients) and kinetic (i.e. metabolic constants) parameters (Chiu et al. 2007; Krewski et al. 1994). The concentration of a compound and/or its metabolites in the compartment of interest in time is calculated using a set of mass-balance differential equations (Krewski et al. 1994; Rietjens et al. 2011).

Traditionally, PBK models have been applied to estimate the internal exposure to a chemical, i.e. a blood or tissue concentration, after being externally exposed for example orally or via inhalation. This type of prediction is called forward dosimetry (Clewell et al. 2008). The inverse, estimating external dose levels based on internal concentrations is called reverse dosimetry (Clewell et al. 2008) and was applied in the present thesis to translate in vitro effect concentrations to in vivo external dose levels in our so-called in vitro PBK approach.

PBK models can be developed for different species, for specific populations and even for individuals. When PBK models are linked with Monte Carlo simulations, then the impact of interindividual variation regarding for example physiological or biochemical parameters, on the distribution of internal (plasma) concentrations of a compound across a population can be simulated.

PBK MODEL PARAMETERISATION

Parameterisation of PBK models is an essential aspect of constructing a PBK model, because it can greatly affect the outcomes of the model. PBK model parameter values may be obtained from different sources. Anatomical and physiological parameter values for the species to be modelled (e.g. rat, mouse, human) are to a large extent available in the literature (Brown et al. 1997; Delp et al. 1998; ICRP 2003), especially when this concerns anatomical and physiological values that should represent the average of a population. In contrast, in vivo derived physico-chemical and kinetic data are scarce because in vivo ADME studies have not...
regularly been conducted. Moreover, due to restrictions on animal testing under recent regulations like REACH (EC 2007) and the Cosmetic Products Regulation (EC 2009b) alternative approaches to predict physico-chemical and kinetic parameters are essential. Some key parameters in PBK modelling are discussed below.

Partition coefficients are physico-chemical parameters that are used in PBK models to estimate the distribution of a chemical over the specific body compartments or blood, such as for example the partitioning of a chemical between liver tissue and plasma. In vivo derived values for partition coefficients are obtained by taking the ratio of measured in vivo tissue and plasma concentrations at steady state conditions. An alternate approach is to determine these values in vitro, applying separation techniques including vial equilibration (Jepson et al. 1994; Murphy et al. 1995), ultra filtration (Tremblay et al. 2012), dialysis (Pacifici and Viani 1992) or solid-phase microextraction (Artola-Garicano et al. 2000), which accomplish partitioning of the test chemical between two or more compartments that represent the matrices of interest, for example the tissue and blood. Chemical analysis of the compartments at equilibrium provides information to calculate the partition coefficients. In addition to these in vitro approaches, numerous algorithms that estimate a chemical specific partition coefficient for certain compartments have been proposed in the past decades. Some have been established empirically (Meulenberg and Vijverberg 2000), while others are mechanistically defined using descriptors including the octanol-water partition coefficient and protein binding (Berezhkovskiy 2004; DeJongh et al. 1997; Payne and Kenny 2002; Poulin et al. 2001; Poulin and Krishnan 1996a; Poulin and Krishnan 1996b; Poulin and Theil 2000; Rodgers et al. 2005; Rodgers and Rowland 2006).

Frequently used kinetic data in PBK models include the oral absorption coefficient and kinetic constants for biotransformation reactions of a chemical. Non-animal based systems to study intestinal permeation include cell based drug transport studies (Alqahtani et al. 2013; Balimane and Chong 2005), isolated membrane vesicles (Hillgren et al. 1995), the Parallel Artificial Membrane Permeability Assay (PAMPA) (Kansy et al. 1998) and ex vivo and in situ models, for instance everted gut sacs (Wilk-Zasadna et al. 2015). Information on metabolism may be predicted using hepatocytes (Vellonen et al. 2014), tissue-slices (De Graaf et al. 2010), subcellular fractions like microsomes (Punt et al. 2008), or embryonic and induced pluripotent stem cells (Mann 2015; Takebe et al. 2013), each with its specific benefits and drawbacks (Wilk-Zasadna et al. 2015). In silico approaches to predict metabolism vary from rule-based expert systems that predict chemical sites liable for metabolism and the resulting metabolites (T’Jollyn et al. 2011), to Quantitative Structure-Activity Relationships (QSARs) to estimate metabolic constants such as $K_m$ and $V_{\text{max}}$ (Pirovano et al. 2014). The availability of alternative methods to quantify ADME characteristics, however, depends on the tissue, or barrier studied as well as the species.

Despite recent developments in non-animal approaches to estimate ADME properties,
PBK models for toxicological risk assessment purposes have often been developed based on animal data. Thus, exploring the development of PBK models that are (only) based on in vitro and in silico approaches, as was carried out in the present thesis may provide new perspectives for advancing PBK modelling as a method in alternatives to animal testing.

Selecting an applicable approach or assay to parameterise a PBK model requires consideration about the purpose of the PBK model, the age group, gender and species to be modelled, the physico-chemical properties of the compound of interest, the predictive power of the ADME assay and its applicability domain. For instance, to select the appropriate algorithm for predicting a partition coefficient, the species and the tissues to be modelled, as well as the chemical lipophilicity should be considered (Payne and Kenny 2002). Parameters generated with in silico or in vitro approaches may often not directly represent the in vivo system, and hence extrapolation of in vitro data to the in vivo situation (IVIVE) is imperative, to enable use of the generated output in the PBK model.

**GENERAL OUTLINE OF THE IN VITRO PBK APPROACH USING REVERSE DOSIMETRY**

The in vitro PBK approach applied in the present thesis is schematically represented in Figure 1 and encompasses 5 steps. The first step is the establishment of an in vitro concentration-response curve defined by effective concentrations (ECₚ) of the test compound in vitro. For this purpose, different concentrations of a chemical are tested in an in vitro toxicity assay that is regarded to represent a specific in vivo toxicity outcome. In the present thesis this was the EST. This assay provided a series of ECₚ values from which a concentration-response curve could be derived.

The second step is the development of a PBK model describing kinetic properties of the test compound in vivo, including the derivation of the required PBK model parameters. The present thesis took into account the principle of parsimony for the development of the PBK models, meaning that models should be as simple as possible but with sufficient explanatory power to fulfil their purpose (Clewell and Clewell III 2008). In the present thesis, model compartments were selected based on the target tissue of the toxicant and discriminating ADME properties that affect the fate of the test compound. Other tissues were lumped together in one compartment. Anatomical and physiological parameter values were obtained from literature. Physico-chemical and kinetic parameters were mainly derived with in vitro and in silico approaches to contribute to the 3Rs in the most optimal way.

Step three encompasses evaluation of the PBK model. The performance of the PBK model can be evaluated by comparing plasma concentrations predicted with the PBK model, with in vivo plasma concentrations of the compound reported in literature. For this purpose, PBK
model predictions were performed by applying similar dose administrations as reported in the in vivo studies used for the evaluation. Evaluation of the PBK models also comprised a sensitivity analysis to identify the sensitivity of the model outcome to changes in parameter values or model structures and aid the model interpretation and the decision if additional testing (of parameters) is required.

In the fourth step, in vitro EC\textsubscript{x} values are translated into in vivo external dose levels (ED\textsubscript{x}) generating dose-response curves for the toxic endpoint of interest in vivo, using PBK model based reverse dosimetry (Figure 1).

**Figure 1** Principle of the in vitro PBK-based reverse dosimetry approach applied in the present thesis to predict developmental toxicity in vivo. Key elements of the approach that are visualised are (a) establishment of in vitro effect concentrations with the EST, (b) development of a PBK model, (c) parameterisation of the model using in vitro and in silico data, (d) applying reverse dosimetry and hence (e) generating in vivo effective dose levels and a dose-response curve.
For the reverse dosimetry step, in vitro EC\textsubscript{x} values are set equal to internal plasma or tissue concentrations. Before applying this step, the influence of in vitro biokinetic processes i.e. evaporation or binding of the test chemical to components of the in vitro test system, like plastic or serum albumin should be identified as this may affect the bioavailability of a test compound in vitro and hence the EC\textsubscript{x} values. Extrapolating in vitro effect concentrations towards values equivalent to in vivo EC\textsubscript{x} values should be made, whenever possible. Use of the (corrected) in vitro EC\textsubscript{x} values in the PBK model when applying PBK-based reverse dosimetry, will provide in vivo external dose levels (ED\textsubscript{x}), from which a dose-response curve and hence a PoD or an effective dose level for the safety assessment of the compound under study can be derived.

In the final step, the in vitro PBK approach is evaluated by comparing the predicted dose-response curves and the PoD with in vivo data obtained from the literature.

**PROBABILISTIC RISK ASSESSMENT AND MONTE CARLO SIMULATION**

In the present thesis PBK modelling was also combined with Monte Carlo simulations to obtain insight in interindividual variation within the human population. This is of interest given that risk is a probability to develop an adverse health effect after being exposed towards a chemical (IPCS 2004).

For human health risk assessment, it has been recommended to distinguish uncertainty from individual variability (National Research Council 1994). The estimation of risk may be subject to uncertainties which may have different origins in the four step paradigm of risk assessment. For example, uncertainty may arise from experimental procedures, sampling and storage conditions of the chemical, definition of the adversity of the effect, model selection to define a PoD, extrapolation of animal data to human, and definition of exposure to the chemical (National Research Council 1994; Ramsey 2009). Variability can be defined as the spread of the true value of a quantified variable and is a property of a population, where uncertainty is the contribution to the estimated distribution that comes from imperfect knowledge (Kelly and Campbell 2000).

Monte Carlo is a statistical sampling technique to evaluate variability and/or uncertainty in model inputs to variability and or uncertainty in model outputs. Model inputs can be defined by probability distributions. In Monte Carlo simulations, situations or events (i.e. an exposure assessment) are simulated many times (i.e. 1000 times) thereby randomly sampling a parameter value from its probability distribution. Such a sampling can be performed for a single parameter as well as for multiple parameters in one simulation. The result of applying Monte Carlo simulations is an output distribution (i.e. a distribution of estimated exposures).
In the present thesis, the Monte Carlo simulation technique was linked to the PBK model for phenol (Figure 2) to obtain insight in interindividual variation in phenol induced development toxicity within the human population. Samples were randomly drawn repeatedly from distributions representing human interindividual variability in input parameters of the PBK model (i.e. metabolic constants and oral absorption coefficient). The input data sets generated by these Monte Carlo simulations were entered in the PBK model. After performing the PBK model calculations, an output distribution was generated representing variability in the phenol plasma concentrations for the human population. Differences in the phenol plasma concentrations for the average and the sensitive individual were used to define a chemical specific adjustment factor (CSAF) for interindividual kinetic differences, which is an alternative to the default uncertainty factor that accounts for human interindividual variability in toxicokinetics (IPCS 2005). The CSAF can be applied to the PoD to derive a safe guidance value for the risk assessment of chemicals (IPCS 2005).

**Figure 2** Schematic representation of the combination of Monte Carlo simulations with PBK modelling. The solid arrows indicate repeated drawings of samples from distributions of specified input parameters of the PBK model, generating a model output distribution after performing the PBK model calculations.
MODEL COMPOUNDS OF THE PRESENT THESIS: PHENOLS

To demonstrate the potential of a combined in vitro PBK model based approach to translate in vitro toxicity data to in vivo toxicity values and define a PoD for risk assessment the studies presented in the thesis used a series of phenol model compounds. Phenolic compounds are a wide class of compounds that are naturally present, i.e. in food, or are produced synthetically and are ubiquitous in our environment. Phenolic compounds contain an aromatic ring bearing one or more hydroxyl groups (Weber and Weber 2010). Phenols can be classified as simple phenols and polyphenols based on the number of phenol units in the molecule (Khoddami et al. 2013). The simplest form of a phenolic compound is hydroxybenzene generally referred to as phenol (Figure 3), which is a high volume production chemical with an annual production volume of about 9 million tons in 2008 world-wide (Weber and Weber 2010). The phenols selected for the present thesis are phenol and simple phenols with a substituent in the para position (Table 1). These compounds were selected based on their potential developmental toxicity reported in in vivo models (Argus 1997; Kavlock 1990) and/or in vitro models (Bernardini et al. 1996; Chapman et al. 1994; Oglesby et al. 1992; Paisio et al. 2009) and/or based on the fact that developmental toxicity was identified as the critical effect for defining guidance values for these compounds (Environment Agency 2009; WHO 1994).

Figure 3 Chemical structure of phenol.

Table 1 Chemical name, p-substituent and CAS number of phenols used in the present thesis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical name</th>
<th>p-substituent</th>
<th>CAS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>phenol</td>
<td>—H</td>
<td>108-95-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-fluorophenol</td>
<td>—F</td>
<td>371-41-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-heptyloxyphenol</td>
<td>—O</td>
<td>13037-86-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-mercaptophenol</td>
<td>—SH</td>
<td>637-89-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-methylketophenol</td>
<td>—O</td>
<td>99-93-4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ABSORPTION, DISTRIBUTION, METABOLISM AND EXCRETION OF PHENOLS

In vivo studies in rat and man reported a rapid uptake of phenol via the oral, inhalation and dermal routes (Hughes and Hall 1995; Piotrowski 1971). Dermal absorption was also reported for p-heptyloxyphenol in rats (Hughes and Hall 1997). Phenol is mainly transported in plasma, partly bound to albumin or other macromolecules (Liao and Oehme 1981) and is retained mainly in the highly perfused tissues, although concentrations were low (Hughes and Hall 1995; Liao and Oehme 1981).

Due to the presence of the hydroxyl moiety on the aromatic ring, the phenols selected for the present thesis may undergo direct conjugation catalyzed by sulfotransferases (SULT) and uridine diphosphate glucuronyltransferases (UGT) requiring the cofactors 3’-phosphoadenosine-5’-phosphosulfate (PAPS) and uridine 5’-diphosphogluconic acid (UDPGA), respectively (see Figure 4). Generally, these conjugations serve as a detoxification step and represent the major metabolic pathways of these phenols. In vivo, phase I hydroxylation of phenol mainly occurs at high concentrations and is known to occur via cytochromes P450 producing hydroquinone (Hiser et al. 1994). However, this is not a major metabolic pathway and hydroquinone has been found in urine as its glucuronide conjugate (Hiser et al. 1994).

Depending on the substituent on the phenol ring, other metabolic conversions may occur, i.e. elimination of the halogen may lead to the formation hydroquinone or benzoquinone which consecutively may be rapidly converted to hydroquinone (Ohe et al. 1997), but these metabolic pathways are regarded as minor routes compared to the conjugation while the resulting metabolites may also be detoxified by conjugation. Commonalities that are often found in the metabolic pathways of the simple phenols selected in the present thesis are included in Figure 4. Glucuronidation and sulfation of phenol have been identified as the major elimination pathways both in rodents (Capel et al. 1972; Edwards et al. 1986; Koster et al. 1981; Mulder and Meerman 1978) and in man (Capel et al. 1972; Hiser et al. 1994). First pass conjugation of phenol in rat was identified in the intestine, liver and the pulmonary system (Kothare and Zimmerman 2002; Powell et al. 1974), although pulmonary first pass metabolism has been questioned given that Dickinson and Taylor (1996) could not demonstrate this in vitro or in vivo. Metabolism of phenol and p-substituted phenols may also occur in the kidney as glucuronidation of p-nitrophenol was identified in kidney homogenates in vitro (Machida et al. 1982).

Excretion of phenol, mainly as conjugates, occurs in rat and human predominantly via urine (Capel et al. 1972; Hiser et al. 1994; Hughes and Hall 1995) and is, upon single oral and intravenous dosing, nearly complete within 12 hours (Hughes and Hall 1995; Hughes and Hall 1997). This was also found for phenol and a group of p-substituted phenols including p-heptyloxyphenol, after dermal and intraperitoneal administration of these compounds in young female rats (Hughes and Hall 1997), although p-heptyloxyphenol was retained in small
amounts in the carcasses (7-10% of the dose) after ip injection after 120 hours (Hughes and Hall 1997). This study also showed that excretion of p-heptyloxyphenol also occurs partly via faeces, though excretion via urine was reported to be still greater. The glucuronide conjugate of p-heptyloxyphenol may be subject to biliary secretion and hence elimination via the faeces, because its molecular weight is higher than 300 Da (Hughes and Hall 1997). Biliary excretion was observed in vivo for the glucuronide conjugate of p-methylketophenol, which has a molecular weight of 312 Da (Machida et al. 1982).

Figure 4 Commonalities in metabolic pathways of phenol and p-substituted phenols. The R represents the p-substituent included in Table 1. SULT and UGT represent sulfotransferases and uridine diphosphate glucuronyltransferases which are responsible for direct conjugation of the phenols with a glucuronide or sulfate moiety representing the major metabolic pathways. The reaction indicated by the asterisk represents hydroxylation of phenol by CYP450, accompanied by dehalogenation in the case of p-fluorophenol resulting in the formation of hydroquinone or benzoquinone that can subsequently be chemically reduced to hydroquinone, which are regarded minor metabolic pathways (see text for more details).

OUTLINE OF THIS THESIS

The aim of the present thesis was to demonstrate the potential of a combined in vitro PBK model based approach to translate in vitro toxicity data to in vivo toxicity values for rat and human predicting in vivo dose-response curves that allow definition of a PoD for risk assessment. Chapter 1 provides background information on alternatives to animal testing, gives a brief description of PBK modelling, Monte Carlo simulations and a short overview of some important ADME characteristics of phenols, the model compounds of the present thesis. This chapter also outlines the in vitro PBK-based reverse dosimetry approach to translate in vitro effective concentrations towards in vivo toxicity data. Chapter 2 describes the results of the EST which were used to identify the in vitro relative embryotoxic potency of the selected phenolic congeners. These results were compared to the outcomes of the ex vivo WEC assay and in vivo developmental toxicity values for the phenols, to provide insight into the applicability of the EST as an alternative for in vivo developmental toxicity testing. Chapter 3 describes the development of the PBK model of phenol and the extrapolation of in
vitro EC, values obtained in the EST to an in vivo dose-response curve, applying PBK-based reverse dosimetry. The prediction was evaluated against available in vivo toxicity data. In chapter 4 it was investigated whether the in vitro PBK approach was able to correctly predict the developmental toxic potency of the selected series of phenolic congeners in vivo. In chapter 5 we demonstrated a modelling approach that integrated in vitro toxicity data, PBK modelling and Monte Carlo simulations to derive chemical specific safety factors that cover interindividual human kinetic variation, with phenol induced developmental toxicity as the endpoint of interest. Chapter 6 presents the discussion of the results obtained within the present thesis and suggestions for future research.
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CHAPTER 2

Relative embryotoxic potency of p-substituted phenols in the embryonic stem cell test (EST) and comparison to their toxic potency in vivo and in the whole embryo culture (WEC) assay

Marije Strikwold, Ruud A Woutersen, Bert Spenkelink, Ans Punt, Ivonne MCM Rietjens

ABSTRACT

The applicability of the embryonic stem cell test (EST) as an alternative for in vivo embryotoxicity testing was evaluated for a series of five p-substituted phenols. To this purpose, the potency ranking for this class of compounds derived from the inhibition of cardiomyocyte differentiation in the EST was compared to in vivo embryotoxic potency data obtained from literature and to the potency ranking defined in the in vitro whole embryo culture (WEC) assay. From the results obtained it appears that the EST was able to identify the embryotoxic potential for p-substituted phenols, providing an identical potency ranking compared to the WEC assay. However, the EST was not able to predict an accurate ranking for the phenols compared to their potency observed in vivo. Only phenol, the least potent compound within this series, was correctly ranked. Furthermore, p-mercaptophenol was correctly identified as a relative potent congener of the phenols tested, but its ranking was distorted by p-heptyloxyphenol, of which the toxicity was overestimated in the EST. It is concluded that when attempting to explain the observed disparity in potency rankings between in vitro and in vivo embryotoxicity, the in vitro models should be combined with a kinetic model describing in vivo absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion processes of the compounds.
INTRODUCTION

Embryotoxicity is considered a critical step in reproductive toxicity (Adler, 2011). For this endpoint, so far, three scientifically validated rodent based in vitro assays are available, which have been evaluated for discriminating between non, weak and strong embryotoxic compounds (Genschow et al. 2002). These assays are the postimplantation rat whole-embryo culture test (WEC), the limb bud micromass test and the embryonic stem cell test (EST). Each of these assays has its advantages and limitations. Briefly, in the WEC, embryo’s dissected at gestational day (GD) 10 are cultured and exposed to the test compound for two days, whereupon they are examined and evaluated for developmental anomalies (ECVAM, 2010a). An advantage of the WEC is that the model system comprises a whole organism. A drawback, however, resides in the fact that it only covers a limited period of organogenesis (Augustine-Rauch et al. 2010). Both, the limb bud micromass test and the EST are more simplified methods to evaluate embryotoxicity compared to the WEC. In principle, the limb bud micromass test studies the interference of a compound with the formation of foci of chondrocytes in vitro, but still requires embryos, though less than the WEC (ECVAM, 2010b). The EST is based on the principle that chemicals may affect the spontaneous development of embryonic cells into beating cardiomyocyte clusters (Seiler et al. 2006). An important advantage of the EST, compared to both the WEC and the limb bud micromass test, is the use of a permanent cell line (Spielmann et al. 2006), permitting nearly indefinite propagation of the embryonic stem cells in vitro, thereby greatly supporting reduction, refinement, and replacement (3 Rs) in animal testing. Moreover, this feature allows high-throughput screening of chemical compounds (Spielmann et al. 2006), which makes the EST a promising tool to screen compounds on potential embryotoxicity.

In a validation study lead by the European Centre for the Validation of Alternative Methods (ECVAM), the EST demonstrated a good correlation between in vitro and in vivo data, providing a correct classification of 78% of a test set of twenty chemicals into three distinct embryotoxic classes (non, weak and strong embryo toxicants) (Genschow et al. 2004). However, in a second study only two of thirteen additional selected chemicals were correctly classified with the EST, which raises concern about the applicability of the prediction model that was applied in both studies to classify compounds in non, weak and strong embryo toxicants (Marx-Stoelting et al. 2009). An explanation for this poor predictivity might be the absence of a carefully defined applicability domain and the limited number of compounds that was used to develop the prediction model, thereby restricting the application of the EST for regulatory purposes (Marx-Stoelting et al. 2009).

To overcome this limitation, additional information on the performance of the EST with different chemical classes is needed (de Jong et al. 2011a). Therefore, the aim of the present study was to evaluate the applicability of the EST for a series of p-substituted phenols, a novel
chemical class to be studied with the EST, as an alternative for in vivo embryotoxicity testing. Phenol is a high production volume chemical with an annual production of nearly 9 million tons world-wide in 2008 (Weber and Weber, 2010). Phenol is used as an intermediate in the chemical industry and as a disinfectant, slimicide and in medicinal drugs (ATSDR, 2008). Many phenols, including p-substituted phenols, are applied in pesticides, resins, textiles, dyes and/or drugs. In addition, 4-methylketophenol is a natural occurring and chemically synthesised flavouring compound (FAO, 2010). Several studies demonstrated an embryotoxic potential of phenol and/or p-substituted phenols in vivo (Argus, 1997; Kavlock, 1990) and in vitro (Chapman et al. 1994; Oglesby et al. 1992).

In the present study, results obtained for a selected series of phenols in the EST, were compared to the in vivo benchmark dose (BMD_{10}) values derived for their effects reported in in vivo developmental toxicity studies as well as to outcomes already reported in the literature for their effects in the WEC assay. This in order to evaluate the performance of the EST for this novel chemical class not tested before in this in vitro assay for embryotoxicity.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**COMPOUNDS AND CELLS**

Phenol (99%), p-fluorophenol (99%), p-heptyloxyphenol (97%), p-mercaptophenol (97%), p-methylketophenol (99%), p-hydroxyphenol (99%), p-aminophenol (99%), ascorbic acid, glutathione and β-mercaptoethanol were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany). Methanol (HPLC supra-gradient) was obtained from Biosolve (Valkenswaard, The Netherlands). Dimethylsulfoxide was obtained from Acros Organics (Geel, Belgium). Murine embryonic stem cells (ES-D3 cells) were kindly provided by Johnson & Johnson (Beerse, Belgium). Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM), L-glutamine, non-essential amino acids and penicillin and streptomycin are from Gibco and were purchased from Fisher Emergo (Landsmeer, The Netherlands). Foetal calf serum was obtained from Lonza (Verviers, Belgium) and mouse leukemia inhibitory factor from Chemicon International (Temecula, CA).

**PHENOL STABILITY**

The chemical stability in time of phenol and six p-substituted phenols in ES-D3 cell culture medium was tested prior to experiments with the EST. Stability of the compounds was tested at concentrations to be tested in the EST, which were initially selected based on in vitro cytotoxicity data from literature (Kendig and Tarloff, 2007; Selassie et al. 2005; Verma et al. 2003; Zhou et al. 2009). These final test concentrations were 3.16 mM for phenol, 1.0 mM for p-fluorophenol, p-mercaptophenol and p-aminophenol, 0.024 mM for p-heptyloxyphenol, 0.5 mM for p-methylketophenol and 0.316 mM for p-hydroxyphenol. ES-D3 cell culture
Embryotoxicity of phenols in the EST medium with the test compound, but without cells, was maintained in 24 well plates under similar test conditions as applied in the differentiation assay of the EST (for details see Sections Cell culture and Differentiation assay). Samples were taken on days 0, 1, 2 and 5 and analysis of the compound in culture medium was performed on a Waters Alliance HPLC-system using an Alltima C18, 5 µm column, 150 mm x 4.6 mm (Grace Alltech, Breda, The Netherlands). The mobile phase was made of nanopure water (A) and pure methanol (B) using a gradient (A:B) of 100:0 for the first 10 min, changing to 90:10 in 5 min and then to 10:90 within another 5 min, maintaining this ratio for 2 min, then changing to 100:0 in 1 min and maintaining this ratio for 10 min. The flow rate was 1 ml/min. Phenol, p-fluorophenol, p-heptyloxyphenol, p-mercaptophenol, p-methylketophenol, p-aminophenol and p-hydroxyphenol were detected and quantified based on their peak areas at their maximum wavelength, using a photodiode array detector.

**CELL CULTURE**

Embryotoxicity of phenol and four p-substituted phenols (Figure 1) was assessed using the murine embryonic stem cell differentiation assay (ES-D3 cells) performed essentially as previously described (De Smedt et al. 2008). Briefly, ES-D3 cells, which were stored in liquid nitrogen, were thawed and cultured for 3 days in ES-D3 culture medium, consisting of Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium, supplemented with 20% (v/v) foetal calf serum, 2 mM L-glutamine, 1% (v/v) non-essential amino acids, penicillin/streptomycin (50 U/ml / 50 µg/ml) and 0.1 mM β-mercaptoethanol. Cells were maintained undifferentiated by adding 1000 U/ml mouse leukemia inhibitory factor.

![Chemical structures](image)

**Figure 1** Chemical structure, chemical name and CAS number of phenol and four p-substituted phenols used in the present study.
DIFFERENTIATION ASSAY

The differentiation assay was started by culturing 20 µl drops (45 drops totally) of an embryonic stem cell suspension (3.75x10^4 cells/ml medium) on the inner side of the lid of a 96 well microtiter plate (Corning, The Netherlands) according to the hanging drop technique allowing cells to form embryoid bodies. After 3 days, embryoid bodies were transferred to 6 cm non-adherent petri dishes (Greiner Bio-one, Hungary) and incubated for 2 days. Then, one embryoid body was placed in each well of a 24 well tissue culture plate (one plate per test concentration) and cultured for 5 more days. After that, differentiation of the embryoid bodies into contracting cardiomyocytes was evaluated visually with an Olympus CKX41 inverted microscope. During culturing, cells were constantly kept in a 5% CO₂-humidified atmosphere at 37 °C and were continuously exposed to the test compound. Stock solutions and compound dilutions were prepared in dimethylsulfoxide and added to ES-D3 culture medium. The final dimethylsulfoxide concentration in culture medium was 0.25% (v/v) and a solvent control was tested in each experiment. ES-D3 medium including the compound was refreshed on days 0, 3 and 5 of the differentiation assay.

The differentiation assay was considered valid if a blank resulted in beating cardiomyocyte clusters in at least 21 out of 24 wells. The inhibition of differentiation by the test compound was defined and presented as the fraction of wells with undifferentiated embryoid bodies in a 24 well plate. For each phenol, multiple independent assays were performed (n=2-5 as indicated), using different test concentrations (Figure 2).

SELECTION OF IN VIVO AND IN VITRO TOXICITY DATA

A literature search was performed to identify available in vivo and in vitro studies on reproductive and developmental toxicity of phenol and the p-substituted phenol model compounds of the present study. A study was selected if it included at least three dose groups, because this would enable analysis by the benchmark dose (BMD) approach and possible establishment of a BMD value. Developmental endpoints were considered relevant if the compound showed a dose or concentration depended effect and a BMD value could be derived. In addition, in vivo maternal effects were evaluated, when available from the selected developmental toxicity studies.

DATA COMPUTATION

For each phenol that was tested in the EST, in vitro concentration-response curves for embryotoxicity were derived to calculate benchmark concentrations at which 50 percent (BMC_{50}) of the embryoid bodies did not differentiate into contracting cardiomyocytes. To this purpose, different dichotomous concentration-response models were fitted to the embryotoxicity data obtained with the EST. The data of the independent assays were combined prior to the curve-fitting. Models included in the evaluation were the gamma, (log)
logistic, (log) probit, multistage and the Weibull model. For each BMC calculation an extra risk at a benchmark response above background was considered (Davis et al. 2011). The performance of each model fit was consecutively evaluated for the global goodness-of-fit (p>0.1), the model with the smallest scaled residual at the concentration-response curve closest to the calculated BMC_{50} and a visual inspection of the fitted concentration-response curves (Davis et al. 2011). In case no goodness-of-fit with p>0.1 was obtained for any model, an additional curve-fitting was performed using average response values for each concentration tested. If the model-fit of two or more models was accepted according the criteria described above, then the model with the smallest Akaike’s Information Criterion (AIC) was regarded superior (Davis et al. 2011). Hence, the BMC_{50} was selected from the model that provided the best fit.

For continuous in vivo data a benchmark response of 10% adverse effect of the studied maternal and developmental toxicity endpoints was used (Barnes et al. 1995). Continuous data were fit to the exponential, polynomial, power, linear, and hill models. The dose-response curves were included in further evaluation if they showed a significant (p<0.05) dose dependent response and if the variance (constant or non-homogeneous) was adequately modelled (p>0.05). Subsequently, the evaluation of the model fit was performed according to the same criteria as described for the dichotomous models. Finally, the BMD_{10} was selected from the model that provided the best fit.

All benchmark dose and benchmark concentration calculations were performed with the Environmental Protection Agency’s (EPA) Benchmark Dose Software (BMDS) version 2.2. Concentration-response curves were plotted with GraphPad Prism (version 5, GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA) applying a four-parameter logistic fit.

**POTENCY RANKING**

Phenols tested in the EST were ranked for embryotoxic potency according to their BMC_{50} value, from the one with the highest to that with the lowest toxic potency. This ranking was compared to in vitro embryotoxic potency (ranking) data obtained from literature and to ranking based on BMD_{10} values derived in the present study from in vivo data on developmental toxicity of the phenols reported in the literature. In addition, embryotoxicity was compared to maternal toxicity.
Figure 2 Concentration-response curves for phenol, p-fluorophenol, p-heptyloxyphenol, p-mercaptophenol and p-methylketophenol. Each figure represents the inhibition of differentiation of the embryoid bodies (EBs) by the compound at the specified concentrations. Different symbols represent independent assays.
RESULTS

STABILITY OF THE TEST COMPOUNDS

Results from the chemical stability tests of the phenols are presented in Figure 3. From these data it can be derived that the compounds p-heptyloxyphenol, p-mercaptophenol and p-methylketophenol, were stable during the 5 days of incubation. Phenol and p-fluorophenol showed some decrease in the test concentration in time. For phenol 75, 62 and 27% of the original test concentration was detected in culture medium after 1, 2 and 5 days of incubation, respectively, with an area under the curve (AUC0-5days) from 0 to 5 days amounting 57.9% of what would be expected without decrease in the initial concentration. For p-fluorophenol these values amounted to 86, 74 and 39% with an AUC0-5days amounting 68.5% of what would be expected without decrease in the initial concentration. Stability was regarded sufficient to include these compounds in the EST. Both, p-hydroxyphenol and p-aminophenol could not be detected after 1 day of incubation, probably due to auto-oxidation of these compounds. To prevent auto-oxidation, both ascorbic acid and glutathione were added to the culture medium at 1 mM and 5 mM, respectively. However, both glutathione and ascorbic acid appeared to be toxic to ES-D3 cells at these concentrations (data not shown). Hence, p-hydroxyphenol and p-aminophenol were not included in the EST.

Figure 3 Chemical stability expressed as the percentage of the initial test concentration (t=0) of phenol (◇), p-fluorophenol (▽), p-heptyloxyphenol (×), p-mercaptophenol (△), p-methylketophenol (□), p-aminophenol (○) and p-hydroxyphenol (■) left in ES-D3 assay medium, in time (day).
Table 1. BMC50 values of phenols tested in the EST as determined by a BMD analysis of the data of Figure 2, ranked from high to low potency.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phenol</th>
<th>BMC50 (mM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>p-heptyloxy</td>
<td>0.00038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-mercapto</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-methylketo</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-fluoro</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phenol</td>
<td>0.59a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a Model fit: 0.05<p<0.1.

DIFFERENTIATION OF EMBRYONIC STEM CELLS TO BEATING CARDIOMYOCYTES

Figure 2 presents the concentration-response curves for the phenols tested in the EST. From these results it appears that each phenol inhibited differentiation of ES-D3 cells into beating cardiomyocytes in a concentration dependent manner. Both, p-mercaptophenol and p-methylketophenol show a steep concentration-response curve. The BMC50 values derived from the concentration response data of the different phenols are presented in Table 1. The most potent phenol tested is p-heptyloxyphenol, followed by p-mercaptophenol, p-methylketophenol, p-fluorophenol and phenol. The choice of the dose-response model and the benchmark response value appeared not to affect the ranking (data not shown). The difference in the BMC50 values of the least and the most potent chemical, phenol and p-heptyloxyphenol respectively, is three orders of magnitude. The difference between the BMC50 value of phenol and the BMC50 values of the other p-substituted phenols is less, namely, 16.9, 4.9 and 1.9-fold for p-mercaptophenol, p-methylketophenol and p-fluorophenol respectively. Figure 4 graphically presents these potency differences and compares them to the potency differences derived from the data reported in the literature for these phenols in the WEC and in vivo assay as described in the next sections.

POTENCY RANKING WEC ASSAY

Phenol, p-fluorophenol and p-heptyloxyphenol have been tested in the WEC assay by Oglesby et al. (1992) and assessed on both growth retardation (somite number, crown rump length and DNA content) and structural defects (fore and hind limb bud absence, hypoplasia of 1st arch, bifurcated tails and total tail defects). In general, the potency ranking for phenol, p-fluorophenol and p-heptyloxyphenol was similar for each of the endpoints tested in the WEC assay (Oglesby et al. 1992). A few phenols did not cause a toxic effect for some of the endpoints. The most potent of the three compounds in the WEC assay was p-heptyloxyphenol,
followed by p-fluorophenol and phenol. Based on the concentrations needed to reduce the somite number by 10% relative to the concurrent control (Oglesby et al. 1992), a 31.2-fold potency difference between the most and the least toxic chemical in the WEC assay was calculated, and a 4.1-fold potency difference between phenol and p-fluorophenol. The first potency difference is smaller compared to the potency difference in the EST while the latter is somewhat larger (Figure 4).

Oglesby et al. (1992) obtained a different potency ranking for the phenols tested in a WEC assay co-cultured with hepatocytes compared to the WEC system without hepatocytes (Table 2). The presence of hepatocytes diminished toxicity for p-heptyloxyphenol and p-fluorophenol, but enhanced toxicity for phenol (Oglesby et al. 1992). For the endpoints total tail defects and reduction in somite number this resulted in an altered ranking, which became phenol, p-fluorophenol and p-heptyloxyphenol (Oglesby et al. 1992). Modelling the free concentration instead of the total concentration did not change the ranking for p-heptyloxyphenol and phenol (Oglesby et al. 1992).

![Figure 4](image.png)

**Figure 4** Potency of p-substituted phenols relative to phenol expressed as a potency ratio (potency ratio = potency phenol / potency p-substituted phenol), specified for litter biomass on postnatal day 6 in vivo (BMD\(_{10}\)), the EST (BMC\(_{50}\)) and the WEC assay (based on reduction in somite number by 10% relative to the concurrent control (Oglesby et al. 1992)). No potency data are available for p-mercaptophenol and p-methylketophenol from the WEC assay.
Table 2 Summary of toxic potency ranking, from high to low potency, of phenol, p-fluorophenol, p-heptyloxyphenol, p-mercaptophenol and p-methylketophenol tested in the EST, in vivo (postnatal day 6) and in the WEC assay, with a specification of the embryotoxic endpoints considered. Additionally, a toxic potency ranking is included for the WEC assay in the presence of hepatocytes and based on the free concentration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EST&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>In vivo&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>In vivo&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>In vivo&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>WEC&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>WEC&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt; hepatocytes</th>
<th>WEC&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt; free conc.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inhibition of differentiation</td>
<td>Change litter size</td>
<td>Reduction litter biomass</td>
<td>Perinatal loss</td>
<td>Diverse (see text)</td>
<td>Total tail defects &amp; somite number</td>
<td>3 endpoints&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-heptyloxy</td>
<td>p-mercapto</td>
<td>p-mercapto</td>
<td>p-mercapto</td>
<td>p-heptyloxy</td>
<td>phenol</td>
<td>p-heptyloxy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-mercapto</td>
<td>p-fluoro</td>
<td>p-fluoro</td>
<td>p-methylketo</td>
<td>p-fluoro</td>
<td>p-fluoro</td>
<td>phenol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-methylketo</td>
<td>p-heptyloxy</td>
<td>p-methylketo</td>
<td>p-heptyloxy</td>
<td>phenol</td>
<td>p-heptyloxy&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-fluoro</td>
<td>p-methylketo</td>
<td>p-heptyloxy</td>
<td>phenol</td>
<td>phenol&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>p-fluoro&lt;sup&gt;h&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Ranking based on BMC<sub>50</sub> as derived from the EST from the present study.
<sup>b</sup> Ranking based on BMD<sub>10</sub>, as derived from in vivo data from Kavlock (1990).
<sup>c</sup> Ranking according Kavlock (1990).
<sup>d</sup> Ranking according Oglesby et al. (1992).
<sup>e</sup> Endpoints considered are reduction in somite number, crown-rump length and total DNA content.
<sup>f</sup> No incidence was obtained for p-heptyloxyphenol on total tail defects.
<sup>g</sup> No significant dose-related trend could be fit.
<sup>h</sup> No perinatal loss observed at highest dose group for p-fluorophenol.

**IN VIVO TOXICITY DATA**

Table 3 presents a summary of the in vivo developmental toxicity data for phenol. From this overview it emerges that for phenol, the most common critical developmental endpoint in vivo is reduced foetal body weight. A number of studies reported the incidence of malformations due to phenol (Argus, 1997; Jones-Price et al. 1983a; Jones-Price et al. 1983b; Kavlock, 1990; Narotsky and Kavlock, 1995). In all but one study (Jones-Price et al. 1983a), developmental effects were accompanied by maternal effects, mostly by a decrease in maternal weight change. In general, the developmental no observed adverse effect levels (NOAELs) reported for phenol in the different studies are in agreement with each other.
Table 3 Summary of in vivo developmental toxicity data of phenol described in the literature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species and strain</th>
<th>Exposure day(s)</th>
<th>Dose (mg/kg bw/day)a</th>
<th>Developmental endpoint</th>
<th>Developmental NOAELb (mg/kg bw/day)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sprague-Dawley rat</td>
<td>GD 11</td>
<td>0, 100, 333, 667, 1000</td>
<td>Malformationsc reported at two highest dosesd</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>Kavlock (1990)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sprague-Dawley rat</td>
<td>GD 6-15</td>
<td>0, 30, 60, 120</td>
<td>Decreased average foetal bw/litter</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Jones-Price et al. (1983a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sprague-Dawley rat</td>
<td>GD 6-15</td>
<td>0, 60, 120, 360</td>
<td>Decreased foetal bw Decreased ossification sites metatarsalsf</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>Argus (1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fischer rat</td>
<td>GD 6-19</td>
<td>0, 40, 53.3</td>
<td>Increased prenatal loss Reduced litter size 2 cases of kinked tails in one litterd</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Narotsky and Kavlock (1995)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sprague-Dawley rat</td>
<td>10-11 weeks prior to mating through weaning</td>
<td>0, 200, 1000, 5000 ppm (= 0, 20, 93, 350 mg/kg/d)</td>
<td>Decreased pup survival Decreased bw/growth delay Increased organ to bw ratios Delay in landmarks of sexual maturation</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>Ryan et al. (2001)8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD-1 mice</td>
<td>GD 6-15</td>
<td>0, 70, 140, 280</td>
<td>Decreased average foetal bw/litter Increased incidence in cleft palate at high dosef</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>Jones-Price et al. (1983b)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a In each study phenol was administered by oral gavage, except in the study of Ryan et al. (2001) at which phenol was administered via drinking water.
b NOAELs were taken from cited studies, except the NOAEL from the study of Kavlock (1990) which is derived in the present study based on malformations.
c Hindlimb paralysis and/or short kinky tails.
d Not analysed on statistical significance.
e Significant effect, but biological significance questioned.
f Not statistical significant.
g Effects possibly related to decreased maternal water intake due to flavour aversion.

One in vivo study in rats was available on developmental effects for the other phenolic congeners, including phenol as well (Kavlock, 1990). In this rat study, in which compounds were administered orally at GD11, all five phenols were classified as active developmental toxicants (Kavlock, 1990). Developmental toxicity endpoints quantitatively assessed included perinatal loss, change in pup weight, litter size, and litter biomass. For the latter two endpoints dose-response curves with a significant dose-related trend were obtained enabling calculation of a BMD10 value, with the exception of phenol for the endpoint litter size for which no significant dose-related trend could be established (Table 4). Table 2 summarizes the potency
ranking based on the BMD\textsubscript{10} values obtained and in addition the potency ranking for perinatal loss from Kavlock (1990). From this overview it appears that each in vivo endpoint considered resulted in a different potency ranking (Table 2). Though, at each endpoint, p-mercaptophenol was the most potent compound and phenol the least toxic, except for perinatal loss where phenol is the second least toxic compound in the potency ranking. The ranking for p-methylketophenol, p-heptyloxyphenol and p-fluorophenol differs between the in vivo endpoints in a non-systematic way (Table 2). The potency difference between phenol and p-substituted phenols tested in vivo is less than the potency difference observed in the EST (Figure 4).

From the developmental toxicity study from Kavlock (1990), dose-response curves and BMD\textsubscript{10} values for maternal weight change were obtained as well (Table 4). For each phenol, except for p-methylketophenol, a significant dose-response relationship could be established. In general, embryotoxicity occurs at similar (p-mercaptophenol) or somewhat higher dose levels (5.7, 2.0 and 1.5-fold higher for p-heptyloxyphenol, p-fluorophenol and phenol respectively) than maternal toxicity.

**Table 4** BMD\textsubscript{10} values (mg/kg) of phenols for maternal effect 72 h after dosing and developmental effects on postnatal day 6, calculated from in vivo data from Kavlock (1990), ranked from high to low developmental potency of the endpoint litter size.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phenol</th>
<th>BMD\textsubscript{10} (mg/kg) Maternal Weight change</th>
<th>BMD\textsubscript{10} (mg/kg) Developmental</th>
<th>Litter size</th>
<th>Litter biomass</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>p-mercapto</td>
<td>&lt;333\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>&lt;333\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>&lt;333\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-fluoro</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>719</td>
<td>747</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-heptyloxy</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>946</td>
<td>951</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-methylketo</td>
<td>&gt;1000\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>979</td>
<td>932\textsuperscript{c}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phenol</td>
<td>667\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>&gt;1000\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>&gt;1000\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a} Data not adequate for BMD modelling. Instead, the NOAEL is presented.  
\textsuperscript{b} No significant dose-related trend could be fit. Instead, the maximum tested dose is presented.  
\textsuperscript{c} Model fit: 0.05<p<0.1.

**DISCUSSION**

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the applicability of the EST for a series of p-substituted phenols, as an alternative for in vivo embryotoxicity testing. The EST showed concentration dependent response curves for the inhibition of differentiation of the embryoid bodies for all tested compounds, demonstrating the embryotoxic potential of the phenols.

The test concentration of both phenol and p-fluorophenol in the EST decreased in time. As the reported BMC\textsubscript{50} values for inhibition of differentiation of the embryoid bodies were
derived from the initial total test concentrations (t=0), the actual BMC\textsubscript{50} might be lower than the concentration reported in this study for these two compounds. In the EST, phenol is the least toxic compound followed by p-fluorophenol. Hence, a lower BMC\textsubscript{50} of these compounds in vitro could potentially affect the potency ranking of the phenols. It is unknown, however, if the toxic effects of phenol and p-fluorophenol in vitro occur due to a peak concentration or as the result of a prolonged exposure above a certain concentration. In addition, the critical exposure period in the 10-day EST is also unknown. Therefore, correcting the initial BMC\textsubscript{50} towards an actual effective BMC\textsubscript{50} in vitro was not possible and hence not applied in the present study. However, correcting the initial BMC\textsubscript{50} for the percentage of the compound that was stable for 5 days using the AUC\textsubscript{0-5}, could provide insight in the effect of the chemical loss on the potency. This correction resulted in the reduction of BMC\textsubscript{50} values from 0.59 mM towards 0.34 mM for phenol and from 0.31 mM towards 0.21 mM for p-fluorophenol. After this correction, phenol remained the least toxic compound, followed by p-fluorophenol, which is equal to the ranking based on the initial concentration. Even a correction for the maximum decrease, as was measured on day 5, would not alter the potency ranking. Hence, the potency ranking as presented in the present study is considered valid.

The potency ranking of the phenols, based on the BMC\textsubscript{50} values derived from the EST, is identical to the potency ranking from the WEC assay of Oglesby et al. (1992). This finding is in accordance with Louisse et al. (2011) who found a similar potency ranking between both in vitro test systems for a series of retinoids. However, for a series of six 1,2,4-triazoles, de Jong et al. (2011a) found only a moderate correlation between the potency of the tested compounds in the EST and the WEC assay. It was found that p-heptyloxyphenol is relatively more potent in the EST compared to the WEC assay. This may (partly) be explained by differences in serum content between both in vitro assays, which is 20% in the EST and 50% in the WEC assay. Moreover, it has been shown that p-heptyloxyphenol readily binds to serum albumin and may accumulate in the embryo in the WEC assay possibly due to its high lipophilicity (Fisher et al. 1993). Similar phenomena might occur in the EST and, in conjunction with the lower serum content in the EST than the WEC assay, may explain the relative high toxicity of p-heptyloxyphenol in the present EST study.

In order to evaluate the applicability of the EST as an alternative for in vivo embryotoxicity testing, the potency of the phenols in the EST was compared to the potency of the compounds in vivo. It was found that the potency ranking in the EST differs from the in vivo developmental toxicity potency ranking for perinatal loss, reduction litter biomass and change litter size. None of these three in vivo endpoints was best mimicked by the EST. Only phenol, the least potent compound from the phenols tested, was correctly ranked when compared to in vivo litter biomass and litter size. Furthermore, p-mercaptophenol was correctly identified as a relative potent congener within the series of phenols, but its ranking was distorted by p-heptyloxyphenol, of which the toxicity was overestimated in the EST. Again, differences in
serum albumin levels, which are higher in vivo in the rat than in the EST (Verwei et al. 2006), may cause the difference in the ranking for p-heptyloxyphenol. Moreover, the ranking for p-methylketophenol, p-heptyloxyphenol and p-fluorophenol differs between the in vivo endpoints in a non-systematic way. The disparity in potency ranking between the EST and in vivo embryotoxicity is in accordance to what has been found for two other chemical classes, namely a series of 1,2,4-triazoles (de Jong et al. 2011a) and a series of retinoids (Louisse et al. 2011). However, the EST predicted a correct potency ranking for a series of glycol ether alkoxy acid metabolites compared to the potency ranking of parent glycol ether compounds in vivo (de Jong et al. 2009). A good predictive property of the EST was also found for valproic acid analogues (de Jong et al. 2011b; Riebeling et al. 2011).

The relative poor predictivity of the EST for the embryotoxic potency in vivo for the p-substituted phenols tested might also be due to differences in biotransformation between the in vitro and the in vivo system. In the present study, only parent phenolic compounds were tested as the ES-D3 cells are expected to have no or hardly any metabolic capacity. However, in the in vivo situation, maternal metabolism can play a key role in (de)activating the compound before reaching the embryo. For phenol and p-substituted phenols the major metabolic pathways in vivo are sulfation and glucuronidation reducing toxicity of the phenols, whereas cytochrome P450 mediated bioactivation may result in the formation p-hydroxyphenol (Bollard et al. 1996; Capel et al. 1972; Hoffmann et al. 1999; Koster et al. 1981; Mulder and Meerman, 1978). The latter compound appeared to be the most potent compound of the p-substituted phenols tested in the WEC assay (Oglesby et al. 1992). It was not possible, however, to test the latter compound in the EST due to a rapid auto-oxidation of the compound and the toxicity of anti-oxidants, which could prevent auto-oxidation. From data of Oglesby et al. (1992) it appeared that adding a metabolic system to the in vitro WEC assay, to mimic maternal metabolism in vivo, could not overcome the disparity between embryotoxicity in vitro and in vivo for the phenols. In the WEC assay co-cultured with hepatocytes, phenol became more potent for the endpoints total tail defects and reduction in somite number, while the toxic potency of p-heptyloxyphenol and p-fluorophenol was diminished by the hepatocytes (Oglesby et al. 1992). The diminished potency of the latter two compounds may reflect the in vivo situation where glucuronidation and sulfation result in detoxification. However, the increased potency of phenol in the co-cultured system is not in agreement with the in vivo potency ranking where phenol is the least potent compound. A possible explanation for this observation may be differences between in vitro kinetics and in vivo kinetics. To illustrate this, from an in vitro incubation experiment of 1 h with 3 mM phenol using freshly isolated rat hepatocytes (Schrenk and Bock, 1990) the formation of 19.3% p-hydroxyphenol, 29.8% phenylsulfate and 41.4% phenylglucuronide was derived. However, the fraction of the toxic metabolite p-hydroxyphenol (measured as p-hydroxyphenol conjugates) formed in vivo in the rat is much lower, namely 3% in 24-hour urine (Capel et al. 1972), providing a possible
explanation for the difference between the potency ranking in the WEC assay co-cultured with hepatocytes and the in vivo situation. Instead, combining in vitro embryotoxicity data with kinetic modelling of in vivo absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion (ADME) processes is expected to more closely mimic the in vivo embryotoxicity as has been demonstrated recently for other developmentally toxic model compounds (Louisse et al. 2010; Verwei et al. 2006).

The BMD$_{10}$ values for embryotoxic effects in vivo were similar or somewhat higher than the BMD$_{10}$ values for reduction in maternal weight change, except for methylketophenol which showed a lower developmental BMD$_{10}$. These findings might raise the question whether embryotoxic effects observed in vivo are due to indirect maternal effects or are induced by a more direct action of the compound. In line with the in vivo maternal-developmental correlations observed in this study, a positive correlation was found between four of seven embryotoxic endpoints for phenols in the WEC assay and maternal weight reduction in vivo by Oglesby et al. (1992), who pointed out that this correlation might reflect some general mechanisms, but stated that the maternal and embryotoxic endpoints are clearly dissimilar. This is supported by the different physico-chemical properties that were able to predict maternal and developmental toxicity in vivo for a large group of p-substituted phenols (Kavlock, 1990). Furthermore, it is important to take into account that in vivo kinetics could greatly reduce the concentration actually reaching the embryo, and hence the embryotoxic effect in vivo. This demonstrates the necessity to combine in vitro embryotoxicity data with in vivo ADME processes, which could be achieved by extrapolating in vitro effect concentrations towards in vivo dose levels, using physiologically based kinetic models.

In conclusion, the EST was able to identify the embryotoxic potential for phenol and p-substituted phenols, providing an identical potency ranking compared to the WEC assay. However, the EST was not able to predict an accurate ranking for the phenols compared to their potency observed in vivo and hence is not yet able to serve as a standalone assay to replace animal testing, even not for the purpose of prioritising a closely related group of chemicals on their embryotoxicity like the p-substituted phenols. The reason for this disparity might be the kinetic difference between the in vitro and the in vivo system. Therefore, it is essential to combine in vitro embryotoxicity data with kinetic modelling of in vivo ADME processes in the evaluation of the true in vivo embryotoxic potential of a compound, thereby enhancing the power of the EST in the potency ranking and risk assessment of this class of chemicals. To this purpose, our laboratory is currently investigating whether combining in vitro toxicity levels obtained from the EST together with a physiologically based kinetic model for phenol, would actually lead towards a better prediction of in vivo embryotoxicity of these compounds.
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Combining in vitro embryotoxicity data with physiologically based kinetic (PBK) modelling to define in vivo dose-response curves for developmental toxicity of phenol in rat and human
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ABSTRACT

In vitro assays are often used for the hazard characterisation of compounds, but their application for quantitative risk assessment purposes is limited. This is because in vitro assays cannot provide a complete in vivo dose-response curve from which a point of departure (PoD) for risk assessment can be derived, like the no observed adverse effect level (NOAEL) or the 95% lower confidence limit of the benchmark dose (BMDL). To overcome this constraint, the present study combined in vitro data with a physiologically based kinetic (PBK) model applying reverse dosimetry. To this end, embryotoxicity of phenol was evaluated in vitro using the embryonic stem cell test (EST), revealing a concentration dependent inhibition of differentiation into beating cardiomyocytes. In addition, a PBK model was developed on the basis of in vitro and in silico data and data available from the literature only. After evaluating the PBK model performance, effective concentrations (EC₅₀) obtained with the EST served as an input for in vivo plasma concentrations in the PBK model. Applying PBK-based reverse dosimetry provided in vivo external effective dose levels (ED₅₀) from which an in vivo dose-response curve and a PoD for risk assessment were derived. The predicted PoD lies within the variation of the NOAELs obtained from in vivo developmental toxicity data from the literature. In conclusion, the present study showed that it was possible to accurately predict a PoD for the risk assessment of phenol using in vitro toxicity data combined with reverse PBK modelling.
INTRODUCTION

In vitro toxicity assays play an important role in screening chemicals for their possible hazards and prioritising them for further toxicity testing. The implementation of in vitro assays in quantitative risk assessment, however, is limited. An important reason underlying this limitation is that in vitro assays cannot provide a complete in vivo dose-response curve from which a point of departure (PoD) for risk assessment can be derived, like the no observed adverse effect level (NOAEL) or the 95% lower confidence limit of the benchmark dose (BMDL). To overcome this constraint, toxicological in vitro data can be combined with physiologically based kinetic (PBK) modelling, applying reverse dosimetry (Louisse et al. 2010; Verwei et al. 2006). With PBK-based reverse dosimetry, external dose levels are estimated from internal concentrations, i.e. plasma or tissue concentrations, using a PBK model describing the kinetics of a compound. Concentration-response information obtained from an in vitro assay, represented by different effective concentrations (ECₙ), may serve as a surrogate for in vivo internal effect concentrations in the PBK model. Applying PBK-based reverse dosimetry will then provide external effective dose levels (EDₙ) from which an in vivo dose-response curve and a PoD for risk assessment can be derived. Identifying whether this in vitro PBK approach with reverse dosimetry can actually be applied in quantitative risk assessment of chemicals, requires insight into its predictive performance for different compounds (Rietjens et al. 2011; Punt et al. 2011).

The objective of the present study was to demonstrate the capability of the in vitro PBK approach to predict in vivo dose-response curves and hence a PoD for risk assessment from experimentally derived in vitro toxicity data, with phenol as the selected model compound. PBK models for rat and human were developed on the basis of only in vitro and in silico data and data available from the literature to contribute to the 3Rs principle for the replacement, reduction and refinement of animal testing in the most optimal way.

Phenol is a high production volume chemical and is an important compound in many production processes, like the manufacturing of bisphenol-A and phenolic resins (Weber and Weber 2010). Phenol is present in various commercially available (household) products and has a number of clinical applications as well (ATSDR 2008; Landau 2007; Kheder and Nair 2012). Exposure to phenol can occur occupationally, domestically as well as clinically, i.e. via inhalation, ingestion of contaminated drinking water, or via dermal contact (ATSDR 2008). The compound is also a major intermediate metabolite of benzene and exposure can therefore also occur due to benzene exposure (Rothman et al. 1998). Uptake of phenol itself occurs rapidly via all routes (Hughes and Hall 1995). Animal studies revealed adverse effects on the kidney, liver, and the immunological and neurological system upon exposure (Bruce et al. 2001). Moreover, several studies demonstrated the embryotoxic potential of phenol in vivo (Argus 1997; Kavlock 1990) and in vitro (Chapman et al. 1994; Oglesby et al. 1992;
In vivo developmental toxicity has been used as endpoint to derive a PoD for the risk assessment of phenol (Baars et al. 2001; Environment Agency 2009; WHO 1994). In the present study, it will be evaluated whether such a PoD could also be adequately predicted with the combined in vitro PBK approach using the in vitro embryonic stem cell test (EST) for determining the embryotoxic potential of phenol.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**COMPUNDS AND BIOLOGICAL MATERIALS**

Phenol (99%), tetra-n-butylammonium bromide, phenylglucuronide (≥99%), ammonium acetate (≥ 98%), sulfamic acid (99.3%), uridine 5’-diphosphoglucuronic acid (UDPGA), alamethicin (≥98%), sulfatase (from *Helix pomatia*), 3’-phosphoadenosine-5’-phosphosulfate (PAPS) and tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane (Tris) (≥99.9%) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (≥99.9%) was obtained from Acros Organics (Geel, Belgium), acetonitrile (ULC/MS grade) and methanol (HPLC supra-gradient) from BioSolve (Valkenswaard, The Netherlands), and trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) (≥99.8%) from J.T. Baker (Philipsburg, NJ, USA). Glacial acetic acid, sodium acetate trihydrate (99.5%), hydrochloride acid (37%) pyridine and magnesium chloride hexahydrate (≥ 99%) were purchased from VWR International GmbH (Darmstadt, Germany).

Pooled liver microsomes and cytosol from male Sprague-Dawley rats were obtained from BD Biosciences Gentest (Woburn, MA, USA). Pooled small intestinal microsomes and pooled small intestinal, kidney and lung cytosols, all from male Sprague-Dawley rats, and pooled human mixed gender small intestinal microsomes and cytosols were obtained from BioPredic (Rennes, France). Pooled male rat kidney and lung microsomes, pooled human mixed gender liver microsomes and cytosol, and pooled human mixed gender lung and kidney S9 were obtained from XenoTech (Lenexa, KS, USA).

**SYNTHESIS OF PHENYLSULFATE**

Phenylsulfate was synthesised as the potassium salt, from phenol and sulfamic acid in pyridine according to the method of Yamaguchi (1959). The synthesised phenylsulfate was required as a reference standard to quantify phenylsulfate from the incubation experiments, using LC-ESI-MS/MS. The product of synthesis was analysed by a Waters Alliance HPLC system using a Supelcosil LC-18 25 cm x 4.6 mm 5 μm column connected to a photodiode array detector (PDA, Waters). The isocratic eluent consisted of methanol and nanopure water (50:50) containing 0.05 M tetra-n-butylammonium bromide and 0.2% (v/v) acetic acid, and elution was performed at a flow of 0.8 ml/min. The amount of phenylsulfate in the synthesised product was quantified by the comparison of HPLC-PDA data to those for phenylglucuronide,
which has similar UV characteristics. Peak areas of phenylsulfate and phenylglucuronide were quantified at a wavelength of 262 nm. The retention times of phenylglucuronide and phenylsulfate were 4.6 and 9.9 min, respectively.

**GENERAL OUTLINE IN VITRO PBK APPROACH**

The in vitro PBK approach to predict in vivo dose-response curves and a PoD for risk assessment using in vitro toxicity data consisted of the following steps: (1) establishment of in vitro effective concentrations (EC) of phenol in the EST, (2) development of PBK models describing in vivo kinetic properties of phenol in rat and human and derivation of PBK model parameters, (3) evaluation of the PBK models, (4) translation of in vitro EC into in vivo external dose levels (ED) generating dose-response curves for developmental toxicity in rat and human and deriving a PoD for risk assessment, and (5) evaluation of the in vitro PBK approach.

**IN VITRO EMBRYOTOXICITY DATA AND THE AREA UNDER THE CURVE OF PHENOL IN THE EST**

Embryotoxicity data of phenol derived using the murine embryonic stem cell differentiation assay (ES-D3 cells) by Strikwold et al. (2012) were used as a starting point for the in vitro PBK approach to translate in vitro embryotoxicity data to in vivo toxicity values. The assay encompassed five independently performed experiments in which the inhibition of differentiation of ES-D3 cells into beating cardiomyocyte clusters was the toxicity endpoint that was studied. Cytotoxicity in ES-D3 cells and fibroblasts, which represent additional endpoints in the validated EST when used for embryotoxic potency classification (Genschow et al. 2004), was not quantified and is not required for the present study, since the aim was to convert a concentration-response curve of an in vitro developmental toxicity endpoint to an in vivo dose-response curve.

A compound may exert its toxic effect either by the peak concentration in blood (C_{max}) or by its concentration in blood over a specific time period, represented by the area under the blood concentration-time curve (AUC). The current study evaluated both metrics in the in vitro PBK approach. The area under the curve of phenol in the 10-day EST (AUC_{0-10d}) was obtained by measuring the course of the phenol concentration in the cell culture medium of the EST. This was evaluated for two test concentrations, namely 0.2 and 0.6 mM phenol. To this end, the embryonic stem cell differentiation assay was performed as described by Strikwold et al. (2012), but DMEM containing phenol red was replaced by phenol red-free DMEM to prevent interference of peaks in the chromatogram of phenol during analysis. Samples of the EST culture medium were taken immediately after refreshing the culture medium at days 3 and 5 of the EST and at t= 0, 1, 2, 4 and 24 h after starting the EST, then followed by a time interval of 24 h for the remaining test period. The samples were stored at -20 °C until analysis of the
IN VITRO ASSAYS FOR GLUCURONIDATION AND SULFATION OF PHENOL BY RAT AND HUMAN TISSUE SAMPLES

At first, the ability of rat and human tissue samples to glucuronidate and/or sulfate phenol was identified using incubations in 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.4 including 10 mM MgCl\textsubscript{2}) with liver, intestinal, kidney and lung tissue fractions (microsomes, cytosol or S9) containing (final concentrations) 1 mg protein/ml, 10 mM UDPGA or 0.6 mM PAPS, for a maximum of 2-h incubation.

Subsequently, incubations with pooled rat and pooled human microsomes, cytosol or S9 were performed to determine kinetic constants, namely the maximum enzyme reaction rate (\(V_{\text{max}}\)) and the Michaelis-Menten constant (\(K_{\text{m}}\)), for glucuronidation and sulfation of phenol for the metabolising organs. Conditions were optimised to obtain linear metabolism reaction rates with respect to incubation time and protein concentration and non-limiting cofactor levels were applied.

For glucuronidation, the rat incubation mixtures consisted of 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.4) with 10 mM MgCl\textsubscript{2}, containing (final concentrations) 2 mM UDPGA and 0.2 mg microsomal protein/ml for incubations with rat liver microsomes and 10 mM UDPGA and 0.7, 0.8, and 0.8 mg microsomal protein/ml for incubations with rat small intestinal, lung, and kidney microsomes, respectively. The incubation mixtures with human microsomes or S9 consisted of 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.4) with 10 mM MgCl\textsubscript{2}, containing (final concentrations) 10 mM UDPGA and 0.8 mg microsomal or S9 protein/ml, except for the incubations with human liver tissue fractions for which 0.5 mg microsomal protein/ml was used. To obtain maximum glucuronidation activity, the microsomes were activated by preincubating the incubation mixture with 0.025 mg/ml alamethicin added from a 200 times concentrated stock solution in methanol, during 15 min on ice (Fisher et al. 2000). Subsequently, the incubations were started after a 1-min preincubation at 37 °C by the addition of the substrate phenol from a 200 times concentrated stock solution in DMSO and left in a shaking water bath of 37 °C for 10, 20, 20 and 45 min for incubations with rat liver, intestinal, kidney and lung microsomes, respectively. Incubations with human liver microsomes were carried out for 10 min and those with human intestinal microsomes and kidney S9 for 45 min. The reactions were terminated by the addition of ice-cold acetonitrile (20% v/v). Subsequently, the reaction mixtures were put on ice. In the blank incubation mixtures, UDPGA was omitted.

For sulfation, the incubation mixtures consisted of 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.4), containing (final concentrations) 0.4 mM PAPS and 0.2 mg cytosolic protein/ml for incubations with rat liver cytosol and 0.4 mg cytosolic protein/ml for incubations with human liver cytosol and human intestinal cytosol. After a 1-min preincubation at 37 °C, the reactions were started by
the addition of the substrate phenol from a 100 times concentrated stock solution in DMSO and left in a shaking water bath of 37°C for 30 min for incubations with rat liver cytosol and 20 min for incubations with human liver and intestinal cytosol. The reactions were terminated by the addition of ice-cold acetonitrile (20% v/v). Subsequently the reaction mixtures were put on ice. In the blank incubation mixtures, PAPS was omitted.

The formation of phenylsulfate in the sulfation assays was confirmed by enzymatic deconjugation using sulfatase. A 60 μl volume of 0.1 M sodium acetate (pH 5.2) and 6 μl of sulfatase (400 units/ml) were added to 90 μl of the incubation mixtures of the sulfation assays (that was not stopped by the addition of ice-cold acetonitrile) and incubated overnight in a shaking water bath at 37°C. Control samples were treated under the same conditions, but without sulfatase.

**QUANTIFICATION OF PHENOL, PHENYLGLUCURONIDE AND PHENYLSULFATE**

Samples of the EST culture medium were thawed after which ice-cold acetonitrile was added (50% v/v). Subsequently, the samples were vortexed and left on ice for 20 min after which they were centrifuged at 10,000 g for 10 min. The samples of the incubation mixtures of the glucuronidation assay were centrifuged for 5 min at 14,000 rpm. Next, 3.5 μl of the supernatant of each sample was analysed by UPLC (Waters Acquity) using a Waters BEH C18 1.7 μm column, 2.1 x 50 mm, with nanopure water (0.1% TFA) (A) and pure acetonitrile (B) applying a gradient elution. The start condition was 100:0 (A:B), changing to 90:10 from 1 to 2 min, then to 10:90 from 2 to 4 min, remaining at this ratio for 0.5 min and then rapidly declining to the start condition. The flow rate was 0.6 ml/min. Peaks of phenol and phenylglucuronide were detected with a photodiode array detector (PDA, Waters) at a wavelength of 270 and 266 nm, respectively. Phenol and phenylglucuronide were quantified based on their peak areas using a linear calibration curve ($R^2$>0.999) prepared from commercially available reference compounds.

The incubation mixtures from the sulfation assay were centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 5 min, after which 5 μl of the supernatant of each sample was analysed by LC-ESI-MS/MS. High-performance liquid chromatography separation (PerkinElmer 200 series, Waltham, MA, USA) was performed using a Zorbax Extend-C18 column, 2.1 x 50 mm, 3.5 μm, with Zorbax guard column. The mobile phase consisted of 5 mM ammonium acetate solution (A) and methanol (B). The start condition was 80:20 (A:B) for 2 min, changing to 5:95 in 0.1 min and kept at this elution for 2.4 min, and then declining to the start condition in 0.1 min, which was maintained for 2.9 min. A flow rate of 0.2 ml/min was applied and the retention time of phenylsulfate was 1.51 min. The LC effluent was split using a valve (Valco Instruments Co. Inc.) to divert the effluent to waste for the period that phenylsulfate was not eluting to prevent contamination of the ionisation source. The HPLC was connected to an API-3000 triple-
quadrupole mass spectrometer with a turbo ionspray (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Nitrogen gas was used as the nebuliser gas. Sample analysis was performed in the negative ionisation mode, using multiple reaction monitoring (MRM). Transitions for phenylsulfate with \( m/z \) 173→93 and 173→80 (Kikuchi et al. 2010) were monitored and used for quantification and identity confirmation, respectively. The ionspray voltage was -4200 V, the nebuliser gas pressure was 10 psi, the curtain gas pressure was 8 psi, the collision gas pressure was 5 psi, and the ion source temperature was 350 °C. The declustering potential was -37 V, the focusing potential -200 V, the entrance potential -12 V, and the collision cell exit potential -15 V. The collision energies were -34 and -26 eV for transitions 173→93 and 173→80, respectively. The dwell time was 25 ms. Phenylsulfate was quantified based on its peak area using a linear calibration curve (\( R^2 > 0.997 \)) prepared from the in the present study synthesised phenylsulfate. The data were processed with the Analyst software, version 1.5 (Applied Biosystems).
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**Figure 1** Schematic presentation of the PBK model for phenol in rat and human.

**PBK MODEL RAT AND HUMAN**

A perfusion rate-limited distribution was used to describe the disposition of unconjugated phenol and its metabolites between plasma and tissue, an assumption that is in line with
data reported for rats exposed to phenol (Liao and Oehme 1981). The conceptual structure of the PBK model for unconjugated phenol is illustrated in Figure 1. A set of differential equations describes the kinetics of unconjugated phenol (supplementary data A). Liver, small intestine, kidney and lung were identified as metabolising organs in the present study. The liver was divided in 3 metabolic zones namely (1) the periportal zone, (2) the midzone and (3) the perivenous zone. Sulfation was assigned to be evenly distributed across the zones and glucuronidation was assigned to zone 3 (Pang et al. 2008). Fat was not included as a separate compartment, because relative low concentrations of phenol appear in fat containing tissues (Liao and Oehme 1981) and due to corresponding calculated partition coefficients with the other body tissues (data not shown). Therefore, fat was lumped together with all other body tissues. Simple phenolic compounds may readily pass the placental barrier (Abu-Qare et al. 2000; Gray and Kavlock 1990). Therefore, the concentration reaching the embryo was set equal to the maternal plasma concentration and no separate embryonic compartment was distinguished. Following exposure, a high recovery of phenol in urine has been reported, predominantly as phenol metabolites (Hiser et al. 1994; Capel et al. 1972; Weitering et al. 1979). Only minor excretion of unconjugated phenol was observed via faeces and no or hardly any via exhalation (Hiser et al. 1994). Hence, metabolism was considered as the only excretion pathway in the present PBK model. At high-dose levels, phenol has been reported to be partly converted to hydroquinone, which is excreted as hydroquinone glucuronide (Hiser et al. 1994). The formation of hydroquinone is not included in the present model as it is not expected that hydroquinone contributes to the developmental toxicity of phenol. Namely, hydroquinone was not developmental toxic at low doses in vivo (Blacker et al. 1993; Krasavage et al. 1992; Murphy et al. 1992), only at a single high dose of 333 mg/kg bw (Kavlock 1990), but such a high level will probably not be reached due to the limited formation of hydroquinone after phenol exposure. Kinetic model calculations were performed applying Rosenbrock’s algorithms for solving stiff systems (Berkeley Madonna, version 8.3.18, UC Berkeley, CA, USA).

All physiological parameters were obtained from Brown et al. (1997), except the fraction of blood flow to small intestine, which for rat was calculated using reported blood flow rates for the specific parts of the splanchnic system by Delp et al. (1998), whereas for human the value was taken from ICRP (2003). Physiological parameters are outlined in Table 1. Both, rat and human tissue:plasma partition coefficients were calculated by the algorithm of Berezhkovskiy (2004) requiring information on plasma protein binding, lipophilicity and acid-base properties. The olive oil:water partition coefficient was used to calculate the adipose tissue:plasma partition coefficient, and the octanol:water partition coefficient was used for the non-adipose tissues. The unbound fraction of phenol in plasma was 0.59 for the rat (Liao and Oehme 1981) and 0.47 for human (Judis 1982). The log Kow (pH 7.4) was 1.46 and the pKa was 9.99, which were obtained from the CHEMFATE database (http://esc.syres.com/esc/chemfate.htm). The olive oil:water partition coefficient was calculated from the Kow
using the algorithm reported by Poulin and Theil (2002). The tissue:plasma partition coefficients for rat and human are presented in Table 2.

**Table 1** Physiological data for rat and human applied in the PBK model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physiological parameter</th>
<th>Rat</th>
<th>Human</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of body weight</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small intestine</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>2.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial blood</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venous blood</td>
<td>5.55</td>
<td>5.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body remaining</td>
<td>77.6</td>
<td>76.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac output (l/h/kg bw^{0.74})</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Percentage of cardiac output  |               |             |
| Small intestine              | 7.5           | 10          |
| Liver (without flow from small intestine) | 17.5 | 12.7 |
| Kidney                       | 14.1          | 17.5        |
| Body remaining               | 60.9          | 59.8        |

**Table 2** Calculated tissue:plasma partition coefficients for rat and human.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tissue</th>
<th>Partition coefficient</th>
<th>Rat</th>
<th>Human</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small intestine</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body remaining</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Kinetic parameters, namely $V_{\text{max}}$ and $K_{\text{m}}$, were derived from the in vitro metabolism data obtained in the present study. To this end, the in vitro data were fit to the Michaelis-Menten equation (GraphPad Prism 5.0 software, San Diego, CA, USA). The in vitro-derived $V_{\text{max}}$ values (nmol/min/mg protein) were scaled to the in vivo situation using reported protein yields for the liver, small intestine, kidney and lung (Table 3). The in vivo $K_{\text{m}}$ values were assumed to equal the in vitro $K_{\text{m}}$ values. The oral uptake rate ($k_a$) of phenol via small intestine was 7.62/h (Humphrey et al. 1980) for the rat. The same oral uptake rate value was applied in the human PBK model.
Table 3 Microsomal and cytosolic protein yields (mg/g organ) of different organs for rat and human.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organ tissue fraction</th>
<th>Rat (mg/g)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Human (mg/g)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liver microsomes</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Chiu and Ginsberg (2011)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Barter et al. (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver cytosol</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>Chiu and Ginsberg (2011)</td>
<td>80.7</td>
<td>Cubitt et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intestinal microsomes</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>Van de Kerkhof et al. (2007)</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>Cubitt et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intestinal cytosol</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Gibbs et al. (1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney microsomes</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Bong et al. (1985)</td>
<td>16(^a)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung microsomes</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Medinsky et al. (1994)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Value of rat kidney microsomes.

EVALUATION OF THE PBK MODEL PERFORMANCE
The performance of the PBK model for rat was examined by means of comparing predicted plasma concentrations of phenol with reported in vivo plasma levels. For the rat, this was evaluated for both the oral and the intravenous (IV) route of administration and at different dose levels, using in vivo rat data for phenol available from the literature (Table 4). The performance of the human PBK model was not evaluated due to the lack of data on the disposition of phenol in humans.

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF THE PBK MODEL
For both the rat and human PBK models, a local parameter sensitivity analysis was carried out to identify influential parameters; each parameter was changed in turn keeping the other ones constant (Chiu et al. 2007). To this purpose, normalised sensitivity coefficients (SC) were calculated with respect to the maximum venous plasma concentrations of unconjugated phenol (C\(_{\text{max}}\)) using the algorithm:

\[
SC = \frac{(C' - C)}{(P' - P)} \times \left(\frac{P}{C}\right)
\]

where C is the initial value of the model output, and C’ is the output after a 1% parameter change. P is the initial parameter value and P’ is the parameter value modified by an increase of 1%. The sensitivity analysis was conducted for an oral exposure to a single dose of 1.5 and 150 mg/kg bw.

TRANSLATION OF IN VITRO-DERIVED EMBRYOTOXICITY DATA TO AN IN VIVO POD FOR RISK ASSESSMENT
PBK reverse dosimetry was conducted to predict in vivo dose-response curves from in vitro concentration-response data. To this purpose, each nominal effective concentration (EC\(_x\)) of
phenol tested in the EST by Strikwold et al. (2012) was set equal to the maximum plasma concentration of unconjugated phenol \( (C_{\text{max}}) \) in the PBK model. In a second approach, the area under the curve of phenol in the 10-day EST \( (\text{AUC}_{0-10d}) \) was set equal to the in vivo AUC of phenol in plasma. No correction was applied to in vitro embryotoxic effect levels to account for different concentrations of free phenol in the EST culture medium and in plasma caused by different albumin levels in these matrices, since it was found that in vitro cytotoxicity of phenol tested with the fibroblast-like embryonic mouse cell line Balb/c 3T3 clone A31 was not affected by differences in bovine serum albumin levels reflecting the in vitro and in vivo situation (Gülden et al. 2002). Applying PBK-based reverse dosimetry with in vitro EC\( _x \) (or AUC response data) as an input for in vivo plasma levels provides in vivo effective dose levels (ED\( _x \)). From these ED\( _x \) values, an in vivo dose-response curve and a BMDL\(_{05}\) (95% lower confidence limit of the benchmark dose at 5% benchmark response) were derived, using the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) Benchmark Dose Software (BMDS) version 2.2, applying the model that provided the best fit as described previously (Strikwold et al. 2012).

**EVALUATION IN VITRO PBK APPROACH**

In vivo dose-response curves for the rat predicted with the in vitro PBK approach were compared to individual data points representing the fraction of embryos affected at different experimental doses obtained from in vivo developmental toxicity studies (Table 5). To this purpose, the average continuous data for foetal weight/litter as reported by Argus (1997) were dichotomised using a 5% reduction in the foetal body weight from the mean foetal body weight/litter of the control group as a cut-off point. Based on this point, each foetal body weight/per litter was assigned affected or not affected, from which the fraction affected was calculated. In addition to this evaluation, the in vivo BMDL\(_{05}\) predicted with the in vitro PBK approach was compared to NOAELs obtained from in vivo developmental toxicity studies from the literature.

To provide a sound evaluation of the in vitro PBK approach, exposure routes and scenarios (i.e. oral intake) from in vivo developmental toxicity studies for the rat available from literature (Table 5) were applied to the in vitro PBK approach. In most studies, phenol was tested during several consecutive days administering a single daily oral dose. As phenol was rapidly eliminated (<2 h) at a dose <350 mg/kg bw, only one single oral administration was modelled with the PBK model for unconjugated phenol. In the study of Ryan et al. (2001), rats were dosed via drinking water. The intake of drinking water by rats occurs in bouts (Zorrilla et al. 2005). The oral intake of phenol was calculated using a maximum intake amount of 6.6 ml water per bout (Zorrilla et al. 2005) multiplied by the concentration of phenol in drinking water to obtain maximum peak concentrations. Accumulation of phenol that could result from quick successive drinking water bouts was not considered.
RESULTS

IN VITRO EMBRYOTOXICITY DATA AND THE AREA UNDER THE CURVE OF PHENOL IN THE EST

Phenol inhibited differentiation of ES-D3 cells into beating cardiomyocytes in a concentration-dependent fashion (Figure 2). The in vitro BMC_{50} (benchmark concentration at 50% level of response) was 590 μM and the BMC_{1-99} ranged from 70 to 1520 μM (Strikwold et al. 2012).

It was found that the phenol concentration in the EST culture medium decreased rapidly in the first few hours of the EST resulting in hardly any exposure from day 0-3 in the EST. In the remaining days, phenol was relatively stable (Figure 2). The decrease of the phenol concentration in time follows a similar course for phenol concentrations of 0.2 and 0.6 mM and was on average 39% of the nominal concentration. The nominal AUC_{0-10d} of each concentration tested in the EST was reduced by 39% to calculate the actual AUC_{0-10d}, which then served as the surrogate for the in vivo plasma AUC in the PBK model.

![Figure 2](image)

**Figure 2** a Concentration-response curve for phenol representing the inhibition of differentiation of the embryoid bodies (EBs) at the specified concentrations, as previously obtained by Strikwold et al. (2012). Different symbols represent independent assays. b Course of the phenol concentration in the EST in time, tested at initial concentrations (t = 0) of 0.2 mM (●) and 0.6 mM (♦). Symbols represent mean values ± SD.

IN VITRO KINETIC DATA FOR RAT AND HUMAN

Analysis of the incubations with phenol revealed that rat liver, small intestinal, kidney and lung microsomes were able to metabolise phenol towards phenylglucuronide and that rat liver cytosol was capable of forming phenylsulfate. For human samples, the incubations with phenol showed that liver and small intestinal microsomes and kidney S9 were able to glucuronidate phenol to phenylglucuronide and that both human liver and human small intestinal cytosol were able to form phenylsulfate. Sulfation was not detected in incubations with rat small intestinal cytosol, rat kidney and lung cytosol, and not with human kidney and lung S9 up to 2 h of incubation, neither was glucuronidation detected in incubations with human lung S9.
In each incubation with the relevant tissue fractions (microsomes, S9 or cytosol), metabolism followed Michaelis-Menten kinetics (Figure 3). The apparent $K_m$, $V_{max}$ and the catalytic efficiencies ($V_{max(app)}$/$K_m$) of the tissue fractions are presented in Table 6, as well as the scaled $V_{max(app)}$ and the scaled catalytic efficiencies (scaled $V_{max(app)}$/$K_m$). In general, the scaled catalytic efficiencies (ml/h/g organ) for glucuronidation and sulfation of phenol obtained from human tissue fractions were lower compared to values obtained from rat tissue fractions. It appeared that the scaled catalytic glucuronidation efficiency was higher than the scaled catalytic sulfation efficiency for both rat and human liver, while the scaled catalytic sulfation efficiency in human intestinal tissue fraction was higher compared to the scaled catalytic glucuronidation efficiency. The liver was found to be the main metabolising organ for phenol in both rat and human. Rat liver tissue expressed a scaled catalytic glucuronidation efficiency of 440 ml/h/g liver, which is 6.4-fold higher compared to kidney tissue and 35.7- and 160.7-fold higher compared to lung tissue and small intestinal tissue, respectively. The ranking of human organs based on their scaled catalytic efficiencies is almost similar to the rat organs, identifying the liver as the main metabolising organ expressing a scaled catalytic efficiency of 59 ml/h/g liver, followed by kidney and small intestine that showed a 9.1- and a 23.0-fold lower catalytic efficiency compared to the liver. The scaled catalytic efficiency for sulfation in rat liver tissue was 636 ml/h/g liver and occurred with a relatively high affinity and low capacity resulting in saturation of this pathway at low doses. Human liver cytosol expressed a scaled catalytic sulfation efficiency of 17 ml/h/g liver, which is 1.4 times higher than the scaled catalytic efficiency determined with human intestinal cytosol. In case the catalytic efficiencies (ml/h/g liver) were scaled to a whole organ (ml/h/organ) using organ weights, then the ranking between the organs based on their catalytic efficiency would remain the same, both for rat and human (data not shown).
PBK-based reverse dosimetry to predict developmental toxicity of phenol in rat and human

Figure 3 Concentration-dependent formation of phenylglucuronide (a and c) and phenylsulfate (b and d) by liver (●), small intestine (▲), kidney (■) and lung (▼) in incubations with relevant rat (a and b) and human (c and d) tissue fractions (microsomes, cytosol or S9). Individual symbols represent mean activities of ≥ 3 measurements ± SD.

Table 4 Specifications of in vivo kinetic studies of phenol published in the literature and applied to evaluate the developed PBK model for phenol.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species and strain</th>
<th>Body weight (kg)</th>
<th>Exposure route</th>
<th>Dose (mg/kg bw/d)</th>
<th>$C_{\text{max PBK}}$/ $C_{\text{max in vivo}}$</th>
<th>AUC_{PBK}/ AUC_{in vivo}</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fischer 344 rat</td>
<td>0.191</td>
<td>Oral</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>Bolus dose, volume administration 5 ml/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.175</td>
<td></td>
<td>147</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sprague-Dawley rat</td>
<td>0.263</td>
<td>Intraduodenal</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(male)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.01-h infusion (assumption)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sprague-Dawley rat</td>
<td>0.263</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(male)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.167-h infusion, volume administration 10 ml/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Mean of the reported range of 0.241-0.330 kg.

$^b$ No in vivo data available for first few minutes after dosing; therefore, no reliable $C_{\text{max}}$ could be obtained from the in vivo data.
EVALUATION OF THE PBK MODEL PERFORMANCE

Figure 4 shows plasma concentration-time curves for unconjugated phenol in the rat predicted with the developed rat PBK model together with in vivo kinetic data obtained from the literature. The kinetic data show that phenol is rapidly absorbed in the body, resulting in a high peak concentration, after which phenol is readily excreted from the body, both at low and high doses. Figure 4 reveals that the PBK model accurately predicts the time course of changes in plasma concentrations of phenol for the oral route and for the IV route at the specified doses. The difference between the predicted and observed $C_{\text{max}}$ for a single oral dose of 1.69 and 147 mg/kg bw was 1.56- and 0.86-fold, respectively, and for 10-min IV infusion of 1.5 mg/kg bw, there was no difference (1.0-fold) (Table 4). In addition, the difference between the AUC predicted with the PBK model for phenol and the AUC values obtained from the corresponding studies was small for most of the concentrations tested (Table 4). Only at the low single oral dose of 1.69 mg/kg bw, the model tends to overpredict the AUC expressing a 4.28-fold difference between the AUC predicted with the PBK model and the observed AUC obtained from the study of Hiser et al. (1994) (Table 4).

Figure 4 Plasma concentration-time profiles for phenol in rat predicted with the PBK model (solid lines) and published in vivo values (▲) after a single oral dose of 1.69 and 147 mg/kg bw (a) (Hiser et al. 1994) and after IV dosing of 1.5 mg/kg bw with an infusion rate of 0.167/h (b) (Dickinson and Taylor 1996) and 0.4 mg/kg bw with presumed infusion rate of 0.01/h (c) (Cassidy and Houston 1984). a shows mean values ± SD.
Table 5 In vivo developmental toxicity data of phenol obtained from the literature, used to evaluate the in vitro PBK approach.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species and strain</th>
<th>Exposure day(s)</th>
<th>Dose (mg/kg bw/d)(^a)</th>
<th>Developmental endpoint</th>
<th>Developmental NOAEL (mg/kg bw/d)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sprague-Dawley rat</td>
<td>GD 11</td>
<td>0, 100, 333, 667, 1000</td>
<td>Malformations(^b) reported at two highest doses(^c)</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>Kavlock (1990)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sprague-Dawley rat</td>
<td>GD 6-15</td>
<td>0, 30, 60, 120</td>
<td>Decreased average foetal bw/litter</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Jones-Price et al. (1983a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sprague-Dawley rat</td>
<td>GD 6-15</td>
<td>0, 60, 120, 360 (3 doses/d; 0, 20, 40, 120 mg/kg bw/dosage)</td>
<td>Decreased average foetal bw/litter</td>
<td>120 (40)</td>
<td>Argus (1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fischer rat</td>
<td>GD 6-19</td>
<td>0, 40, 53.3</td>
<td>Reduced live pups/litter and fraction litters fully resorbed</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Narotsky and Kavlock (1995)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sprague-Dawley rat</td>
<td>10-11 weeks prior to mating through weaning</td>
<td>0, 200, 1000, 5000 ppm (= 0, 20, 93, 350 mg/kg bw/d)</td>
<td>Fraction of offspring nonlive postnatal day 4 and postnatal day 7-21</td>
<td>70 (males) and 93 (females)</td>
<td>Ryan et al. (2001)(^d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD-1 mice</td>
<td>GD 6-15</td>
<td>0, 70, 140, 280 (final study) 0,100, 200, 230, 260, 275, 300, 400 (preliminary study)</td>
<td>Decreased average foetal bw/litter Fraction foetuses malformed</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>Jones-Price et al. (1983b)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) In each study phenol was administered by oral gavage, except in the study of Ryan et al. (2001) in which phenol was administered via drinking water.

\(^b\) Hindlimb paralysis and/or short or kinky tails.

\(^c\) Not analysed on statistical significance.

\(^d\) Effects possibly related to decreased maternal water intake due to flavour aversion.
Table 6 Kinetic constants $K_m$ and $V_{max} \pm SD$, and catalytic efficiencies of the formation of phase II metabolites phenylglucuronide and phenylsulfate of phenol in rat and human tissue fractions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Organ</th>
<th>Glucuronidation</th>
<th>Sulfation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>In vitro tissue fraction</td>
<td>$K_{m(app)}^a$</td>
<td>$V_{max(app)}^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>Liver microsomes</td>
<td>465 ± 66</td>
<td>90 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Small intestine microsomes</td>
<td>2061 ± 853</td>
<td>39 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kidney microsomes</td>
<td>388 ± 70</td>
<td>28 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lung microsomes</td>
<td>550 ± 77</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human</td>
<td>Liver microsomes</td>
<td>1105 ± 101</td>
<td>34 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Small intestine microsomes</td>
<td>4723 ± 785</td>
<td>9.9 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kidney S9</td>
<td>529 ± 98</td>
<td>3.6 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lung S9</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n.d. not detected

$^a$ μM.

$^b$ nmol/min/mg protein in tissue fraction.

$^c$ μl/min/mg protein in tissue fraction.

$^d$ μmol/h/g organ.

$^e$ ml/h/g organ.
**SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF THE PBK MODEL**

The sensitivity analysis (supplementary data B) revealed that the intestinal absorption coefficient, the volume of the liver, the maximum glucuronidation and sulfation rate in the liver and the liver cytosolic protein yield were the most influential parameters in the rat PBK model expressing normalised sensitivity coefficients >1. The normalised sensitivity coefficients of most of the PBK model parameters were not dose-dependent, except for parameters related to sulfation in the rat liver (cytosolic protein yield and the Michaelis-Menten parameters $K_m$ and $V_{max}$), which were more influential on $C_{max}$ at low oral dose levels compared to high oral dose levels.

The sensitivity analysis of the human PBK model revealed that the intestinal absorption coefficient, the volume of the intestine, the microsomal protein yield in the intestine and the maximum glucuronidation rate in the intestine were the most influential parameters in the model, expressing normalised sensitivity coefficients >1. The normalised sensitivity coefficients of several parameters of the human PBK model were dose-dependent, namely those for the body weight, the volume of the liver and the volume of the small intestine, the blood flow to the small intestine, the intestinal absorption coefficient, and parameters related to metabolism. Compared to the rat, parameters related to glucuronidation in human intestine were more influential at lower doses and parameters related to sulfation of phenol in the liver were not as influential in the human PBK model compared to the rat PBK model at low doses. These differences in sensitivity of the model parameters may be explained by the different catalytic efficiencies of the metabolising organs in rat and human.

**TRANSLATION OF IN VITRO-DERIVED EMBRYOTOXICITY DATA TO AN IN VIVO POD FOR RISK ASSESSMENT**

Figure 5 presents the predicted in vivo dose-response curves for developmental toxicity of phenol in the rat using the in vitro PBK approach, along with developmental toxicity data obtained from the literature. This figure shows that the predicted dose-response curves for the rat based on the $C_{max}$ of phenol closely mimic most of the in vivo developmental toxicity values obtained from the literature, which is not the case for the dose-response curve based on the AUC$_{0-10d}$. The BMDL$_{05}$ derived from the dose-response curve for rat based on the $C_{max}$ is 93.5 mg/kg bw/d and the BMDL$_{05}$ derived from the dose-response curve for rat based on the AUC$_{0-10d}$ is 1890 mg/kg bw/d. From here onwards, the BMDL$_{05}$ derived from $C_{max}$ is considered because this value matches the in vivo data better than the BMDL$_{05}$ derived from the AUC$_{0-10d}$. The NOAELs for the rat obtained from the available in vivo developmental toxicity studies are presented in Table 5. A 0.3- to 2.3-fold difference was observed between the in the present study predicted BMDL$_{05}$ and the in vivo-derived NOAELs. The predicted BMDL$_{05}$ lies within the range of the reported PoDs obtained from in vivo developmental toxicity studies (Figure 6). The BMDL$_{05}$ derived from the dose-response curve for human (Figure 5) based on the $C_{max}$ is 26.9 mg/kg bw/d, which is 3.5-fold lower compared to the predicted BMDL$_{05}$ for the rat.
Figure 5 In vivo dose-response curves together with the 95% lower confidence limit for developmental toxicity of phenol in rat (a) and human (b) predicted by the in vitro PBK approach compared to in vivo developmental toxicity data reported in the literature. The solid lines represent the predicted dose-response curves based on the nominal test concentration of phenol relating $C_{\text{max}}$ to developmental toxicity, and the dashed lines represent the predicted dose-response curves relating the $\text{AUC}_{0-10\text{d}}$ of phenol to developmental toxicity. Symbols represent fraction of litters which had offspring with hindlimb paralysis and/or short or kinky tails (□) (Kavlock 1990), fraction of offspring nonlive postnatal day 4 (△) and fraction of offspring nonlive postnatal day 7-21 (×) (Ryan et al. 2001), fraction of litters with 5% reduction of foetal bw compared to average foetal bw/litter of the control group (▽) (Argus 1997), fraction litters fully resorbed (Ο), fraction nonlive pups per litter (compared to number of implants (average data)) (◇) (Narotsky and Kavlock 1995) and fraction foetuses malformed (+) (Jones-Price et al. 1983b). See Table 5 for specifications of the in vivo data.

Figure 6 95% lower confidence limit of the benchmark dose (BMDL$_{05}$) for developmental toxicity of phenol in the rat predicted by the in vitro PBK approach (line) compared to NOAELs (●) obtained from in vivo developmental toxicity studies of phenol. See Table 5 for specifications of the in vivo data.
DISCUSSION

The objective of the present study was to demonstrate the potential of a combined in vitro PBK approach to predict in vivo dose-response curves that allow definition of a PoD for risk assessment using in vitro-derived toxicity data combined with a PBK model, with phenol as the compound of interest. The current study demonstrated that combining in vitro toxicity data with a PBK model is a promising approach to derive safe exposure levels for the risk assessment of chemicals, predicting a BMDL<sub>05</sub> that lies within the variation of NOAELs obtained from in vivo developmental toxicity data from the literature. The maximum observed difference was 0.3-fold between the in vitro PBK predicted BMDL<sub>05</sub> of 93.5 mg/kg bw/d and the in vivo NOAELs with 333 mg/kg bw/d being the highest NOAEL. This result was achieved on the basis of in vitro and in silico data and data available from the literature only, thereby considerably contributing to the reduction, refinement and replacement (3Rs) in animal testing. In practice, there is a great demand for alternatives to animal experiments, as the use of animal testing is regulated in the new Cosmetic Products Regulation, which banned the testing of cosmetic ingredients on animals by March 2013 (EC 2009) and in the EU chemical legislation-Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and restriction of CHemicals (REACH)-which states that animal testing may only be undertaken as a last resort (EC 2007). As only a few examples of the in vitro PBK approach are reported so far (Louisse et al. 2010; Verwei et al. 2006), more studies are required before the present approach can be regarded reliable and implemented in practice.

In most in vivo developmental toxicity studies, phenol was administered during several consecutive gestational days, resulting in a reduced foetal body weight. This adverse effect was not observed in the developmental screening study of Kavlock (1990) in which phenol was administered only on gestational day 11 up to a dose of 1000 mg/kg bw. Phenol is very rapidly eliminated from the body and does not accumulate after repeated daily dosing. The current study showed that the C<sub>max</sub> was an appropriate metric to predict in vivo developmental toxicity of phenol for most endpoints including reduction in foetal body weight.

Physiological conditions of rat and human may change during pregnancy and may influence the kinetics of a compound. It has been reported that uridine diphosphate glucuronyltransferase (UDPGT) activity towards phenolic substrates in pregnant rats can be reduced by about 50% during pregnancy (Luquita et al. 2001). Glucuronidation is the dominant elimination pathway at higher dose levels of phenol. Using the approach developed in the present study it, was calculated that a 50% reduction of the V<sub>max</sub> for glucuronidation in the liver would result in a 1.6-fold lower BMDL<sub>05</sub> of 58.9 mg/kg bw/d. This predicted BMDL<sub>05</sub> still lies well within the observed variation of the in vivo PoDs for developmental toxicity, though evaluating pregnancy specific kinetic changes may be valuable to refine model predictions.
Glucuronidation and sulfation conjugates were considered to be the most important phenol metabolites in the PBK models for rat and human in analogy with the metabolic profiles obtained from reported in vivo kinetic studies. Sulfation of phenol in rat small intestine could not be identified in vitro in incubations with tissue fractions that were positively screened for sulfation activity with genistein as the control substrate. However, sulfation of phenol was demonstrated in intestinal in situ perfusion studies in the rat (Kothare and Zimmerman 2002; Powell et al. 1974) and in isolated rat intestinal cells and preparations (Shirkey et al. 1979; Powell et al. 1974). This discrepancy, however, would not affect the predicted dose-response curves (BMD$_{1-99}$) and the PoD for phenol to a large extent, as the observed developmental toxic effect occurs at high doses, far above levels at which sulfation becomes saturated and at which the glucuronidation pathway dominates metabolism.

The predicted BMD$_{05}$ of 93.5 mg/kg bw/d for rat obtained using the in vitro PBK approach could theoretically be used as a PoD for risk assessment, applying a default uncertainty factor of 100, accounting for intra- and interspecies kinetic and dynamic differences (IPCS 2005). This would result in a human guidance value for the general population of 0.93 mg/kg bw/d. Applying the BMD$_{05}$ of 26.9 mg/kg bw/d obtained with the human in vitro PBK approach allows for elimination of an uncertainty factor of $10^{6.0}$ that accounts for interspecies kinetic differences (IPCS 2005). This provides a guidance value of 1.07 mg/kg bw/d which is close to the guidance value of 0.93 mg/kg bw/d derived from the rat in vitro PBK approach. Thus, the interspecies kinetic differences elucidated with the present PBK model for rat and human are correctly covered with the default uncertainty factor for interspecies kinetic differences (IPCS 2005).

The present study used the EST to assess the potential of phenol to cause developmental toxic effects in vivo. It should be noted, however, that the endpoint inhibition of cardiomyocyte differentiation tested in the EST cannot reflect the whole array of developmental toxic effects that can be induced by compounds, as some compounds interfere with processes that are not present in the 10-day EST and hence some effects may remain undetected. Therefore, additional test systems reflecting extra endpoints i.e. neural differentiation may be considered when applying this in vitro PBK approach in practice. In addition, there may be uncertainty whether the sensitivity of an in vitro test system towards the compound accurately reflects the sensitivity in vivo. Applying an extra uncertainty factor that accounts for these differences in sensitivity may therefore be considered in case the current in vitro PBK approach is applied for risk assessment purposes. Finally, it may be noted that the EST was not able to correctly rank a series of p-substituted phenols to their in vivo potency, except phenol itself (Strikwold et al. 2012). A reason may be the kinetic differences between the in vitro and the in vivo situation (Strikwold et al. 2012). Applying the current in vitro PBK approach to this series of p-substituted phenols may provide a promising strategy to elucidate this aspect in the future.
Overall, this study shows that it is possible to accurately predict a PoD for the risk assessment of phenol, providing a PoD that lies within the range of the reported in vivo PoDs for developmental toxicity, using in vitro, in silico data and data from the literature only. It shows how combining different alternatives to animal testing such as in vitro and in silico methods can enlarge their application from screening and prioritising chemicals towards deriving safe exposure levels for the risk assessment of compounds. Although, the application of the presented in vitro PBK approach is still in its infancy, the positive results obtained in the present study may stimulate to explore its feasibility for other toxicological endpoints and a wide set of compounds.
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### SUPPLEMENTARY DATA A

**MASS BALANCE EQUATIONS AND PARAMETER SPECIFICATIONS OF THE PBK MODEL FOR PHENOL IN THE RAT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMPOUND</th>
<th>ABBREVIATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>phenol</td>
<td>ph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phenylglucuronide</td>
<td>pg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phenylsulfate</td>
<td>ps</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMPARTMENT (TISSUE (T))</th>
<th>ABBREVIATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Small intestine</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remaining body</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venous</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VARIABLE</th>
<th>UNIT</th>
<th>ABBREVIATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blood flow rate to tissue</td>
<td>l h$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Q(T)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac output</td>
<td>l h$^{-1}$</td>
<td>QC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentration phenol in tissue or blood</td>
<td>μM</td>
<td>C(T)$_{ph}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partition coefficient tissue:blood phenol</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>P(T)$_{ph}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume of tissue or blood</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>V(T)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount phenol in tissue or blood</td>
<td>μmol</td>
<td>A(T)$_{ph}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum rate of formation metabolite (m) in tissue</td>
<td>μmol h$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Vmax(T)$_{m}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michaelis-Menten constant for formation metabolite (m) in tissue</td>
<td>μM</td>
<td>Km(T)$_{m}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uptake rate phenol intestine</td>
<td>h$^{-1}$</td>
<td>ka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount phenol taken up from the gut lumen</td>
<td>μmol</td>
<td>Uptake$_{ph}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount phenol remaining in the gut lumen</td>
<td>μmol</td>
<td>AGL$_{ph}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SMALL INTESTINE

\[ \frac{dA_{Iph}}{dt} = \frac{dU_{\text{take}_{ph}}}{dt} + QI \left( C_{A_{ph}} - \frac{C_{Iph}}{P_{Iph}} \right) \quad \frac{V_{\text{max}_{ps}} \cdot C_{Iph}}{P_{Iph}} \quad \frac{K_{m_{ps}} + C_{Iph}}{P_{Iph}} \]

Uptake phenol from gut lumen

\[ \frac{dU_{\text{take}_{ph}}}{dt} = -\frac{dA_{G_{Lph}}}{dt} = ka \cdot A_{G_{Lph}} \]

\[ A_{G_{Lph}}(0) = \text{Oral dose} \]

\[ C_{Iph} = \frac{A_{Iph}}{V_I} \]

LIVER COMPARTMENT 1

\[ \frac{dA_{L1_{ph}}}{dt} = QL \cdot C_{A} + QI \cdot \frac{C_{Iph}}{P_{Iph}} - (QL + QI) \cdot \frac{C_{L1_{ph}}}{P_{Iph}} - \frac{V_{\text{max}_{ps}} / 3 \cdot C_{L1_{ph}}}{P_{Iph}} \quad \frac{K_{m_{ps}} + C_{Iph}}{P_{Iph}} \]

\[ C_{L1_{ph}} = \frac{A_{L1_{ph}}}{V_I / 3} \]

LIVER COMPARTMENT 2

\[ \frac{dA_{L2_{ph}}}{dt} = (QL + QI) \cdot \frac{C_{L1_{ph}}}{P_{Iph}} - (QL + QI) \cdot \frac{C_{L2_{ph}}}{P_{Iph}} - \frac{V_{\text{max}_{ps}} / 3 \cdot C_{L2_{ph}}}{P_{Iph}} \quad \frac{K_{m_{ps}} + C_{Iph}}{P_{Iph}} \]

\[ C_{L2_{ph}} = \frac{A_{L2_{ph}}}{V_I / 3} \]
**LIVER COMPARTMENT 3**

\[
\frac{dA_{L3\text{ph}}}{dt} = (QL + QI) \cdot \frac{CL_{2\text{ph}}}{PL_{ph}} - (QL + QI) \cdot \frac{CL_{3\text{ph}}}{PL_{ph}} = \frac{V_{maxI_{pg}} \cdot CL_{3\text{ph}}}{KL_{pg} + \frac{CL_{3\text{ph}}}{PL_{ph}}} - \frac{V_{maxL_{ps}} \cdot CL_{3\text{ph}}}{KL_{ps} + \frac{CL_{3\text{ph}}}{PL_{ph}}}
\]

\[CL_{3\text{ph}} = \frac{A_{L3\text{ph}}}{VL/3}\]

**LUNG COMPARTMENT**

\[
\frac{dA_{P\text{ph}}}{dt} = QC \cdot \left(CV_{ph} - CP_{ph} \cdot PP_{ph}\right) = \frac{V_{maxP_{pg}} \cdot CP_{ph}}{KP_{pg} + CP_{ph} \cdot PP_{ph}}
\]

\[CP_{ph} = \frac{A_{P\text{ph}}}{VP}\]

**KIDNEY COMPARTMENT**

\[
\frac{dA_{K\text{ph}}}{dt} = QK \cdot \left(CA_{ph} - CK_{ph} \cdot PK_{ph}\right) = \frac{V_{maxK_{pg}} \cdot CK_{ph}}{KK_{pg} + CK_{ph} \cdot PK_{ph}}
\]

\[CK_{ph} = \frac{A_{K\text{ph}}}{VK}\]

**REMAINING BODY TISSUE**

\[
\frac{dA_{B\text{ph}}}{dt} = QB \cdot \left(CA_{ph} - CB_{ph} \cdot PB_{ph}\right)
\]

\[CB_{ph} = \frac{A_{B\text{ph}}}{VB}\]
ARterial Blood COMPartment

\[
\frac{dAA_{\text{ph}}}{dt} = QC \times \left( \frac{CP_{\text{ph}}}{PP_{\text{ph}}} - CA_{\text{ph}} \right)
\]
\[
CA_{\text{ph}} = \frac{AA_{\text{ph}}}{VA}
\]

VENous Blood COMPartment

\[
\frac{dAV_{\text{ph}}}{dt} = (QL + QI) \times \frac{CL3_{\text{ph}}}{PL_{\text{ph}}} + QK \times \frac{CK_{\text{ph}}}{PK_{\text{ph}}} + QB \times \frac{CP_{\text{ph}}}{PB_{\text{ph}}} - QC \times CV_{\text{ph}}
\]
\[
CV_{\text{ph}} = \frac{AV_{\text{ph}}}{VV}
\]

Supplementary DATA B

Figure S1 Normalised sensitivity coefficients for parameters of the PBK model for rat (a) and human (b) based on \(C_{\text{max}}\) values from a single oral dose of 1.5 mg/kg bw (black bars) and 150 mg/kg bw (grey bars). Normalised sensitivity coefficients ≥ 0.2 are presented. BW=body weight, VI=fraction small intestine, VL=fraction liver tissue, QI=fraction blood flow to small intestine, PB:P=partitioning coefficient body remaining:plasma, Ka=intestinal absorption coefficient, MPI=small intestinal microsomal protein yield, MPL=liver microsomal protein yield, CPL=liver cytosolic protein yield, VmaxLPS=unscaled maximum rate of sulfation of phenol in liver, VmaxIPG=unscaled maximum rate of glucuronidation of phenol in intestine, KmLPS=Michaelis-Menten constant for sulfation of phenol in intestine, KmIPG=Michaelis-Menten constant for sulfation of phenol in liver, KmLPG=Michaelis-Menten constant for glucuronidation of phenol in liver.
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Integrating in vitro data and physiologically based kinetic (PBK) modelling to assess the in vivo potential developmental toxicity of a series of phenols
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ABSTRACT

In vitro derived toxicity outcomes do not always reflect in vivo toxicity values, which was previously observed for a series of phenols tested in the embryonic stem cell test (EST). Translation of in vitro data to the in vivo situation is therefore an important, but still limiting step for the use of in vitro toxicity outcomes in the safety assessment of chemicals. The aim of the present study was to translate in vitro embryotoxicity data for a series of phenols to in vivo developmental toxic potency values for the rat by physiologically based kinetic (PBK) modelling based reverse dosimetry. To this purpose, PBK models were developed for each of the phenols. The models were parameterised with in vitro derived values defining metabolism and transport of the compounds across the intestinal and placental barrier, and with in silico predictions and data from the literature. Using PBK-based reverse dosimetry, in vitro concentration-response curves from the EST were translated into in vivo dose-response curves from which points of departure (PoDs) were derived. The predicted PoDs differed less than 3.8-fold from PoDs derived from in vivo toxicity data for the phenols available in the literature. Moreover, the in vitro PBK-based reverse dosimetry approach could overcome the large disparity that was observed previously between the in vitro and the in vivo relative potency of the series of phenols. In conclusion, this study shows another proof-of-principle that the in vitro PBK approach is a promising strategy for non-animal based safety assessment of chemicals.
INTRODUCTION

The safety evaluation of chemicals is currently evolving from using animal toxicity tests towards the application of innovative non-animal based in vitro approaches to predict toxicity. This development is encouraged by initiatives such as the European Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and restriction of CHemicals (REACH) (EC 2007), the Cosmetic Products Regulation (EC 2009) as well as the US National Research Council report on toxicity testing in the 21st century (National Research Council 2007). For many years, in vitro toxicity assays have been used for hazard identification only, including for example the detection of genotoxicity, and the ranking and prioritisation of compounds for further in vivo toxicity testing (Gülden and Seibert 2005). Translation of in vitro data to the in vivo situation is an important but limiting step for the use of in vitro outcomes in the regulatory risk assessment of chemicals, as in vitro derived toxicity outcomes as such do not always reflect in vivo toxicity values (Blaauboer 2010; Punt et al. 2011). For example, in our study on the embryotoxic potencies of a series of phenols evaluated in vitro with the ES-D3 differentiation assay of the embryonic stem cell test (EST), it was concluded that the assay did not correctly rank the phenols according to their in vivo potency (Strikwold et al. 2012). Especially the toxicity of p-heptyloxyphenol was relatively higher in the EST than reported in in vivo studies in the literature as compared to the other phenols tested. In the EST p-heptyloxyphenol displayed a BMC$_{50}$ that was more than 3 orders of magnitude lower than that of phenol whereas in vivo BMD$_{10}$ values differed less than 3-fold (Strikwold et al. 2012). Kinetic differences between the in vitro and in vivo situation were hypothesised to provide a reason for the observed disparities (Strikwold et al. 2012).

Combining in vitro toxicity data with physiologically based kinetic (PBK) modelling applying reverse dosimetry has recently been shown to provide a promising approach to extrapolate in vitro concentration-response curves to in vivo dose-response curves from which points of departure (PoDs) for the risk assessment of chemicals can be derived (Louisse et al. 2010; Louisse et al. 2014; Strikwold et al. 2013). In this way kinetic differences between the vitro and in vivo situation can be taken into account and in vivo dose-response curves suitable for deriving a PoD for risk assessment can be obtained based on in vitro data. However, as PBK models are generally data intense and their development often time consuming (Loizou and Hogg 2011), their application is often hampered. Applying in silico predictions, i.e. Quantitative Structure-Activity Relationships (QSARs) and in vitro kinetic experiments may aid the development of PBK models by predicting input values for kinetic parameters required, thereby also facilitating non-animal based safety assessment of chemicals.

For phenol, one of the congeners tested in our previous in vitro study on the embryotoxic potency of a series of phenols (Strikwold et al. 2012), in vitro PBK-based reverse dosimetry and subsequently dose-response modelling, provided a PoD that appeared to match the
range of in vivo derived PoDs (Strikwold et al. 2013). The aim of the present study was to translate in vitro embryotoxicity data for a series of phenols including p-heptyloxyphenol as previously obtained with the EST (Strikwold et al. 2012), to in vivo developmental toxicity values for the rat by PBK-based reverse dosimetry, using in silico and in vitro defined kinetic parameters. Ultimately, this should elucidate whether combining in vitro data with PBK modelling to predict in vivo values, can overcome differences that were observed between the in vitro and the in vivo relative potencies of different phenolic congeners, and especially whether this approach can overcome the deviating results for p-heptyloxyphenol. This may provide another proof-of-principle to assess the feasibility of this in vitro PBK approach for prospective toxicological safety evaluations of chemicals.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**COMPONENTS AND MATERIALS**

Phenol (99%), p-fluorophenol (99%), p-heptyloxyphenol (97%), p-methylketophenol (99%), antipyrine (≥ 99%), fluorescein, Tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane (Tris) (≥ 99.9%) and Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM), uridine 5’-diphosphoglucuronic acid (UDPGA), alamethicin (98%), sodium taurocholate hydrate (97%), β-glucuronidase (Type 1x-A from *Escherichia coli*) and bovine serum albumin (≥ 98%) were obtained from Sigma Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany). Acetonitrile (ULC/MS grade) and methanol (HPLC supra-gradient) were obtained from BioSolve (Valkenswaard, The Netherlands), dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) (≥ 99%) from Acros Organics (Geel, Belgium). Trifluoroacetic acid (TFA), hydrochloride acid (37%), magnesium chloride hexahydrate, potassium phosphate (≥ 99%) and Transwell® inserts (0.4 µm pored polycarbonate membrane, 12 mm diameter) were purchased from VWR International GmbH (Darmstadt, Germany). Foetal calf serum (FCS) was purchased from HyClone-Perbio (Etten-Leur, The Netherlands). Penicillin, streptomycin, L-glutamine, minimal essential medium non-essential amino acids and trypsin / EDTA in PBS (final concentration 0.025% / 0.01%) were obtained from Gibco (Paisley, Scotland). Phosphate buffered saline (PBS), and Hank’s balanced salt solution (HBSS), were obtained from Invitrogen (Breda, The Netherlands) and HEPES was from VWR (Radnor, USA).

BeWo choriocarcinoma cells subclone b30 were kindly provided by the Institute of Public Health of the Faculty of Health Sciences of the University of Copenhagen (Denmark) with permission from Dr. Alan Schwartz (Washington University, St Louis, MO). The provided cell line was confirmed to be mycoplasma negative. The colorectal adenocarcinoma (Caco-2) cells were obtained from ATCC (Middlesex, UK). Pooled liver microsomes from male Sprague-Dawley rats were obtained from BD Biosciences Gentest (Woburn, MA, USA).
GENERAL OUTLINE IN VITRO PBK APPROACH
The in vitro PBK approach to predict in vivo dose-response curves and a PoD for risk assessment using in vitro embryotoxicity data consisted of the following steps: (i) establishment of in vitro effective concentrations (EC<sub>x</sub>) of the phenols in the EST, (ii) development of PBK models describing in vivo kinetic properties of the phenols in rat including derivation of PBK model parameters, (iii) sensitivity analysis of the PBK models, (iv) translation of in vitro EC<sub>x</sub> values into in vivo external dose levels (ED<sub>x</sub>) generating dose-response curves for developmental toxicity in rat and enabling the definition of a PoD for risk assessment and (v) evaluation of predictions performed with the in vitro PBK approach.

IN VITRO EMBRYOTOXICITY
Embryotoxicity data of phenol, p-fluorophenol, p-heptyloxyphenol and p-methylketophenol determined using the murine embryonic stem cell (ES-D3) differentiation assay of the EST by Strikwold et al. (2012), were used as a starting point for the in vitro PBK approach translating in vitro embryotoxicity data to in vivo toxicity values. The use of both the maximum concentration in foetal plasma (C<sub>max</sub>) and the Area Under the foetal plasma concentration-time Curve (AUC) in the in vitro PBK approach was evaluated. The concentration of phenol and p-fluorophenol decreased in time in the EST culture medium (without cells) in a similar fashion, while p-methylketophenol and p-heptyloxyphenol were stable (Strikwold et al. 2012). Therefore, the AUC of the 10 day EST of p-methylketophenol and p-heptyloxyphenol was calculated by multiplying the test concentration by the duration of the experiment (AUC<sub>0-10d</sub>), while the calculated AUC<sub>0-10d</sub> for phenol and p-fluorophenol was reduced by 39% corresponding to the loss of phenol in the EST as reported by Strikwold et al. (2013).

Figure 1 Schematic representation of the PBK models of the phenols.
RAT PBK MODEL STRUCTURES FOR PHENOLS

The rat PBK model for phenol developed by Strikwold et al. (2013) was used as a starting point to construct rat PBK models for the different phenols of the present study (Figure 1), with four major modifications. The first modification is that only liver glucuronidation of the phenolic compounds was taken into account in the present study to describe the metabolic conversions. This could be done because the sensitivity analysis of the previously developed PBK model of phenol by Strikwold et al. (2013), performed at an oral dose level of 150 mg/kg bw which is consistent with high oral dose levels that were applied in in vivo toxicity studies of the phenols (Kavlock 1990), identified that glucuronidation of phenol in the liver is the most influential metabolic pathway in the model. Moreover, in vivo kinetic studies towards the metabolism of phenol confirm the importance of this route, showing that the glucuronide conjugate is the predominant metabolite formed at high oral dose levels (Hiser et al. 1994). Furthermore, the metabolic parameters \( K_m \) and \( V_{\text{max}} \) of sulfation were not identified as a sensitive parameter in the PBK model for phenol at high oral dose levels and the \( V_{\text{max}} \) value for sulfation was very low (Strikwold et al. 2013), supporting the choice for glucuronidation as the metabolic and elimination pathway in the current PBK models. A second modification is that we have included in vitro transport experiments with Caco-2 cells to define the oral uptake constants of the different compounds of the present study, since the previously predicted plasma concentrations of phenol appeared to be quite sensitive to the oral absorption coefficient (\( k_a \)). A third modification is that a fat compartment was included in the PBK models for the different phenolic compounds, because p-heptyloxyphenol may readily be distributed to adipose tissue due to its relatively high lipophilicity. Finally, a placental/foetal compartment was added to the PBK models, including transport of the compound from the mother to the embryos/foetuses and back by simple diffusion. For the placental/foetal compartment, the number of embryos in one litter was considered to be 12 and they were treated as one unit for which the physiological parameters were calculated. Foetal-maternal diffusion was set equal to maternal-foetal diffusion. To include maternal-foetal diffusion in the PBK model, apparent permeability coefficients (\( P_{\text{app}} \)) across placental BeWo cells cultivated in a transwell system were derived in vitro and subsequently converted to in vivo diffusion transplacental clearance rates (l/h) (see section In vitro placental transport study). The PBK models were defined with parameters representative for gestational day 11 (GD11), facilitating evaluation of the PBK model predictions with available in vivo developmental toxicity data for rats exposed to phenol or p-substituted phenol at GD11.

An overview of the PBK model algorithms, which are similar for all the phenols, is included in Supplementary data A. Kinetic model calculations were performed by applying Rosenbrock’s algorithms for solving stiff systems (Berkeley Madonna, version 8.3.18, UC Berkeley, CA, USA).
PBK MODEL PARAMETER VALUES

Physiological parameters

In the PBK models, organ weights of the pregnant rat were kept equal to values of a non-pregnant rat and placental and foetal weights were additionally included. The fractions of blood flow to the organs were set equal to that of the non-pregnant rat as well, although the fraction of blood flow to the compartment ‘body remaining’ was reduced to accommodate for the added blood flow to the placental/foetal compartment, with the total fraction not exceeding 1. Most physiological parameters were taken from Brown et al. (1997). The fraction of blood flow to the small intestine was calculated using reported blood flow rates for the specific parts of the splanchnic system by Delp et al. (1998). The volume of the placentas, the foetuses and the blood flow to the placentas were estimated by the algorithms of O’Flaherty et al. (1992). The volume of the foetuses included also the amniotic fluid volume of GD11 that was reported by Park and Shepard (1994) and Fisher (1993), because at this gestational day maternal-foetal exchange occurs via both the chorioallantoic placenta and the yolk sac placenta (Carney et al. 2004). All physiological parameters are presented in Table 1.

Table 1 Physiological data for the rat applied in the PBK models of the phenols.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Percentage of body weight$^a$</th>
<th>Percentage of cardiac output</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model compartments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>small intestine</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>liver</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>17.5$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adipose tissue</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arterial blood</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>venous blood</td>
<td>5.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>placentas</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>body remaining</td>
<td>71.8</td>
<td>67.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight placentas (kg)</td>
<td>0.00079</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight foetuses and</td>
<td>0.00034</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>amniotic fluid (kg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(l/h/kg bw$^{0.74}$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Organ percentages of body weight without placenta and foetal compartment are reported because they were kept similar to non-pregnant rat values. The (weight of the) foetal-placental compartment is added separately. See text for more details.

$^b$ Without flow from small intestine.
In silico predictions of physico-chemical and biochemical parameters

An overview of physico-chemical parameters that are used to predict biochemical and distribution parameters of the compounds are included in Table 2. Tissue plasma partition coefficients (Pt:p) were calculated using the algorithm of Berezhkovskiy (2004), which requires information on plasma protein binding, lipophilicity and acid-base properties (see Tables 2 and 3). The adipose tissue-plasma partition coefficients were calculated using the olive oil-water distribution coefficient (D*vo:w_pH7.4) and the partition coefficients for the non-adipose tissues were calculated with the n-octanol-water partition coefficient (Pow) for the non-ionised species at pH 7.4. The value of D*vo:w_pH7.4 was calculated using log Po:w and pKa according to the algorithms reported by Poulin and Theil (2002). The unbound fraction of the compound in plasma was calculated with the Simcyp model (Simcyp 2015), after which these values were converted to the unbound fraction in tissue using the algorithm of Poulin and Theil (2002). The Pt:p values of the placental compartment were set equal to the predicted liver:plasma partition coefficient and the Pt:p of the embryonic/foetal unit was a volume weighted whole body:plasma partition coefficient, in which the adipose tissue was omitted because of the low amount of fat in foetal rat (Sarr et al. 2012). Partitioning of the compounds between red blood cells and plasma (Prbc:p) was predicted with the algorithms of Paixão et al. (2009), and was subsequently used to calculate the partition coefficient of the compounds between blood and plasma (Pb:p) using the following equation:

\[ Pb:p = (Prbc:p \times HTC) + (1 - HTC) \]

where HTC corresponds to a hematocrit fraction of 0.45.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>phenol</th>
<th>p-fluoro</th>
<th>p-heptyloxy</th>
<th>p-methylketo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MW (Da)(^a)</td>
<td>94.11</td>
<td>112.10</td>
<td>208.30</td>
<td>136.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log Pow(^a)</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>4.41</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pKa(^a)</td>
<td>9.86</td>
<td>9.92</td>
<td>10.35</td>
<td>8.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% ionised in serum (pH 7.4)(^b)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Values from ACD/Labs (2015).
\(^b\) Calculated with Simcyp model (Simcyp 2015).
Table 3 In silico predicted biochemical and distribution parameters of the phenols for the rat.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>phenol</th>
<th>p-fluoro</th>
<th>p-heptyloxy</th>
<th>p-methylketo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fu plasma (pH 7.4) (-)</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partition coefficients</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>liver:plasma</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>7.99</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intestine:plasma</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>11.57</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adipose:plasma</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>84.38</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>placenta:plasma</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>7.99</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>foetus:foetal plasma</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>6.92</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>body remaining:plasma</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>blood:plasma</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Fraction unbound to plasma proteins (Fu). Calculated with Simcyp model (Simcyp 2015).
b Calculated with algorithms of Berezhkovskiy (2004).
c Assumed to be the same as the partition coefficient of the liver.
d Whole body:plasma partition coefficient without adipose tissue (volume weighted).
e Calculated with algorithms of Paixão et al. (2009).

In vitro intestinal transport study

Caco-2 cells (passages 37-40) were cultured in DMEM containing 25 mM HEPES (pH 7.4) supplemented with 10% (v/v) heat-inactivated FCS, 4500 mg/l glucose, 2 mM L-glutamine, 1% (v/v) minimal essential medium nonessential amino acids, 10,000 U/ml penicillin and 10 mg/ml streptomycin and maintained in polystyrene cell culture flasks (Corning, Amsterdam The Netherlands) in a 5% CO$_2$-humidified atmosphere at 37°C. Cells were harvested after exposure to a trypsin-EDTA solution. Next, the cells were seeded onto Transwell® inserts (0.4 µm pored polycarbonate membrane, 12 mm diameter), at a density of 10$^5$ cells/cm$^2$. Cell culture medium (0.5 ml and 1.5 ml in the apical and basolateral compartment, respectively), was changed every two days.

Compounds that were included in the transport experiments were phenol, p-fluorophenol, p-heptoxyphenol, p-methylketophenol, antipyrine (passive transcellular control) and fluorescein (passive paracellular control) as well DMSO (solvent control). Stock solutions of the test compounds were prepared in DMSO, and tested at a final DMSO level in the transport buffer of 0.2%, except for p-heptoxyphenol for which the final DMSO level was 0.5% due to the relatively lower solubility of this compound.

Transport experiments were performed between day 20 and 23 post-seeding. Prior to the transport experiments, the cell culture medium was removed and cells were equilibrated in HBSS for 30-45 min in a 5% CO$_2$-humidified atmosphere at 37°C. In these 45 min, the integrity of the cell monolayer was examined by measuring the Trans Epithelial Electrical Resistance (TEER) of the cell layer using a Millicell ERS-2 Volt-Ohm Meter (Millipore, USA). Only cell layers with a TEER value between 500-1000 Ω.cm$^2$ were used for the transport experiments. The transport buffer for the apical compartment consisted of HBSS containing
10 mM HEPES (pH 6.5) and 10 mM sodium taurocholate and the transport buffer for the basolateral compartment consisted of HBSS (pH 7.4) with 30 mg/ml bovine serum albumin. At first, 1.5 ml pre-warmed (37 °C) transport buffer was added to the basolateral compartment. Then, the transport experiments were started by adding 0.5 ml pre-warmed transport buffer containing the test compound (100 µM) to the apical compartment. After 60 min incubation in a 5% CO₂-humidified atmosphere at 37 °C, a 75 µl sample was taken from the basolateral compartment and then from the apical compartment. Each sample was added to 150 µl ice-cold methanol, vortexed and put on ice immediately. The filters of the Transwell® inserts were washed one time with HBSS and two times with PBS, then cut out from the insert and added to 250 µl methanol 65% (v/v) and sonificated for 15 min by a Bandelin Sonorex RK100 sonificator (Berlin, Germany). Samples were analysed by UPLC-PDA (see section Quantification of analytes) for the presence of the test compound and possible metabolites.

TEER values were also measured at the end of the transport experiment, during the first washing step and compared to the TEER values measured before the transport experiment in order to assess toxicity of the test compound to the cell monolayer. Caco-2 monolayers were omitted from further analysis when the TEER value was reduced more than 15% during the transport experiments (Wang et al. 2014). The Caco-2 transport studies encompassed four independently performed experiments including three replicates in each assay.

The apparent permeability coefficients (P_{app}) (cm/s) were calculated using the following algorithm:

\[ P_{app} = \frac{\Delta Q}{A \cdot \Delta t} \cdot \frac{1}{C_0} \]

where \( P_{app} \) is the apparent permeability coefficient (cm/s), \( \Delta Q/\Delta t \) (nmol/s) is the amount of the test compound transported to the receiver chamber in a certain time period, \( A \) is the transwell membrane surface area (cm²) and \( C_0 \) is the initial concentration of the test compound in the donor compartment (µM).

In order to assess the validity of the \( P_{app} \) calculations for this experiment, the linearity of transport from the apical to the basolateral side was verified by taking samples from the basolateral side at \( t=15, 30, 60 \) and 90 min, at a test concentration of 100 µM. The amount of sample (50 µl) withdrawn from the basolateral side was replaced by a similar amount of Caco-2 transport medium, which was accounted for in assessing the linearity. The recovery of the test compound in each transport experiment was calculated with a mass balance equation, taking into account the amount of the test compound in the apical and basolateral compartment and the amount in the cells and/or filter of the Transwell® insert.
To extrapolate the in vitro derived apparent permeability coefficients ($P_{app}$) from the Caco-2 transport experiments to in vivo oral absorption coefficients (ka), relative $P_{app}$ ratios were calculated with phenol as the standard compound ($P_{app}$ p-substituted phenol / $P_{app}$ phenol), which were subsequently multiplied by the ka value for phenol of 7.62/h obtained from the rat in situ intestinal perfusion study of Humphrey et al. (1980).

**In vitro placental transport study**

BeWo cells (passages 28-31) were cultured in DMEM with 4500 mg/ml glucose and supplemented with 10% (v/v) heat-inactivated FCS, 2 mM L-glutamine, 10,000 U/ml penicillin and 10 mg/ml streptomycin and maintained in polystyrene cell culture flasks (Corning, Amsterdam The Netherlands) in a 5% CO$_2$-humidified atmosphere at 37°C. Cells were harvested after exposure to a trypsin-EDTA solution. Next, the cells were seeded onto Transwell® inserts (0.4 µm pored polycarbonate membrane, 12 mm diameter), with a density of $10^5$ cells/cm$^2$. Cell culture medium (0.5 ml and 1.5 ml in the apical and basolateral compartment, respectively) was changed daily.

Transport experiments were performed 6 days post-seeding. The transport buffer consisted of HBSS with 30 mg/ml bovine serum albumin (apical compartment) and 10 mg/ml bovine serum albumin (basolateral compartment) representing mid and late gestational maternal and embryonic/foetal rat plasma albumin levels, respectively (Honda et al. 2008; Mcmullin et al. 2008; Yeoh and Morgan 1974). The transport experiments were performed for the same compounds and according to the same method as described for the Caco-2 transport experiments, except that only cell layers with a TEER value > 190 Ω.cm$^2$ were used for the transport experiments. The BeWo transport studies encompassed four independently performed experiments including two replicates in each assay. Samples were analysed by UPLC-PDA (see section Quantification of analytes) for the presence of the test compound and possible metabolites. The apparent permeability coefficients were calculated using the same method as described for the Caco-2 transport experiments.

To extrapolate the in vitro derived apparent permeability coefficients ($P_{app}$) obtained from the BeWo transport experiments to in vivo transplacental clearance rates (CLPL), relative $P_{app}$ ratios were calculated with antipyrine as the standard compound ($P_{app}$ (p-substituted) phenol / $P_{app}$ antipyrine) which were subsequently multiplied by the transplacental maternal-foetal antipyrine clearance rate of 0.18 l/h. This antipyrine clearance rate was obtained by converting the reported antipyrine transplacental clearance value of 0.448 l/h/kg for the rat at GD20 (Varma and Ramakrishnan (1985) to a value for GD11 via allometric scaling to maternal body weight (O’Flaherty et al. 1992) using a maternal body weight for GD11 reported by Buelke-Sam et al. (1982).
**In vitro assays for glucuronidation of phenols by rat tissue**

The formation of glucuronide metabolites of the p-substituted phenols was investigated in incubations with rat liver microsomes. Incubation mixtures consisted of 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4) and 10 mM MgCl₂, containing (final concentrations) rat liver microsomes (0.2 mg protein/ml) and 2 mM UDPGA. To obtain maximum glucuronidation activity, the microsomes were activated by preincubating the incubation mixture with 0.025 mg/ml alamethicin added from a 200 times concentrated stock solution in methanol, during 15 min on ice (Fisher et al. 2000). Subsequently, the incubations were started after a 1-min preincubation at 37 °C by addition of the substrate from a 200 times concentrated stock solution in DMSO and incubated in a shaking water bath at 37 °C for 45 min. The reactions were terminated by addition of ice-cold acetonitrile (20% v/v). In the blank incubation mixtures, UDPGA was omitted. Samples were analysed by UPLC-PDA (see section Quantification of analytes). No reference standards of the glucuronide metabolites were commercially available. Hence, the metabolites were identified as follows. At first, retention times from the UPLC-PDA chromatograms of the parent compound of the blank incubation were compared with the retention times of newly appearing peaks in chromatograms of the incubation mixtures, as the glucuronide conjugates are expected to elute earlier compared with their parent compounds due to their increased hydrophilicity. Secondly, the formation of the suggested glucuronide conjugate was confirmed by enzymatic deglucuronidation with β-glucuronidase. To this purpose a volume of 10 µl of the incubation mixture of the glucuronidation assay (that was not terminated by the addition of ice-cold acetonitrile) was added to 90 µl of 200 mM potassium phosphate (pH 6.2). Then, 4 µl of glucuronidase (200 units/ml) was added and the mixtures were incubated for 60 min in a shaking water bath at 37 °C. The reactions were terminated by addition of ice-cold acetonitrile (20% v/v) and were put on ice. Control samples were treated under the same conditions, but without glucuronidase.

After identifying the formation of the glucuronide conjugates for the p-substituted phenols, incubations with rat liver microsomes were performed to quantify kinetic parameters, which are the maximum enzyme reaction rate ($V_{max}$) and the Michaelis-Menten constant ($K_m$). The conditions of the incubation assays were optimised to obtain linear reaction rates with respect to incubation time and protein concentration and non-limiting cofactor levels were applied. The optimised incubation mixtures consisted of 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4) with 10 mM MgCl₂, containing (final concentrations) 10 mM UDPGA and 0.1, 0.01 and 0.05 mg microsomal protein/ml for p-fluorophenol, p-heptyloxyphenol, and p-methylketophenol, respectively. To obtain maximum glucuronidation activity, the microsomes were activated by preincubating the incubation mixture with 0.025 mg/ml alamethicin added from a 200 times concentrated stock solution in methanol, during 15 min on ice (Fisher et al. 2000). Subsequently, the incubations were started after a 1-min preincubation at 37 °C by addition of the substrate from a 200 times concentrated stock solution in DMSO and incubated in a shaking water
bath of 37°C for 10 min for p-fluorophenol and 2.5 min for p-heptyloxyphenol and p-methylketophenol, respectively. The incubation experiments encompassed three or four independently performed experiments for each phenol.

Kinetic constants for the glucuronidation of the p-substituted phenols were derived by fitting the data to the standard Michaelis-Menten equation:

$$v = \frac{V_{\text{max}} \cdot [S]}{K_m + [S]}$$

in which $[S]$ represents the substrate concentration, $V_{\text{max}}$ the maximum velocity and $K_m$ the Michaelis-Menten constant for the formation of the glucuronide metabolites. Data analysis was accomplished using GraphPad Prism 5.0 software (GraphPad, San Diego, CA, USA). For the PBK model, the in vitro derived $V_{\text{max}}$ values from rat liver microsomes were scaled to the in vivo situation using a reported microsomal protein yield of 38 mg/g rat liver (Chiu and Ginsberg 2011). The in vivo $K_m$ value was assumed to be the same to the in vitro $K_m$ value. Michaelis-Menten constants for phenol were taken from our previous study (Strikwold et al. 2013). The present study assumed unrestricted metabolism despite the high plasma protein binding of p-heptyloxyphenol, because the rapid metabolic turnover is assumed to clear the chemical so avidly that protein binding may not be rate limiting.

**QUANTIFICATION OF ANALYTES**

Samples from the BeWo and Caco-2 transport experiments were centrifuged at 13,000 rpm at 5°C for 15 min. Next 7.5 µl of the supernatant of each sample was analysed by UPLC (Waters Acquity). Samples from the glucuronidation assays were centrifuged at 15,000 rpm at 5°C for 5 min. Subsequently, 3.5 µl of the supernatant of each sample was analysed by UPLC, except for the incubations with p-heptyloxyphenol of which 10 µl was analysed by UPLC. All samples, except those from transport studies with fluorescein, were analysed on a Waters BEH C18 1.7 µm column, 2.1 x 50 mm, with nanopure water (0.1% TFA) (A) and pure acetonitrile (B) applying a gradient elution. The start condition was 100:0 (A:B), changing to 90:10 from 1 to 2 min, then to 10:90 from 2 to 4 min (or from 2 to 3 min when analysing samples for p-heptyloxyphenylglucuronide), remaining at this ratio for 0.5 min and then rapidly declining to the start condition. The flow rate was 0.6 ml/min. Peaks of the analytes were detected with a photodiode array detector (PDA, Waters). Analytes, except the glucuronide metabolites, were quantified with a linear calibration curve using peak areas obtained at the compounds’ maximum wavelength. The glucuronide metabolites were, due to the absence of commercially available reference compounds, quantified with the calibration curve and at maximum wavelengths of their parent compound. Differences in the UV absorbance between the parent and the glucuronide conjugate at the selected wavelength
were quantified by comparing the peak areas of the parent compound from three different concentrations of the calibration curve with the peak areas of corresponding concentrations of the glucuronide conjugate, which were obtained from a complete glucuronidation of the parent compound in a glucuronidation experiment of 2 h. A noticeable difference between the peak area of the parent compounds and the glucuronide (average of three tested concentrations) was only observed for p-fluorophenol (absorbance of conjugate was 2-fold lower compared to the parent) and this difference was used to correct the measured absorbance of the parent compound from each point of the calibration curve. Fluorescein was quantified with a fluorescence SpectraMax M3 microplate reader (Molecular Devices, USA) with excitation and emission at 495 and 538 nm, respectively.

SENSITIVITY ANALYSES OF THE PBK MODELS
For each PBK model a local sensitivity analysis was performed to determine influential parameters. To this purpose, each parameter was changed in turn keeping the other ones constant (Chiu et al. 2007). The normalised sensitivity coefficient (SC) was calculated using the algorithm:

\[
SC = \frac{(C' - C)}{(P' - P)} \times \left( \frac{P}{C} \right)
\]

where C is the initial outcome of the model, which in this case is the maximum foetal plasma concentration \(C_{\text{max}}\). \(C'\) is the output of the model after a 1\% parameter change. \(P\) is the initial parameter value and \(P'\) is the parameter value modified by an increase of 1\%. The sensitivity analysis was conducted for an oral exposure to a single dose of 2 and 200 mg/kg bw.

TRANSLATION OF IN VITRO EFFECT CONCENTRATIONS IN THE EST TO IN VIVO EFFECT CONCENTRATIONS
In vitro concentration-response data obtained with the EST were translated to in vivo dose-response values by applying PBK-based reverse modelling, performed as described by Strikwold et al. (2013) with some modifications. To correct for in vivo and in vitro differences in albumin and fat levels, each nominal in vitro effect concentration of p-heptyloxyphenol obtained from the EST from Strikwold et al. (2012) was translated to an in vivo effect concentration \(EC_{50}\) according to the extrapolation rules of Gülden and Seibert (2003). In the extrapolation rule, a value of 0.99 was used for the fraction of p-heptyloxyphenol bound to albumin in the EST, which was obtained from a reported bound fraction of p-heptyloxyphenol in the culture medium of the whole embryo culture (WEC) assay (Fisher et al. 1993). In vivo albumin and fat levels that are used in the extrapolation rules were adjusted to values that correspond to embryos/foetuses during mid/late gestation. An in vivo lipid content of 0.11\%
was applied based on foetal rat data (GD17) presented by Johansson (1983). An in vivo embryonic/foetal albumin level of 10 mg/ml was used representing albumin levels in mid and late gestation; GD10 (Yeoh and Morgan, 1974) and GD18 (Mcmullin et al. 2008). The vitro lipid fraction and albumin levels resembling the situation in the EST were 0.04% and 4.8 mg/ml, respectively (Verwei et al. 2006). For the other phenols, no correction according the extrapolation rules of Gülden and Seibert (2003) was applied, since it was found that in vitro cytotoxicity of phenol tested with the fibroblast-like embryonic mouse cell line Balb/c 3T3 clone A31 was not affected by differences in bovine serum albumin levels reflecting the in vitro and in vivo situation. It is assumed that this also applies for p-methylketophenol and p-fluorophenol as these compounds have a comparable log Po:w value as phenol, which is an important factor in albumin binding (Endo and Goss 2011).

Next, the maximum foetal plasma concentrations (C_{max}) in the PBK model were set equal to the effect concentrations from the EST (which was corrected by the extrapolation rule of Gülden and Seibert (2003) in case of p-heptyloxyphenol). In addition, the foetal plasma AUC in the PBK model were set equal to the AUC_{0-10d} from the EST. Applying in vitro PBK-based reverse dosimetry, provided in vivo effective dose levels (ED_x) from which an in vivo dose-response curve and a BMDL_{05} was derived using the Environmental Protection Agency’s (EPA) Benchmark Dose Software (BMDS) version 2.6. For each compound, the benchmark dose model selected was the one that provided the best fit determined as described by Strikwold et al. (2012).

**EVALUATION OF THE DATA**

The BMDL_{05} predicted with the in vitro PBK approach were compared to the BMDL_{05} (or NOAEL) derived from an in literature reported in vivo developmental toxicity study with rats that received phenol or p-substituted phenol at GD11 Kavlock (1990). The BMDL_{05} values of the in vivo study were derived using the BMDS version 2.6. For each compound, the benchmark dose model was selected that provided the best fit as described by Strikwold et al. (2012). In addition, potency ratios were calculated (potency phenol / potency p-substituted phenol) from potency data obtained with the in vitro PBK approach (BMDL_{05}), and the in vivo developmental toxicity data (most critical in vivo endpoint) from Kavlock (1990) (BMDL_{05} or NOAEL).

**RESULTS**

**IN VITRO EMBRYOTOXICITY**

Phenol and the p-substituted phenols showed a concentration-response related inhibition of differentiation of ES-D3 cells into beating cardiomyocytes in the EST (Figure 2) identifying the embryotoxic potential of the different compounds (Strikwold et al. 2012). The highest difference
in embryotoxic potential in vitro was observed between phenol and p-heptyloxyphenol, with the BMC_{50} value being three orders of magnitude higher for phenol. The differences in BMC_{50} values were much lower between phenol and the other p-substituted phenols, including p-fluorophenol and p-methylketophenol, namely 1.9-fold and 4.9-fold, respectively (Strikwold et al. 2012).

![Figure 2](image)

**Figure 2** Concentration-response curves for phenol (grey line, □), p-fluorophenol (solid line, ⧫), p-heptyloxyphenol (dashed line, ●) and p-methylketophenol (dotted line, ▼) representing the inhibition of differentiation of the embryoid bodies (EBs) by the compound. Data obtained from Strikwold et al. (2012).

**IN SILICO PREDICTIONS OF BIOCHEMICAL AND DISTRIBUTION PBK MODEL PARAMETERS**

Physico-chemical parameters that are used for the prediction of PBK model parameters are outlined in Table 2 and the predicted biochemical and distribution model parameters are presented in Table 3. Notable differences between the compounds are the relatively high log Po:w value of 4.41 for p-heptyloxyphenol compared to log Po:w values < 1.84 for the other phenols. The tissue:plasma partition coefficients of p-heptyloxyphenol are substantially higher than those for the other phenols, especially the fat:plasma partition coefficient which is 84.4 for p-heptyloxyphenol and varies between 0.39-1.42 for the other phenols. The predicted fraction of phenols unbound to plasma albumin differs from 0.03 for p-heptyloxyphenol to 0.38 for phenol.

**IN VITRO INTESTINAL TRANSPORT STUDY**

Each phenol tested in the Caco-2 transport experiment showed a linear increase of the test concentration in the basal compartment for at least 60 min. The P_{app} values of the Caco-2 experiments are presented in Table 4. Transport of each of the test compounds is rapid, and the P_{app} values differ at maximum only 1.3-fold between the test compounds, ranging from
51.9 $10^6$ cm/s for p-methylketophenol to 40.2 $10^6$ cm/s for p-heptyloxyphenol. The average mass recovery of antipyrine was 93.4%. The mass recovery of phenol, p-fluorophenol, p-heptyloxyphenol and p-methylketophenol was on average 80.2%, 78.2% 70.4% and 82.1%, respectively.

Table 4 Mean apparent permeability ($P_{app} \pm SD$) obtained from Caco-2 and BeWo transport assays, predicted intestinal oral absorption coefficients (ka) and predicted rat transplacental clearance values (CLPL) for the test compounds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>$P_{app,Caco-2}$</th>
<th>ka$^a$</th>
<th>$P_{app,BeWo}$</th>
<th>CLPL$^c$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$(10^{-6} \text{ cm/s})$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$(10^{-6} \text{ cm/s})$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phenol</td>
<td>48.4 ± 8.6</td>
<td>7.62$^b$</td>
<td>41.6 ± 3.4</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-fluorophenol</td>
<td>47.7 ± 13.8</td>
<td>7.52</td>
<td>34.2 ± 3.3</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-heptyloxyphenol</td>
<td>40.2 ± 3.9</td>
<td>6.34</td>
<td>5.9 ± 1.7</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-methylketophenol</td>
<td>51.9 ± 6.6</td>
<td>8.18</td>
<td>27.5 ± 1.7</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>antipyrine</td>
<td>42.7 ± 2.6</td>
<td>6.73</td>
<td>40.4 ± 3.2</td>
<td>0.18$^d$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Predicted oral absorption coefficient (see section Materials and methods).
$^b$ Uptake rate from in situ intestinal perfusion study in rat (Humphrey et al. 1980).
$^c$ Predicted rat transplacental clearance (see section Materials and methods).
$^d$ Transplacental clearance value obtained from in vivo rat study (Varma and Ramakrishnan 1985). (see section Materials and methods).

**IN VITRO PLACENTAL TRANSPORT STUDY**

Each phenol tested in the BeWo transport experiment showed a linear increase in concentration in the basal compartment for at least 60 min, after addition of the test compound to the apical side (final concentrations apical compartment 100 and 500 µM). The mass balances showed that > 90% of the mass of each compound was conserved in each transport experiment. The $P_{app}$ values are presented in Table 4. Transport is rapid for each of the compounds, except for p-heptyloxyphenol which showed a $P_{app}$ value of 5.9 $10^6$ cm/s, which is 6.8-fold lower than the $P_{app}$ value of antipyrine. The $P_{app}$ values for the other phenols were comparable to antipyrine, showing a 1.03-fold higher and 1.2- and 1.5-fold lower $P_{app}$ value compared to the value of the reference compound antipyrine for phenol, p-fluorophenol and p-methylketophenol, respectively. The transport of the paracellular control fluorescein was on average 11-fold lower compared to the passive transcellular control antipyrine indicating the integrity of the monolayer.

An interesting observation was that the $P_{app}$ value for p-heptyloxyphenol obtained from the BeWo experiment was 6.8-fold lower than the $P_{app}$ value from the Caco-2 experiment, while the $P_{app}$ values for the other phenols were less than 2-fold lower in the BeWo assay and the $P_{app}$ value from the BeWo experiment for antipyrine was only 1.1-fold lower than the $P_{app}$ from the Caco-2 experiment.
IN VITRO GLUCURONIDATION OF P-SUBSTITUTED PHENOLS BY RAT LIVER MICROSONES

Results from the incubation experiments showed that rat liver microsomes were able to metabolise the p-substituted phenols to their glucuronide conjugates. Metabolism of the p-substituted phenols followed Michaelis-Menten kinetics (Figure 3). The apparent $K_m$ and $V_{max}$ values of the rat liver microsomes and the (scaled) catalytic efficiencies are presented in Table 5. The compound p-heptyloxyphenol was far more efficiently converted by liver microsomes than the other phenols. The scaled catalytic efficiency (l/h/g liver) for glucuronidation of p-heptyloxyphenol was 145-fold higher than that of phenol. The scaled catalytic efficiencies of p-fluorophenol and p-methylketophenol were respectively 1.7-fold and 6.0-fold higher than that of phenol.

Table 5 Kinetic constants $K_m$ and $V_{max}$ ± SD, and catalytic efficiencies of the formation of the glucuronide metabolite of the phenols in rat liver microsomes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>$K_{m(app)}^a$</th>
<th>$V_{max(app)}^b$</th>
<th>Catalytic efficiency$^c$</th>
<th>Scaled $V_{max(app)}^d$</th>
<th>Scaled catalytic efficiency$^e$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>phenol</td>
<td>465 ± 66</td>
<td>90 ± 4</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-fluorophenol</td>
<td>314 ± 16</td>
<td>101 ± 1.6</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-heptyloxyphenol</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.6</td>
<td>60 ± 4.5</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>63.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-methylketophenol</td>
<td>138 ± 17</td>
<td>160 ± 4.4</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>2.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ µM.  
$^b$ nmol/min/mg microsomal protein.  
$^c$ $V_{max}/K_m$ (ml/min/mg protein in rat liver microsomes).  
$^d$ µmol/h/g liver.  
$^e$ l/h/g liver.

Figure 3 Concentration-dependent formation of the glucuronide conjugate of phenol (♦), p-fluorophenol (▼), p-methylketophenol (■) (panel a) and p-heptyloxyphenol (●) (panel b) in incubations with rat liver microsomes. Individual symbols represent mean activities of 3-4 independently performed experiments ± SD.
SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS

The results of the sensitivity analysis performed at a high oral dose of 200 mg/kg bw (Figure 4) indicated that the most influential parameters for the phenol models are the volume of the liver, parameters related to glucuronidation in the liver ($V_{\text{max}}$, $K_m$ and liver microsomal protein yield), the oral absorption coefficient, and the partition blood/plasma coefficient. The parameter $K_m$ was of higher influence in the models of p-methylketophenol and p-heptyloxyphenol than in the other phenol models. In the model for p-heptyloxyphenol, parameters related to the intestine (volume intestine, flow to intestine and partition coefficient intestinal tissue:plasma) are more influential than in the models of the other phenols. Parameters related to the foetal/placental compartment do not highly influence the model outcome.

In general, the model outcomes are sensitive to similar parameters at a low oral dose of 2 mg/kg bw when compared to the analysis at 200 mg/kg bw. Parameters related to the intestine in the model for p-heptyloxyphenol are not of influence at a low dose of 2 mg/kg bw. Moreover, the values of sensitivity coefficients of the phenols for $k_a$, derived at a low dose of 2 mg/kg bw, are lower than the sensitivity coefficients derived at 200 mg/kg bw. The sensitivity coefficients for the microsomal protein content and the $V_{\text{max}}$ for glucuronidation in the liver for p-fluorophenol, p-heptyloxyphenol and p-methylketophenol are lower than the sensitivity coefficients for these parameters derived at 200 mg/kg bw, while the sensitivity coefficients for phenol and p-fluorophenol for $K_m$ are higher when compared to the sensitivity coefficients derived at 200 mg/kg bw.
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**Figure 4** Normalised sensitivity coefficients for parameters of the PBK model for phenol (white bars), p-fluorophenol (dark grey bars), p-heptyloxyphenol (black bars) and p-methylketophenol (light grey bars) based on foetal $C_{\text{max}}$ values from a single oral dose of 2.0 mg/kg bw (a) and 200 mg/kg bw (b). Normalised sensitivity coefficients $\geq 0.2$ are presented. $V_{\text{ic}}$ = fraction intestinal tissue, $V_{\text{Lc}}$=fraction liver tissue, $V_{\text{PL}}$=volume placental tissue, $Q_{\text{Ic}}$=fractional intestinal flow, $Q_{\text{PLC}}$=fractional placental flow, $P_{\text{I:P}}$=partition coefficient intestine:plasma, $P_{\text{PLP}}$=partition coefficient placenta:plasma, $P_{\text{FE:P}}$=partition coefficient foetus:foetal plasma, $P_{\text{B:P}}$=partition coefficient body remaining:plasma, $P_{\text{BLP}}$=partition coefficient blood:plasma, $k_{a}$=oral absorption coefficient, $M_{\text{LP}}$=liver microsomal protein yield, $V_{\text{maxLPG}}$=unscaled maximum rate of glucuronidation of phenols in liver, $K_{\text{LP}}$=Michaelis-Menten constant for glucuronidation of phenols in liver.
TRANSLATION OF IN VITRO-EFFECT CONCENTRATIONS IN THE EST TO IN VIVO DOSE LEVELS

In a first step the in vitro concentrations of p-heptyloxyphenol were converted to equivalent plasma concentrations using extrapolation rules of Gülden and Seibert (2003). Only a very small difference was observed between the in vitro effect concentrations from the EST and the estimated equivalent plasma concentrations, with the latter being 2.1-fold higher than the in vitro effect concentrations. In a second step, the in vivo effect concentrations were translated to external in vivo oral dose values using the PBK models thus defining a dose-response curve from which a PoD could be derived. The predicted dose-response curves based on $C_{\text{max}}$ or the $\text{AUC}_{0-10\text{d}}$ are presented in Figure 5. The $\text{BMDL}_{0.05}$ values for the phenols predicted by the in vitro PBK approach using $C_{\text{max}}$ and the $\text{AUC}_{0-10\text{d}}$ from the EST as a dose metric for reverse dosimetry are outlined in Table 6, together with the $\text{BMDL}_{0.05}$ values that were predicted from in vivo developmental toxicity data reported in literature. The in vivo experimental data and the PBK model based predictions were representative for exposure at GD11 since in the in vivo experimental study exposure was at GD11 and for the predictions the PBK model was defined using physiological parameters representative for GD11. Comparing the $\text{BMDL}_{0.05}$ values that were predicted with the in vitro PBK approach with the $\text{BMDL}_{0.05}$ values derived from in vivo data, indicates that the $\text{BMDL}_{0.05}$ that is based on $C_{\text{max}}$ as a dose metric represents the in vivo $\text{BMDL}_{0.05}$ better than the $\text{BMDL}_{0.05}$ based on the $\text{AUC}_{0-10\text{d}}$ for phenol and p-fluorophenol, while for p-methylketophenol both the $\text{BMDL}_{0.05}$ based on $C_{\text{max}}$ and $\text{AUC}_{0-10\text{d}}$ seem to represent the in vivo $\text{BMDL}_{0.05}$ well. The difference between the $\text{BMDL}_{0.05}$ values predicted with the in vitro PBK approach based on $C_{\text{max}}$ of the EST as a dose metric and the $\text{BMDL}_{0.05}$ values obtained with in vivo developmental toxicity data vary less than 3.8-fold for these three phenols. For p-heptyloxyphenol, however, the $\text{BMDL}_{0.05}$ value based on $\text{AUC}_{0-10\text{d}}$ of the EST as a dose metric represents the in vivo $\text{BMDL}_{0.05}$ somewhat better (1.9-fold difference) than the predicted $\text{BMDL}_{0.05}$ based on $C_{\text{max}}$ (4.3-fold difference).
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Table 6 BMDL\textsubscript{05} values (mg/kg bw) for developmental toxicity of the phenols predicted with the in vitro PBK approach and BMDL\textsubscript{05} values derived from in vivo developmental toxicity data reported in the literature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>BMDL\textsubscript{05}\textsubscript{C\textsubscript{max}}</th>
<th>BMDL\textsubscript{05}\textsubscript{AUC}</th>
<th>BMDL\textsubscript{05} (or NOAEL)\textsuperscript{a}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>phenol</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>1536</td>
<td>333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-fluoro</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>1892</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-heptyloxy</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-methylketo</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>2170</td>
<td>632</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a} Derived from in vivo developmental toxicity data (most critical endpoint) from Kavlock (1990).

The potency ratios between phenol and p-fluorophenol, p-heptyloxyphenol and p-methylketophenol calculated based on the BMDL\textsubscript{05} values obtained with the in vitro PBK approach are graphically presented in Figure 6, together with the potency ratios obtained from the in vivo developmental toxicity study of Kavlock (1990) and the EST. The difference between the most and the least potent test compound in the EST, p-heptyloxyphenol and phenol, was three orders of magnitude (Strikwold et al. 2012), which does not reflect the potency ratio obtained from the in vivo developmental toxicity study which shows a small potency difference between the phenols (maximum 0.5-fold for the potency ratio based on the in vivo BMDL\textsubscript{05} or NOAEL). From Figure 6 it can be seen that the large difference in the toxic potency that was observed in the EST for p-heptyloxyphenol compared to phenol was greatly diminished, namely from 1553-fold in the EST to 0.8-fold in the in vitro PBK approach when based on the \( C_{\text{max}} \). The potency ratio between phenol and p-fluorophenol and p-methylketophenol was changed from 1.9 to 0.7-fold and from 4.9 to 0.5-fold, respectively.
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Translation of in vitro toxicity data to in vivo toxicity values is highly relevant in order to use in vitro data in the regulatory risk assessment of chemicals. In previous studies it was demonstrated that PBK-based reverse dosimetry converting in vitro concentration-response values to in vivo dose-response data could successfully be applied to predict a PoD for phenol (Strikwold et al. 2013), for all-trans-retinoic-acid (Louisse et al. 2014) and for some glycol-ethers (Louisse et al. 2010). The aim of the present study was to investigate whether PBK-based reverse dosimetry could be used to translate in vitro embryotoxicity data obtained with the EST for a series of phenols (Strikwold et al. 2012), to in vivo developmental toxic potency values for the rat, using only in silico and in vitro derived (kinetic) parameters and data from the literature, and if this approach could overcome differences in in vitro and in vivo relative potencies of different phenolic congeners observed by Strikwold et al. (2012). The PoDs predicted with the in vitro PBK approach differed only 3.8-fold from the PoDs derived from in vivo data from literature, when C\text{max} of the EST was considered to be the most appropriate dose metric for in vitro PBK-based reverse dosimetry for phenol and p-fluorophenol and the AUC\text{0-10d} for p-heptyloxyphenol, while both C\text{max} and AUC\text{0-10d} were appropriate metrics for p-methylketophenol. The large difference between the in vitro derived relative potency and the in vivo derived relative potency of p-heptyloxyphenol was reduced from 3 orders of magnitude for the EST data as such (Strikwold et al. 2012) to less than 2-fold after applying PBK-based reverse dosimetry to these EST data.

Results from our in silico and in vitro derived (kinetic) parameters, together with PBK modelling provide insight into the possible factors underlying the relative low toxic potency for p-heptyloxyphenol in vivo (Kavlock 1990) compared to the relatively high observed embryotoxic potency in vitro in the EST (Strikwold et al. 2012). This discrepancy may be due to three major factors that play a role in vivo but not in the EST in vitro model, including 1) the relatively rapid metabolism of p-heptyloxyphenol by glucuronidation, 2) the relatively low placental transport of p-heptyloxyphenol compared to the other phenols, and 3) the relatively high tissue:plasma partition coefficients of p-heptyloxyphenol. Of these three aspects, the rapid glucuronidation of the toxic parent compound p-heptyloxyphenol has the largest contribution to the improved relative potency prediction for this compound. The contribution of metabolism in diminishing the toxicity of p-heptyloxyphenol was also observed in the WEC assay where the embryotoxic potency of p-heptyloxyphenol was greatly reduced when hepatocytes were added to the WEC assay (Oglesby et al. 1992).

The affinity constant K\text{m} for glucuronidation of the phenols in the liver was found to be an influential kinetic parameter in the sensitivity analysis. The K\text{m} of p-heptyloxyphenol was much lower than the K\text{m} of the other tested phenols, resulting in a very rapid glucuronidation and elimination of the toxic parent compound. The high lipophilicity of p-heptyloxyphenol may explain the high affinity for the enzyme.
Transport across the placenta was determined with the BeWo cell line, and provided high $P_{\text{app}}$ values for each of the test compound, except for p-heptyloxyphenol for which the $P_{\text{app}}$ value was 7.1-fold lower compared with phenol. The high $P_{\text{app}}$ values are in line with in vivo studies in rats reporting that simple phenolic compounds may readily pass the placenta (Abu-Qare et al. 2000; Gray and Kavlock 1990). In general, cellular permeability increases with increasing lipophilicity, until a certain threshold (Li et al. 2013; Waterhouse 2003; Wils et al. 1994). It has been demonstrated in vitro with intestinal cells that transport may decrease for compounds with an octanol:buffer distribution coefficient > 3000 (Wils et al. 1994), which corresponds to our observations for p-heptyloxyphenol ($\log P_{\text{ow}} = 25704$ (ACD/Labs 2015)) in the Caco-2 and the BeWo assays. Interestingly, the $P_{\text{app}}$ value of p-heptyloxyphenol in the BeWo transport experiment was 7.1-fold lower than the $P_{\text{app}}$ value of phenol while this was only 1.2-fold in the Caco-2 assay. The observed difference may be due to binding of p-heptyloxyphenol to albumin that is present in the apical medium of the BeWo assay but not in the apical medium of Caco-2 assay. These albumin levels were selected to reflect physiological conditions. The predicted $P_{\text{app}}$ value in the BeWo assay for p-heptyloxyphenol may be somewhat lower than the in vivo value, as Li et al. (2013) observed that the relative $P_{\text{app}}$ value of the highly albumin bound compound ketoprofen was 3.4-fold lower in the BeWo system with albumin, compared to the relative $P_{\text{app}}$ determined with the ex vivo perfusion system that also included albumin. This difference possibly originates from differences in the fluid dynamics of both systems, which was static in the BeWo assay and is dynamic in the ex vivo perfusion system (Li et al. 2013).

Incorporating permeability data obtained from the BeWo transport system to semi-quantitatively predict placental transfer in the PBK models in the present study, presents an approach that has not been applied in PBK modelling before. The BeWo transport system was evaluated to be a valuable in vitro model to predict transport of compounds across the placenta (Li et al. 2013). Nonetheless, this approach may be explored further, investigating the applicability domain of the BeWo assay with respect to different chemical classes, i.e. lipophilic compounds, as well as the time of pregnancy to augment its utility.

The present study predicted the embryotoxic potency of the phenols with physiological parameters in the PBK model selected for GD11 because for this time point in vivo developmental toxicity data for evaluation of the predictions were available. The EST was used to represent a sensitive in vitro endpoint for developmental toxicity (Genschow et al. 2004), which ideally may represent the sensitivity of the embryo at the critical window for toxicity of the p-substituted phenols. Parameterisation of the PBK model for other gestational days is possible. Calculations with parameters adjusted to GD20 (data not shown) indicate little differences with GD11, providing a 1.04 to 1.8-fold higher BMDL05 value for predictions made with the PBK parameters for GD20 than for GD11 for the different phenols. Based on these results, the predictions for GD11 may be regarded to represent a sensitive period.
Chapter 4

In conclusion, PBK models were developed for a series of phenols, using in vitro, in silico data and data obtained from the literature only. Applying the in vitro PBK-based reverse dosimetry approach to overcome kinetic differences between the in vitro toxicity test system and the in vivo situation resulted in an improved prediction of the in vivo developmental toxic potency for this series of phenols. This approach has even overcome the large disparities that were observed between the in vitro and the in vivo relative potencies of p-heptyloxyphenol. Herewith, we provide another proof-of-principle that integrating in vitro toxicity data and PBK-based reverse dosimetry may be a promising approach for prospective toxicological safety evaluations of compounds, without performing animal testing.
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## SUPPLEMENTARY DATA A

### MASS BALANCE ALGORITHMS AND PARAMETER SPECIFICATIONS OF THE PBK MODEL FOR PHENOL AND P-SUBSTITUTED PHENOLS IN THE RAT

The algorithms are similar for phenol, p-fluorophenol, p-heptyloxyphenol and p-methylketophenol. An example for the compound phenol below is presented.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMPOUND</th>
<th>ABBREVIATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>phenol</td>
<td>ph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phenylglucuronide</td>
<td>pg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMPARTMENT (TISSUE (T))</th>
<th>ABBREVIATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Small intestine</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placenta</td>
<td>PL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foetus</td>
<td>FE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remaining body</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venous</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>BL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| VARIABLE                                             | UNIT           | ABBREVIATION |
|------------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| Blood flow rate to tissue                            | l h⁻¹           | Q(T)         |
| Cardiac output                                       | l h⁻¹           | QC           |
| Concentration phenol in tissue or blood              | μM             | C(T)ph       |
| Partition coefficient tissue:plasma phenol           | -              | P(T)ph       |
| Volume of tissue or blood                            | l              | V(T)         |
| Amount phenol in tissue or blood                     | μmol           | A(T)ph       |
| Maximum rate of formation metabolite (m) in tissue   | μmol h⁻¹       | Vmax(T)m     |
| Michaelis-Menten constant for formation metabolite (m) in tissue | μM             | Km(T)m       |
| Uptake rate phenol intestine                         | h⁻¹            | ka           |
| Amount phenol taken up from the gut lumen            | μmol           | Uptakeph     |
| Amount phenol remaining in the gut lumen             | μmol           | AGLph        |
| Clearance placenta                                   | l h⁻¹          | CLPL         |
SMALL INTESTINE

\[
\frac{dA_{I\text{ph}}}{dt} = \frac{d\text{Uptake}_{\text{ph}}}{dt} + QI \left( C_{A_{\text{ph}}} - \frac{C_{L_{\text{ph}}}}{P_{L_{\text{ph}}}} \times P_{B_{L_{\text{ph}}}} \right)
\]

Uptake phenol from gut lumen

\[
\frac{d\text{Uptake}_{\text{ph}}}{dt} = -\frac{dA_{G_{L\text{ph}}}}{dt} = k\alpha \times A_{G_{L\text{ph}}}
\]

\[A_{G_{L_{\text{ph}}}}(0) = \text{Oral dose}\]

LIVER COMPARTMENT

\[
\frac{dA_{L_{\text{ph}}}}{dt} = QL \times C_{A} + QI \times \frac{C_{L_{\text{ph}}}}{P_{L_{\text{ph}}}} \times P_{B_{L_{\text{ph}}}} - (QL + QI) \times \frac{C_{L_{\text{ph}}}}{P_{L_{\text{ph}}}} \times P_{B_{L_{\text{ph}}}} - \frac{V_{\text{max}_{L_{pg}}} \times C_{L_{\text{ph}}}}{K_{\text{m}_{L_{pg}}} + \frac{C_{L_{\text{ph}}}}{P_{L_{\text{ph}}}}}
\]

\[C_{L_{\text{ph}}} = \frac{A_{L_{\text{ph}}}}{V_{L}}\]

FAT COMPARTMENT

\[
\frac{dA_{F_{\text{ph}}}}{dt} = QF \left( C_{A_{\text{ph}}} - \frac{C_{F_{\text{ph}}}}{P_{F_{\text{ph}}}} \times P_{B_{L_{\text{ph}}}} \right)
\]

\[C_{F_{\text{ph}}} = \frac{A_{F_{\text{ph}}}}{V_{F}}\]
PLACENTAL/FOETAL COMPARTMENT

Phenol in placental compartment

\[
\frac{dA_{PL_{ph}}}{dt} = QPL \left( CA_{ph} - \frac{CPL_{ph}}{PPL_{ph}} \cdot PBL_{ph} \right) + CLPL \left( \frac{CFE}{PFE} - \frac{CPL}{PPL} \right)
\]

\[
CPL_{ph} = \frac{A_{PL_{ph}}}{VPL}
\]

Phenol in foetal compartment

\[
\frac{dA_{FE_{ph}}}{dt} = CLPL \left( \frac{CPL}{PPL} - \frac{CFE}{PFE} \right)
\]

\[
CFE_{ph} = \frac{A_{FE_{ph}}}{VFE}
\]

REMAINING BODY TISSUE

\[
\frac{dA_{B_{ph}}}{dt} = QB \left( CA_{ph} - \frac{CB_{ph}}{PB_{ph}} \cdot PBI_{ph} \right)
\]

\[
CB_{ph} = \frac{A_{B_{ph}}}{VB}
\]

ARTERIAL BLOOD COMPARTMENT

\[
CA_{ph} = CV_{ph}
\]
VENOUS BLOOD COMPARTMENT

\[
\frac{dA_{V_{ph}}}{dt} = (Q_L + Q_I) \cdot \frac{C_{L_{ph}}}{P_{L_{ph}}} \cdot P_{B_{L_{ph}}} + Q_F \cdot \frac{C_{F_{ph}}}{P_{F_{ph}}} \cdot P_{B_{L_{ph}}} + Q_{PL} \cdot \frac{C_{PL_{ph}}}{P_{PL_{ph}}} \cdot P_{B_{L_{ph}}} + Q_{B} \cdot \frac{C_{B_{ph}}}{P_{B_{ph}}} \cdot P_{B_{L_{ph}}}
\]

\[-QC \cdot C_{V_{ph}}\]

\[C_{V_{ph}} = \frac{A_{V_{ph}}}{VV}\]

Note: the maternal circulation is via blood, but exchange between mother and foetus is modelled to occur via plasma because that represents the BeWo system better. For the reverse dosimetry, the foetal plasma was set equal to the concentration in the EST.
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Development of a combined in vitro physiologically based kinetic (PBK) and Monte Carlo modelling approach to predict interindividual human variation in phenol induced developmental toxicity

Marije Strikwold, Bert Spenkelink, Ruud A Woutersen, Ivonne MCM Rietjens, Ans Punt
Submitted for publication
ABSTRACT

With our recently developed in vitro physiologically based kinetic (PBK) modelling approach we could extrapolate in vitro toxicity data to in vivo toxicity values applying PBK-based reverse dosimetry. This approach allows to make toxicity predictions directly for humans by taking human kinetic information into account. Ideally information on kinetic differences among human individuals within a population should also be considered. In the present study we demonstrated a modelling approach that integrated in vitro toxicity data, PBK modelling and Monte Carlo simulations to obtain insight in interindividual human kinetic variation and derive chemical specific adjustment factors (CSAFs) for phenol induced developmental toxicity as the endpoint of interest. The present study revealed that UGT1A6 is the primary enzyme responsible for the glucuronidation of phenol in humans followed by UGT1A9. Monte Carlo simulations were performed taking into account interindividual variation in glucuronidation by these specific UGTs and in the oral absorption coefficient which was shown to be another parameter with high influence on the phenol plasma concentrations predicted by the PBK model. Linking Monte Carlo simulations with PBK modelling, population variability in the maximum plasma concentration of phenol for the female human population could be predicted. This approach provided a CSAF for interindividual variation of 2.0 which covers the 99th percentile of the population. Based on this outcome, it was concluded that the default safety factor of 3.16 applied for interindividual human kinetic differences in the safety assessment of chemicals seems adequately protective. Dividing the dose-response curve data obtained with in vitro PBK-based reverse dosimetry, with the CSAF obtained for the 99th percentile provided a dose-response curve that reflects the consequences of the interindividual variability in phenol kinetics for the developmental toxicity of phenol. The strength of the presented approach is that it provides insight in the effect of interindividual variation in kinetics for phenol induced developmental toxicity, based on only in vitro and in silico testing.
INTRODUCTION

The development of alternatives to animal testing is a subject of increasing importance. Especially a number of recent regulatory decisions within the EU have made the development of non-animal based approaches in chemical risk assessment more important (EC 2007; EC 2009). In addition, alternatives to animal testing are also crucial for economic and ethical reasons and to meet the criticism about the human relevance of animal based safety tests. To enhance the development of non-animal approaches in risk assessment, we have recently developed an in vitro physiologically based kinetic (PBK) reverse dosimetry approach, which allowed prediction of in vivo developmental toxicity values for phenol and a series of p-substituted phenols from in vitro concentration-response curves obtained with the embryonic stem cell test (EST) (Strikwold et al. 2013; Strikwold et al. 2016). Within this approach in vitro toxicity data obtained with the EST were extrapolated to in vivo dose-response curves for developmental toxicity using in vitro PBK-based reverse dosimetry (Louisse et al. 2010; Louisse et al. 2014; Strikwold et al. 2013; Strikwold et al. 2016). The predicted points of departure (PoDs), that can be used as a starting point in the hazard and risk assessment of chemicals, differed less than 4-fold from the in vivo determined values (Strikwold et al. 2013; Strikwold et al. 2016). Similar promising results were obtained when applying this approach for a series of glycol ethers and for all-trans-retinoic-acid (Louisse et al. 2010; Louisse et al. 2014).

A major advantage of this in vitro PBK-based reverse dosimetry approach is that it provides the opportunity to make predictions directly for humans by taking PBK model parameters defined for humans into account. This implies that the in vitro PBK-based reverse dosimetry approach is not only relevant to reduce animal experiments but also to make the risk assessment more relevant to the human situation. Such human predictions are an important goal within the framework for toxicity testing in the 21st century as envisioned by the National Research Council (2007).

Within our recent work, we made predictions on developmental toxicity of phenol for an average human female, applying the in vitro PBK-based reverse dosimetry approach for phenol (Strikwold et al. 2013). However, this model did not take into account interindividual human variation in sensitivity towards the toxic effects, which may occur as a result of differences in kinetic processes between individuals. Although a default safety factor of 3.16 for interindividual human differences is often applied to account for such human variation in kinetics (IPCS 2005), having more detailed insights in possible interindividual variation might provide risk assessors with a reliable basis to derive human guidance values and assist in defining chemical specific adjustment factors (CSAFs). The aim of the present study was to demonstrate an approach that integrates in vitro toxicity data, PBK modelling and Monte Carlo simulations to assess the effects of human interindividual variation in kinetics for phenol induced developmental toxicity.
Phenol is a high production volume chemical that is mainly metabolised by glucuronidation and sulfation. Results from a previously published PBK model for phenol indicated that the glucuronidation pathway is an important determinant for blood phenol concentrations (Strikwold et al. 2013). UGT1A6 and UGT1A9 are likely to be involved in the glucuronidation of phenol because many p-substituted phenols are a substrate for these UGT forms (Ethell et al. 2002). Moreover, at least SULT1A1 and SULT1A3 are involved in the sulfation of phenol (Dajani et al. 1999). Genetic differences and lifestyle factors may influence the expression and activity of these enzymes, and hence may significantly influence the metabolism of phenol and the sensitivity towards phenol induced developmental toxicity. The sensitivity analysis of the PBK model of phenol (Strikwold et al. 2013) revealed that the oral absorption coefficient (ka) and glucuronidation of phenol in the liver were parameters that influenced the maximum predicted phenol plasma concentration ($C_{\text{max}}$) to a major extent and were therefore included in the evaluation of interindividual variation in the present study.

To enable prediction of the effect of interindividual human kinetic variation in sensitivity to phenol induced developmental toxicity, information on the metabolic variation in phenol glucuronidation was generated with in vitro kinetic experiments using two approaches. At first, phenol glucuronidation was quantified using subcellular liver fractions of ten female individuals, which is often used as a first step when information about interindividual variation is lacking. The kinetic constants obtained for each female were used to define ten subject specific PBK models based on our previously developed PBK model for phenol (Strikwold et al. 2013), from which differences in plasma phenol levels of the ten individuals were calculated. Secondly, a Monte Carlo simulation was performed to predict interindividual variation in phenol plasma levels. To this end the variation in phenol glucuronidation was modelled based on data from in vitro kinetic experiments using UGT isoforms and human variation in expression of these UGT enzymes described in literature. Variation in phenol plasma concentrations obtained with both approaches was compared to each other. So far in both approaches, only variation in phenol glucuronidation was taken into account, next to the use of average values for the other model parameters. In a next step interindividual variation for the oral absorption coefficient, which according to the sensitivity analysis (Strikwold et al. 2013) also highly influenced the model outcome, was included in the Monte Carlo simulations as well. Based on the results obtained from the latter Monte Carlo simulation a CSAF (IPCS 2005) was derived that accounts for interindividual differences in phenol kinetics within the human population. Possible consequences of the results obtained for risk assessment are discussed.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

COMPOUNDS AND BIOLOGICAL MATERIALS
Phenol (99%), phenyl-β-D-glucuronide (≥ 99%), propofol (≥ 98%), propofol-β-D-glucuronide sodium salt (99.4%), serotonin (≥ 98%), Tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane (Tris) (≥ 99.9%), uridine 5’-diphosphoglucuronic acid (UDPGA) and alamethicin (98%) were obtained from Sigma Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany). Serotonin-β-D-glucuronide (97%) was obtained from Biozol (Eching, Germany). Acetonitrile (UPLC/MS grade) was obtained from BioSolve (Valkenswaard, The Netherlands), dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) (≥ 99%) from Acros Organics (Geel, Belgium). Trifluoroacetic acid (TFA), hydrochloride acid (37%) and magnesium chloride hexahydrate were purchased from VWR International GmbH (Darmstadt, Germany).

Supersomes™ (baculovirus insect microsomal preparations) with individually expressed human UGT 1A1, 1A9 and 2B7 enzymes were purchased from BD Biosciences (Belgium). Supersomes™ (baculovirus insect microsomal preparations) with individually expressed human UGT 1A3, 1A4, 1A6, 1A7, 1A8, 1A10, 2B4, 2B10, 2B15, 2B17 enzymes and pooled female human liver microsomes (21 donors) were purchased from Corning (Woburn MA, USA). Ten individual female human liver S9 homogenates were obtained from XenoTech (Lenexa, KS, USA).

GLUCURONIDATION OF PHENOL BY POOLED FEMALE HUMAN LIVER MICROSOMES AND INDIVIDUAL FEMALE HUMAN LIVER S9
Incubations were performed to determine the kinetic constants, namely the maximum enzyme reaction rate (V_{max}) and the Michaelis-Menten constant (K_m) for phenol glucuronidation with female human liver fractions. The incubation mixtures consisted of 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.4) with 10 mM MgCl₂, containing (final concentrations) 10 mM UDPGA, 0.5 mg microsomal protein/ml for assays with pooled female human liver microsomes or 0.5 mg S9 protein/ml for assays with ten individual female human liver S9 homogenates. To overcome enzyme latency the incubation mixtures were pre-treated for 15 min on ice, with the poreforming peptide alamethicin (0.025 mg/ml) added from a 200 times concentrated stock solution in methanol. Then, incubations were started after a 1-min pre-incubation at 37 °C by the addition of the substrate phenol (final concentrations ranging from 200 to 4000 µM added from a 200 times concentrated stock solution in DMSO) and left in a shaking water bath of 37 °C for 10 min for the assays with pooled female human liver microsomes and with individual female human liver S9. The reactions were terminated with the addition of ice-cold acetonitrile (20% v/v) and subsequently put on ice. In the blank incubation mixtures, UDPGA was omitted. The ten human individual liver fractions were assumed to represent the female fertile population. To enable the collection of ten female samples, also females aged 57 and 66 year were included, knowing that older age does not affect glucuronidation activity (Court 2010).
GLUCURONIDATION OF PHENOL BY RECOMBINANT UGT ENZYMES

Supersomes™ with individually expressed UGT enzymes 1A1, 1A3, 1A4, 1A6, 1A7, 1A8, 1A9, 1A10, 2B4, 2B7, 2B10, 2B15, 2B17 were screened on the ability to glucuronidate phenol. Incubations were performed in 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.4 including 10 mM MgCl₂), containing (final concentrations) 0.5 mg protein/ml and 10 mM UDPGA. To overcome enzyme latency the incubation mixture was pre-treated for 15 min on ice, with the poreforming peptide alamethicin (0.025 mg/ml) added from a 200 times concentrated stock solution in methanol. Then, incubations were started after a 1-min pre-incubation at 37 °C by the addition of phenol (final concentration 1000 µM added from a 200 times concentrated stock solution in DMSO) and left in a shaking water bath of 37 °C for 45 min. The reactions were terminated with the addition of ice-cold acetonitrile (20% v/v) and subsequently put on ice. In the blank incubation mixtures UDPGA was omitted.

Kinetic constants \( V_{\text{max}} \), \( K_{\text{m}} \), the inhibition constant \( K_{\text{i}} \), or \( k \), the latter representing the first-order kinetic rate constant in the absence of saturation (for details see section Enzyme kinetic parameters) were determined for those UGT enzymes that showed a sufficient turn-over in the screening study, including UGT1A6, UGT1A7, UGT1A8, UGT1A9 UGT2B7. The final phenol concentrations ranged from 200 to 8000 µM depending on the UGT enzyme studied and were added to the incubation mixture from 200 times concentrated stock solutions in DMSO. Other incubation conditions were similar as used for the screening assay, except that the incubation period was 30 min. Based on the kinetic curves and the kinetic constants obtained together with the scaled catalytic efficiency \( (V_{\text{max}}/K_{\text{m}}) \), the contribution of the different UGTs to phenol glucuronidation in vivo was further evaluated and UGTs that were expected to contribute the most to phenol glucuronidation at relevant plasma concentrations of phenol were included in the Monte Carlo evaluation.

RELATIVE ACTIVITY FACTOR (RAF)

With the RAF approach (Crespi 1995) the glucuronidation activity of UGT enzymes can be scaled to female human liver microsomes enabling extrapolation of the in vitro determined activity to in vivo values. The \( V_{\text{max}} \) values obtained from the in vitro incubations with recombinant enzymes (nmol/min/mg protein) were scaled to the in vivo situation (µmol/h/g organ) using this RAF approach (Crespi 1995), according to the following equation:

\[
\text{Scaled } V_{\text{max,UGT}} = V_{\text{max,UGT(app)}} / (1000 \text{ nmol/µmol}) \times (60 \text{ min/h}) \times \text{RAF}_{\text{UGT}} \times \text{MPL}
\]

in which MPL represents the microsomal protein yield of 32 mg/(g liver) (Barter et al. 2007). \( \text{RAF}_{\text{UGT}} \) corresponds to the ratio between the conversion of an enzyme specific probe substrate by the recombinant enzyme (Supersomes™) and by pooled female human liver microsomes (HLM). The RAF is determined according to the following equation:
Predicting interindividual human variation in phenol induced developmental toxicity

\[
RAF = \frac{v \text{ HLM probe}}{v \text{ Supersome}^{TM} \text{ probe}}
\]

in which, \( v \) represents the metabolic turnover of an enzyme specific probe substrate. The probe used to determine the RAF for UGT1A6 was serotonin (Krishnaswamy et al. 2003) and the probe for UGT1A9 was propofol (Court 2005). To determine the RAF values for UGT1A6 and UGT1A9, incubations were performed as described for phenol glucuronidation using HLM or the Supersomes\(^{TM}\) (both pre-treated with alamethicin to reduce enzyme latency), using a concentration of 0.1 mg protein/ml for HLM and 0.1 mg protein/ml Supersomes\(^{TM}\) for serotonin with an incubation time of 30 min, and a concentration of 0.1 mg protein/ml for HLM and 0.1 mg protein/ml for Supersomes\(^{TM}\) for propofol with an incubation time of 15 min. The applied final probe concentrations in the incubations were 4000 µM for serotonin and 25 µM for propofol, taking into account recommendations about appropriate probe concentrations reported by Court (2005). In the blank incubation mixtures, UDPGA was omitted.

The performance of the RAF approach was evaluated by comparing the sum of scaled catalytic efficiencies (\( V_{\text{max}}/K_{\text{m}} \)) of the individual isoenzymes UGT1A6 and UGT1A9 to the scaled catalytic efficiency that was determined with kinetic constants obtained from pooled female human liver microsomes.

**QUANTIFICATION OF COMPOUNDS AND GLUCURONIDE CONJUGATES**

Samples of the incubation experiments with phenol, propofol and serotonin as substrate were centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 5 min. Subsequently 3.5 µl were analysed by UPLC-PDA (Waters Acquity) according the method for phenol and phenylglucuronide described previously by Strikwold et al. (2013). Phenylglucuronide was quantified by its peak area using a linear calibration curve (\( R^2 > 0.999 \)) made using a commercially available reference compound. Chromatogram peaks of propofol glucuronide and serotonin glucuronide from samples of the incubation experiments were identified using commercially available reference standards. The RAFs were calculated based on the peak areas of the glucuronides that were formed after incubating the probes.

**ENZYMES KINETIC PARAMETERS**

Kinetic parameters describing enzymatic conversions were defined based on the in vitro metabolism data obtained in the present study using GraphPad (GraphPad Prism 5.0 software, San Diego, CA, USA). The best model fit was selected from the Michaelis-Menten model, substrate inhibition model, and linear curve fitting based on visual inspection of the curves. Subsequently, the relevant enzyme kinetic parameters (\( V_{\text{max}} \) for the maximum enzyme reaction rate, Michaelis-Menten constant \( K_{\text{m}} \), inhibition constant \( K_i \) or \( k \) representing the first-order kinetic rate constant) were estimated. In vitro derived \( V_{\text{max(app)}} \) values were scaled to the
in vivo situation using a protein yield of 32 mg/g liver (Barter et al. 2007) for in vitro studies with microsomes and Supersomes™ and 143 mg/S9 (Medinsky et al. 1994) for in vitro studies with S9. In vivo $K_m$ values were assumed to be the same as $K_m$ values obtained from the in vitro experiments. The catalytic efficiencies of the enzyme were defined by $V_{\text{max}}/K_m$.

**PBK MODELS, MONTE CARLO SIMULATIONS AND SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS**

Our previously developed PBK model for phenol in humans (Strikwold et al. 2013) was used as starting point in the present study to evaluate the effect of human interindividual variation in kinetics for phenol induced developmental toxicity. The kinetic constants derived in the present study from incubations with the pooled human female liver samples were used in the PBK model. Subsequently a sensitivity analysis was performed using the same approach as described by Strikwold et al. (2013) to determine parameters that influenced the model outcome.

Then, interindividual variability in phenol glucuronidation was assessed using a population of ten female individuals. To this purpose, the kinetic constants ($V_{\text{max}}$ and $K_m$) for liver glucuronidation obtained from incubations with individual female human liver S9 homogenates were included in the PBK model for phenol (Strikwold et al. 2013). With the PBK models, maximum phenol plasma concentrations ($C_{\text{max}}$) at an oral dose level of 25 mg/kg bw were calculated for each individual.

To enable prediction of kinetic variation in a larger human population, interindividual variability was assessed by linking the PBK model of phenol (Strikwold et al. 2013) with Monte Carlo simulations. To this end, a PBK model was developed in which the kinetic constants for glucuronidation of phenol were described with the kinetic constants obtained in the present study from incubations with recombinant UGT enzymes. Together with literature reporting human variation in expression of these UGT enzymes, Monte Carlo simulations could be performed on interindividual human differences in glucuronidation of phenol in the liver. The Monte Carlo simulations were performed in connection with the PBK model to simulate phenol plasma concentrations that could occur in the human female population at an oral dose of 25 mg/kg bw, when taking interindividual human variation in the maximum glucuronidation rate ($V_{\text{max}}$) of phenol in the liver into account. The predicted variation in the $C_{\text{max}}$ of phenol at an oral dose level of 25 mg/kg bw obtained with the Monte Carlo simulation was compared to the $C_{\text{max}}$ values of phenol predicted by the individual PBK models for the ten individuals at an oral dose level of 25 mg/kg bw. So far, only variation in phenol glucuronidation was taken into account in both approaches, next to the use of average values for the other model parameters.

In addition to the analysis above, a Monte Carlo simulation was performed that in addition to variation in UGT expression, also included the interindividual human variation in the oral absorption coefficient (ka), because the sensitivity analysis revealed this to be another
parameter of major influence (Strikwold et al. 2013). No interindividual human variation in the remaining model parameters was included since they were shown by the sensitivity analysis to be of limited influence.

For the Monte Carlo modelling, a total of 10,000 simulations were performed. The distributions were truncated at ±3 SD by excluding individuals with a $V_{\text{max}}$ value for UGT1A6 and/or UGT1A9 and/or a ka value higher or lower than three times the SD of the geometric mean values from the Monte Carlo simulation. This resulted in a final population of 9956 and 9907 individuals for respectively simulations with variation in UGTs and simulations with variation in both UGTs and ka. In each simulation, the values of the model parameters $V_{\text{max}}$ for UGT1A6 and UGT1A9 and the ka were randomly taken from the log-normal distribution. The log-normal distribution of a parameter was defined by the mean ($\mu_w$) and the standard deviation ($\sigma_w$) according the equations defined by Zhang et al. (2007):

$$\mu_w = \ln \frac{\mu_X}{\sqrt{1 + CV_X^2}}$$

and

$$\sigma_w^2 = \ln(1 + CV_X^2)$$

where $\mu_X$ represents the average of $V_{\text{max,UGT}}$ or ka and $CV_X$ is the coefficient of variation for each of the values. In the simulation, the parameters were allowed to vary independently from one another. The average ka value was 7.62/h which was obtained from the rat in situ intestinal perfusion study of Humphrey et al. (1980) and assumed to be applicable for human. The average value for the apparent $V_{\text{max}}$ of UGT1A6 and UGT1A9 were experimentally obtained in the present study amounting 7.3 and 9.7 nmol/min/mg protein, respectively. The coefficients of variation (CV) representing interindividual variation in the glucuronidation activity by UGT1A6 and UGT1A9 amounting to 76% and 55% respectively, were taken from the literature (Court 2010) and attributed to the $V_{\text{max}}$ values of these UGTs in the PBK model. The CV for ka was assumed to be 30% representing a moderate level of variation (Covington et al. 2007).

Model predictions with Monte Carlo simulations were performed with Berkeley Madonna (version 8.3.18, UC Berkeley, CA, USA). The population distribution generated with the Monte Carlo simulation was statistically analysed with GraphPad (GraphPad Prism 5.0 software, San Diego, CA, USA) to calculate the geometric mean, and different percentiles of the $C_{\text{max}}$ values of phenol obtained from the Monte Carlo analysis. The population distribution enabled the prediction of the CSAF, which was obtained by dividing the percentile ($90^{th}$ and $99^{th}$ of the population) of $C_{\text{max}}$ by the geometric mean of $C_{\text{max}}$ (IPCS 2005).
REVERSE DOSIMETRY AND DOSE-RESPONSE ANALYSIS

In analogy to our previous study (Strikwold et al. 2013), reverse dosimetry was applied using the maximum plasma concentration \( (C_{\text{max}}) \) as appropriate dose metric. To this end each concentration tested in the EST (EC), was set equal to the maximum plasma concentration of unconjugated phenol in the PBK model for the average female that used kinetic constants determined using pooled female liver samples, providing effective external oral dose levels \( (ED_x) \) (Strikwold et al. 2013). From these ED_x values, an in vivo dose-response curve was derived and a 95% lower confidence limit of the benchmark dose at 5% benchmark response \( (BMDL_{05}) \) using the Benchmark Dose Software (BMDS) version 2.6 from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Calculations were performed with the logProbit model because this model provided the best fit for our previous predicted dose-response curve for phenol in humans (Strikwold et al. 2013). Subsequently, the dose-response curve of a sensitive individual in the population, represented by the 99th percentile obtained from the Monte Carlo simulations, was visualised by applying the CSAF (99th percentile of \( C_{\text{max}} \)) to the dose-response curve of the average population, assuming linear kinetic processes at these dose levels.

RESULTS

FORMATION OF PHENYLGLUCURONIDE BY POOLED FEMALE HUMAN LIVER MICROSONES AND INDIVIDUAL FEMALE HUMAN LIVER S9

Table 1 presents the kinetic constants \( K_m, V_{\text{max}} \) and the scaled catalytic efficiencies for glucuronidation as obtained in incubations with pooled female human liver microsomes and individual female liver S9 preparations. The \( K_m \) and \( V_{\text{max}} \) for the glucuronidation of phenol obtained with pooled female microsomes were 771 µM and 64 µmol/h/g liver, respectively. The average \( V_{\text{max}} \) and \( K_m \) of the ten individuals amounted to 976 µM and 78 µmol/h/g liver, respectively. The difference between the highest and lowest \( K_m \) value predicted for the ten females is 4.8-fold. Interestingly, the \( K_m \) value of individual H_217 is 257 µM which is relatively low compared to the \( K_m \) values obtained with the pooled females (\( K_m = 771 \) µM) and the \( K_m \) values obtained with the other individual liver samples (mean \( K_m = 976 \) µM). The difference between the highest and lowest scaled \( V_{\text{max}} \) values of the individuals is 2.3-fold. The mean scaled \( V_{\text{max}} \) of the ten individuals is reasonably in accordance with the scaled \( V_{\text{max}} \) obtained from incubations with pooled female liver microsomes, namely 78 versus 64 µmol/h/g liver respectively. The kinetic constants obtained for the ten females were used in the PBK models for the individuals, of which the model predictions were used to assess the consequences of interindividual differences in glucuronidation of phenol for the resulting \( C_{\text{max}} \) values.

The coefficients of variation (CVs) of the in vitro determined \( V_{\text{max}} \) and \( K_m \) of the ten individuals were 32% and 30%, respectively and the resulting CV for the scaled catalytic
efficiency \( (V_{\text{max}}/K_{m}) \) of the ten females was 79%. This relative high CV for the scaled catalytic efficiency is caused by female H_217 which has a relative low \( K_{m} \) value of 257 µM, but a \( V_{\text{max}} \) value comparable to the other individuals. Removing this subject from the dataset provides a CV of 36% for the scaled catalytic efficiency, hence providing a moderate variation in glucuronidation activity for the individuals.

Table 1 Kinetic constants \( K_{m}, V_{\text{max}} \pm SD \) and catalytic efficiencies for the formation of the metabolite phenylglucuronide of phenol in incubations with pooled and individual female human liver fractions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Human source</th>
<th>( K_{m}(\text{app})^a )</th>
<th>( V_{\text{max(app)}}^b )</th>
<th>In vitro catalytic efficiency(^c)</th>
<th>Scaled ( V_{\text{max(app)}}^d )</th>
<th>Scaled catalytic efficiency ( \text{liver^e} )</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Race(^f)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pooled microsomes</td>
<td>771 ± 147</td>
<td>33.4 ± 1.9</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>64.1</td>
<td>83.2</td>
<td>33-78</td>
<td>C, AA, H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual S9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H_120</td>
<td>842 ± 190</td>
<td>6.3 ± 0.46</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>54.4</td>
<td>64.6</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H_251</td>
<td>1213 ± 337</td>
<td>13.0 ± 1.3</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>111.4</td>
<td>91.8</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H_280</td>
<td>1098 ± 433</td>
<td>11.8 ± 1.6</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>101.2</td>
<td>92.2</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H_291</td>
<td>1224 ± 437</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.73</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>47.7</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H_393</td>
<td>1024 ± 426</td>
<td>11.0 ± 1.6</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>94.6</td>
<td>92.3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H_428</td>
<td>1115 ± 105</td>
<td>11.5 ± 0.39</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>98.7</td>
<td>88.5</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H_177</td>
<td>1169 ± 376</td>
<td>6.1 ± 0.73</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>52.3</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H_205</td>
<td>786 ± 200</td>
<td>10.7 ± 0.87</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>92.2</td>
<td>117.3</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H_217</td>
<td>257 ± 72</td>
<td>9.2 ± 0.54</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>79.2</td>
<td>308.4</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H_220</td>
<td>1035 ± 451</td>
<td>5.7 ± 0.86</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>49.1</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>CV (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( K_{m} ) ( \text{app})</td>
<td>976 ± 292</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V_{\text{max(app)}} )</td>
<td>9.1 ± 2.9</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In vitro catalytic efficiency(^c)</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scaled ( V_{\text{max(app)}} )</td>
<td>78.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scaled catalytic efficiency ( \text{liver^e} )</td>
<td>98.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( ^a \) µM.  
\( ^b \) nmol/min/mg protein in tissue fraction.  
\( ^c \) µl/min/mg protein in tissue fraction.  
\( ^d \) µmol/h/g liver.  
\( ^e \) ml/h/g liver.  
\( ^f \) C=Caucasians; AA=African American; H=Hispanic.

FORMATION OF PHENYLGLUCURONIDE BY RECOMBINANT UGT ENZYMES

Incubations with cDNA recombinant expressed UGT enzymes were performed to determine which UGT enzymes are able to glucuronidate phenol. Figure 1 presents the formation of phenol glucuronide for each tested UGT during 45 min of incubation under similar conditions. Especially UGT1A6 and UGT1A9 were able to form phenylglucuronide, followed by UGT2B7.
Extrahepatic UGT1A7, UGT1A8 and UGT1A10, which are amongst others differentially expressed in oesophagus, stomach, small intestine, colon, bile duct, lung and kidney (Tukey and Strassburg 2000; Ohno and Nakajin 2009), were also able to glucuronidate phenol, as well as UGT1A1 and UGT2B15. Each of these extrahepatic UGTs and UGT1A1 and 2B15 showed a conversion that was lower than 5% of the sum of phenylglucuronide formed by all individual tested UGTs, under similar screening conditions. Glucuronidation of phenol was not detected for UGT1A3, UGT1A4, UGT2B4, UGT2B10 and UGT2B17 during the 45 min of incubation.

**Figure 1** Activity of human UGT isoenzymes for the glucuronidation of phenol tested with Supersomes™ (baculovirus expressed insect microsomal preparations). UGT isoenzyme activity is expressed as the percentage of the sum of the individual UGT isoenzyme activities tested under similar screening conditions (see section Materials and methods). Isoenzyme activities are average values obtained from 2 or 3 independent experiments.

Determination of the contribution of each individual UGT in vivo required a correction of $V_{\text{max}}$ of each UGT by the respective RAF. To determine the $V_{\text{max}}$ and other kinetic values, the rate of glucuronidation by UGT1A6, UGT1A7, UGT1A8, UGT1A9, and UGT2B7 with increasing concentrations of phenol was determined of which the results are presented in Figure 2. The kinetic constants $V_{\text{max}}$, $K_m$, $K_i$, and $k$, together with the RAFs and the (scaled) catalytic efficiencies of the UGTs are presented in Table 2. The RAFs determined in the present study for UGT1A6 and UGT1A9 were 3.4 and 0.57 respectively. The RAF for UGT2B7, which is an average of three RAF values from literature is 1.7 (Al-Subeihi et al. 2015; Kato et al. 2012; Saabi et al. 2013). At present no specific probes for UGT1A7, UGT1A8 and UGT1A10 are available, which hampers determination of RAFs for these enzymes. As the metabolic conversion by these enzymes is observed to be lower than for UGT1A6 and UGT1A9 (i.e. lower catalytic efficiency) and as UGT1A7, UGT1A8 and UGT1A10 are only present in extrahepatic tissues these enzymes were not included in the PBK model to evaluate interindividual differences using Monte Carlo simulations. UGT2B7 did not show saturation kinetics, and
showed a linear increase in the rate of glucuronidation with an increasing phenol concentration up to at least 8000 µM. Taking into account the relatively low scaled catalytic efficiency of 1.44 ml/h/g liver for UGT2B7 compared to the scaled catalytic efficiencies of 43.2 and 20.8 ml/h/g liver for UGT1A6 and UGT1A9 respectively, together with the relative low glucuronidation activity of UGT2B7 at phenol concentrations below 1000 µM (Figure 2) which are physiologically relevant, no major contribution of UGT2B7 towards the glucuronidation of phenol in the female human liver was expected.

Figure 2 Concentration dependent formation of phenylglucuronide by cDNA recombinant expressed UGT enzymes: a UGT1A6 (n=3 ± SD), b UGT1A7 (n=2 ± SD), c UGT1A8 (n=2 ± SD), d UGT1A9 (n=2 ± SD), e UGT2B7 (n=3 ± SD).
Both, UGT1A6 and UGT1A9 have a much higher affinity (reflected by a lower $K_m$) for phenol compared to the other UGT enzymes. Incubations with UGT1A9 with phenol concentrations above 900 µM resulted in substrate inhibition, but this concentration is above phenol plasma concentrations at relevant dose levels. When taking into account the kinetic plots of the UGTs and the scaled catalytic efficiencies which are corrected by the RAF, UGT1A6 and UGT1A9 are estimated to be the UGTs predominantly contributing to the glucuronidation of phenol in the female human liver and these UGTs were thus included in our PBK model to evaluate interindividual differences using Monte Carlo simulations.

To evaluate the performance of the RAF approach to estimate phenol glucuronidation in female human liver, the sum of the scaled catalytic efficiencies of the individual enzymes UGT1A6 and UGT1A9 was compared to the scaled catalytic glucuronidation efficiency that was measured with pooled female human liver microsomes. It can be concluded that the RAF approach performed reasonably well with the sum of the scaled catalytic efficiencies of the individual enzymes UGT1A6 and UGT1A9 predicting 77% of the total catalytic glucuronidation efficiency that was measured with pooled female human liver microsomes.

Table 2 Kinetic constants $V_{\text{max}}$, $K_m \pm SD$, $K_i$, $k$, RAF values and catalytic efficiencies of the formation of the metabolite phenylglucuronide of phenol in Supersomes™.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme</th>
<th>$V_{\text{max(app)}}$</th>
<th>$K_m(app)$</th>
<th>$K_i(app)$</th>
<th>$k(app)$</th>
<th>In vitro catalytic efficiency</th>
<th>RAF</th>
<th>Scaled $V_{\text{max(app)}}$</th>
<th>Scaled catalytic efficiency liver</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UGT1A6</td>
<td>7.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1108 ± 239</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td>43.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UGT1A7</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.6</td>
<td>5565 ± 1985</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UGT1A8</td>
<td>4.6 ± 1.5</td>
<td>6475 ± 3096</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UGT1A9</td>
<td>9.7 ± 3.3</td>
<td>509 ± 288</td>
<td>1529 ± 834</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>20.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UGT2B7</td>
<td>9.7 ± 3.3</td>
<td>509 ± 288</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.44E-3</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ nmol/min/mg protein.
$^b$ µM.
$^c$ µM.
$^d$ nmol/min/mg protein/µM substrate.
$^e$ µl/min/mg protein. In case of UGT2B7 the catalytic efficiency is defined by the slope of the linear relation between the rate of formation as a function of the substrate concentration.
$^f$ µmol/h/g liver.
$^g$ ml/h/g liver.
$^i$ Could not be derived because of linear kinetics.
$^j$ No specific probe available.
$^k$ Average of three RAF values from literature (Al-Subeihi et al. 2015; Kato et al. 2012; Saabi et al. 2013).
N/A: not applicable.
PBK MODELLING, MONTE CARLO SIMULATIONS AND CSAF
Given that in the present study the phenol PBK model was defined with some updated kinetic parameters an updated sensitivity analyses was performed (See supplementary data A). This analysis confirmed that especially the kinetics for glucuronidation of phenol in the liver and the oral absorption coefficient $k_a$ were parameters that influenced the maximum predicted phenol plasma concentration ($C_{\text{max}}$).

Two methods were applied to evaluate the influence of interindividual variation in glucuronidation on phenol plasma concentrations in the female human population. At first, variation in phenol plasma levels was determined for a group of ten human females. With the kinetic constants obtained in the present study for the ten female subjects, PBK models were developed for each individual female. Figure 3 presents the predicted phenol plasma $C_{\text{max}}$ for each female at an oral dose of 25 mg/kg bw obtained with the PBK model. The geometric mean phenol plasma $C_{\text{max}}$ of the ten females is predicted to be 152 µM and the difference between the highest and the lowest $C_{\text{max}}$ of phenol is 3.2-fold. The geometric CV of the predicted $C_{\text{max}}$ values is 36%.

Secondly, a Monte Carlo simulation ($n=9956$) was performed at an oral dose of 25 mg/kg bw to evaluate the interindividual variation in phenol plasma concentrations that could occur in a larger female human population, taking interindividual variation in phenol glucuronidation by UGT1A6 and UGT1A9 into account. The distribution of parameters used in the Monte Carlo simulations is included in Table 3. Figure 3 presents a box and whisker plot visualising the
spread in the $C_{\text{max}}$ of phenol for this population. The geometric mean phenol plasma $C_{\text{max}}$ is 202 µM, which is 1.3-fold higher than the geometric mean for the ten individuals. The difference between the highest and the lowest phenol plasma $C_{\text{max}}$ predicted with the Monte Carlo simulations is 6.8-fold, larger than the difference in $C_{\text{max}}$ of 3.2-fold observed for the 10 individuals. The geometric CV of the predicted $C_{\text{max}}$ values is 31%, which is comparable to the geometric CV of the 10 individuals. Comparing the phenol plasma $C_{\text{max}}$ predicted for the ten individuals and the Monte Carlo simulations with variation in UGT, indicates that the small group of ten individuals reasonably represents the geometric mean phenol plasma kinetics of a large population, but that for defining the outer boundaries of the population distribution which are relevant to identify sensitive individuals, a Monte Carlo simulation appears more appropriate.

### Table 3 Distribution of parameters used in the Monte Carlo simulations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>CV (%)</th>
<th>Min-max simulation values</th>
<th>Fold-variation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$V_{\text{max, UGT1A6(app)}}$</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>0.76 - 43.8</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{\text{max, UGT1A9(app)}}$</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1.81 - 39.6</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ka$</td>
<td>7.62</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.0 - 17.6</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a nmol/min/mg protein.

*b /h, value obtained from the rat in situ intestinal perfusion study of Humphrey et al. (1980).

In a subsequent step, $C_{\text{max}}$ of phenol in the female population at an oral dose of 25 mg/kg bw was calculated with the PBK model linked with the Monte Carlo analysis (n=9907) that included not only variation in UGT1A6 and UGT1A9 activity but also variation in $ka$. A box and whisker plot visualising the spread of $C_{\text{max}}$ of this analysis is included in Figure 3, and Figure 4 presents the frequency distribution of the predicted $C_{\text{max}}$ of phenol. The geometric mean of the $C_{\text{max}}$ of phenol in the simulated population is 194 µM and the median $C_{\text{max}}$ in this population is 202 µM. The difference between the highest and the lowest $C_{\text{max}}$ value in the simulated population at the dose of 25 mg/kg bw is 15.8-fold. Comparing the Monte Carlo predictions with and without $ka$ as a variable parameter indicates that introducing variation in $ka$ in the Monte Carlo analysis increased the difference between the highest and lowest predicted $C_{\text{max}}$ value in the population distribution from 6.8-fold to 15.8-fold. This result also demonstrates that variation in $ka$ provides an important contribution to variation in the population distribution of the $C_{\text{max}}$ of phenol. Overall, the Monte Carlo simulation including variation in $V_{\text{max}}$ of the UGTs and $ka$ provides the best insight in interindividual differences in the kinetics of phenol.

The data now obtained for the distribution of the plasma $C_{\text{max}}$ of phenol in the population can be used to obtain a chemical specific adjustment factor (CSAF) for the interindividual
variation in the kinetics of phenol. Such a CSAF can be defined based on the ratio of the 90th or the 99th percentile of the population distribution divided by the mean value (IPCS 2005). For this analysis the results obtained with Monte Carlo simulations that included variation in UGT1A6, UGT1A9 and ka were used. The geometric mean, the 90th and the 99th percentiles of the plasma $C_{\text{max}}$ of phenol are 194, 303 and 391 µM, respectively. The CSAF thus obtained amounted to 1.6 when using the 90th percentile, while the CSAF amounted to 2.0 when the 99th percentile was used thus including even the most sensitive individuals. The CSAF of 2.0 could replace the default uncertainty factor of 3.16 that accounts for interindividual human kinetic differences (IPCS 2005).

**Figure 4** Frequency distribution for the maximum predicted plasma concentration ($C_{\text{max}}$) of phenol in 9907 individuals after Monte Carlo simulation including variation in $V_{\text{max}}$ of UGT1A6, UGT1A9 and ka with our PBK model for phenol at an oral dose of 25 mg/kg bw. The GM, P90 and P99 represent the geometric mean, the 90th and the 99th percentile of the distribution corresponding to 194, 303 and 391 µM phenol in plasma.

**DOSE-RESPONSE ANALYSIS**

In an additional step the PBK models were used to analyse the consequences of the detected interindividual variation in $C_{\text{max}}$ values for the predicted in vivo dose-response curves for the developmental toxicity of phenol in human. To this end, the in vitro effect concentrations that were previously obtained with the EST (Strikwold et al. 2012) were converted to in vivo effective doses applying PBK-based reverse dosimetry. First reverse dosimetry was applied using the PBK model for the average female human based on the average kinetic constants derived from the incubations with pooled female human liver microsomes in the present study. The resulting dose-response curve is presented in Figure 5. The BMDL$_{05}$ derived from this dose-response curve of the average female was 27 mg/kg bw and can serve as a PoD for the risk assessment. In a next step the interindividual variation in $C_{\text{max}}$ and ka values was taken into account by dividing the dose-response curve data with the CSAF obtained for the 99th percentile. The curve thus obtained (Figure 5) reflects the consequences of the
interindividual variability in phenol kinetics for the ultimate dose-response curve for human developmental toxicity presenting the curve for the most sensitive females in the population.

![Figure 5](image)

**Figure 5** Dose-response curve (95% lower confidence limit) predicted with the in vitro PBK approach, visualising the average (solid black line) and the susceptible (dashed black line) individuals of the human population. The curve for the susceptible individuals in the population is derived by applying the CSAF (99\textsuperscript{th} percentile of C\text{max}) to the dose-response curve of the average population.

**DISCUSSION**

The present study aimed to demonstrate an approach that combines in vitro toxicity data, PBK modelling and Monte Carlo simulations to assess the consequences of human interindividual variation in kinetics for phenol induced developmental toxicity. The sensitivity analysis of the PBK model of phenol for the average female population as predicted in the present study revealed that especially the oral absorption coefficient (ka) and glucuronidation of phenol in the liver were parameters that influenced the maximum predicted phenol plasma concentration (C\text{max}) and these parameters were therefore included in the evaluation of interindividual variation in the present study. At first, glucuronidation of phenol was determined with ten individual human female liver fractions and the kinetic constants obtained were used to define individual PBK models of phenol for each female which were used to predict phenol plasma levels. Secondly, to enable prediction of interindividual variation in a larger human population, Monte Carlo simulations were performed in connection with our PBK model for phenol, simulating interindividual human variation on the basis of the conversion of phenol by UGT enzymes and literature reported information on variation in expression of these enzymes. In a final step also variability in the oral absorption coefficient was included in the analyses.

UGT enzymes involved in glucuronidation of phenol have not been fully characterised before. Our studies with Supersomes\textsuperscript{TM} revealed that UGT1A1, UGT1A6, UGT1A7, UGT1A8,
UGT1A9, UGT1A10, UGT2B7 and UGT2B15 are intrinsically able to glucuronidate phenol. The present study revealed that UGT1A6 is the primary UGT responsible for the glucuronidation of phenol in the liver followed by UGT1A9. The scaled catalytic efficiency of the other enzymes capable to glucuronidate phenol was lower than that of UGT1A6 and UGT1A9, which implies that these UGT enzymes will not contribute to the in vivo glucuronidation of phenol to a significant extent. The importance of UGT1A6 and UGT1A9 in the glucuronidation of phenol is in line with the involvement of these UGT enzymes in the glucuronidation of a series of p-substituted phenols (Ethell et al. 2002).

In the present study variability in phenol glucuronidation was assessed based on in vitro kinetic data obtained with experiments using UGT isoforms and human variation in expression of these UGT derived from the literature from a human liver bank of 54 people (man and woman) (Court 2010). Court (2010) did not find any significant effect of gender, age (when age > 20 years) and smoking on the glucuronidation activity in the liver by UGT1A6 and UGT1A9. However, consuming alcohol was associated with an increased glucuronidation of the specific probes serotonin (UGT1A6) and propofol (UGT1A9). Additionally, drug intake, diet or environmental factors (Guillemette 2003) may also influence the activity of UGT enzymes as well as genetic polymorphisms. As no gender differences were observed for UGT1A6 and UGT1A9 (Court 2010) the reported CVs were considered adequate and used to simulate developmental toxicity in the female population in the Monte Carlo modelling.

Within the present study the focus was on including variability in the most sensitive parameters that influence the model outcome. For this reason, the kinetic constants for glucuronidation of phenol and the oral uptake rate constant were varied in the Monte Carlo simulations. Little information exists on variation in uptake of compounds from the intestine, whereas from our Monte Carlo analysis with and without variation in ka it can be concluded that variation in ka provides an important contribution to variation in the population distribution of $C_{\text{max}}$ of phenol. It is expected that oral absorption of the phenols is driven by passive diffusion. Factors like gastric emptying time, gastrointestinal motility, bile secretion and pH may in general influence passive diffusion (Martinez and Amidon 2002), but it is unknown if these factors give rise to the CV of 30% that was applied in the present study representing a moderate level of variation (Covington et al. 2007), or whether the CV of 30% should be considered a worst case approach to model interindividual variability in ka. Further research towards interindividual variation in the oral uptake rate may be undertaken to define a CV representing variation in the human population for this parameter.

For phenol, the population distribution of the phenol plasma concentration generated by the Monte Carlo simulation including variation in UGT1A6, UGT1A9 and ka provided information to predict a CSAF, which was calculated by the ratio of $C_{\text{max}}$ of phenol at the 99th percentile and the geometric mean, resulting in a CSAF of 2.0. Comparing the CSAF of 2.0 derived in the present study to the default uncertainty factor of $10^{0.5} (=3.16)$ for interindividual
human kinetic differences shows that for phenol the default safety factor is adequately protective. The CSAF could replace the default uncertainty factor for interindividual kinetic differences of 3.16 when one would derive a human health-based guidance value for phenol.

Reverse dosimetry was applied with the PBK model for the average individual providing an in vivo dose-response curve from which a BMDL_{05} of 27 mg/kg bw for the average female in the population was derived. When this BMDL_{05} is used as a PoD for deriving a health-based guidance value, in addition to the CSAF of 2.0 for interindividual human kinetic differences, also other uncertainty factors may be needed. This includes first of all an uncertainty factor of 10^{0.5} (=3.16) accounting for human variability in toxicodynamics. Furthermore, one could argue that because the extrapolation was based on in vitro toxicity data obtained with cells of animal origin (mouse ES-D3 cells) an additional uncertainty factor of 10^{0.4} (=2.5) should be applied for interspecies differences in toxicodynamics. The default uncertainty factor of 10^{0.6} (=4.0) accounting for interspecies kinetic differences (IPCS 2005) can be omitted because the PBK model of phenol describes the kinetics directly for humans. The total uncertainty factor thus obtained amounts 15.9 and applying this factor to the PoD of 27 mg/kg bw that was derived from the in vivo dose-response curve of phenol for the average female population predicted with in vitro PBK-based reverse dosimetry would provide a guidance value of 1.7 mg/kg bw/d. This values is 3.4-fold higher than the TDI of 0.5 mg/kg bw/d derived from a BMDL_{10} of 52 mg/kg bw for maternal toxicity obtained from a developmental toxicity study (Argus 1997) using an overall uncertainty factor of 100 (EFSA 2013). This difference is mainly due to the use of a CSAF of 2.0 instead of the default value of 3.16 and not applying the uncertainty factor of 4.0 accounting for interspecies kinetic differences because the PBK model for phenol directly applies for humans. Thus, the difference is not caused by the fact that the PoD was derived from an in vitro-PBK based alternative approach, instead of taken from an in vivo developmental toxicity study.

It can be argued whether an additional uncertainty factor should be applied to account for uncertainties regarding the use of the in vitro PBK approach, for example regarding differences in sensitivity between an in vitro toxicity assay and an in vivo system toward a test compound and uncertainties that may result from PBK models that are just defined by in vitro and silico predicted input parameters. So far, PoDs for developmental toxicity predicted with the in vitro PBK approach are within a 10-fold difference compared to in vivo reported developmental toxicity PoDs (Louisse et al. 2010; Louisse et al. 2014; Strikwold et al. 2013; Strikwold et al. 2016). Based on these data it might be advocated to replace the default uncertainty factor of 2.5 for interspecies toxicodynamic differences by a factor of 10 when a PoD is used that is derived with the in vitro PBK approach (when cells from animal origin are used). Applying this uncertainty factor of 10 together with the CSAF of 2.0 for interindividual differences in human kinetics and the uncertainty factor of 3.16 that accounts for interindividual human dynamic differences, provides a total uncertainty factor of 63.2,
resulting in a guidance value of 0.43 mg/kg bw/d for phenol which closely resembles the current TDI of 0.5 mg/kg bw/d.

Finally, it is of importance to stress that during pregnancy, biochemical and physiological changes occur which may possibly affect phenol plasma levels. In humans, glucuronidation is controlled by a wide array of nuclear receptors of which some are influenced by sex specific hormones (i.e. estradiol, progesterone) (Jeong 2010), which change during the course of pregnancy and may possibly lead to an increased glucuronidation. The present study did not explicitly take into account variation in UGT activity during pregnancy, because refining model predictions is not straightforward when the glucuronidation activity changes during the course of pregnancy. However, since results indicate that glucuronidation in humans may increase during pregnancy (Miners et al. 1986), phenol plasma levels may be lower than predicted in the current study where the kinetic parameters for the PBK model were determined for the non-pregnant female population for which data and microsomal samples were available. Given that increased glucuronidation will result in lower and thus less toxic plasma levels of phenol it can be concluded that the results obtained are adequately protective.

In conclusion, this study exemplifies a modelling approach to integrate in vitro toxicity data, PBK modelling and Monte Carlo simulations to predict safe human guidance values taking into account interindividual human kinetic variation. The strength of this approach is that it provides a risk assessment that is directly relevant to the human situation, based on only in vitro and in silico data.

**SUPPLEMENTARY DATA A**

![Normalised sensitivity coefficient for parameters of the PBK model of phenol in human based on C\textsubscript{max} values obtained after a single oral dose of 1.5 mg/kg bw (black bars) and 150 mg/kg bw (grey bars). Normalised sensitivity coefficients ≥ 0.2 are presented. VL=fraction liver tissue, ka=oral absorption coefficient, MPL=liver microsomal protein yield, CPL=liver cytosolic protein yield, V\textsubscript{max,PS}=unscaled maximum rate of sulfation of phenol in liver, V\textsubscript{max,PG}= unscaled maximum rate of glucuronidation of phenol in liver, K\textsubscript{m,PG}=Michaelis-Menten constant for glucuronidation of phenol in liver.](image-url)
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CHAPTER 6

General discussion and future perspectives
OVERVIEW

Alternatives to animal testing are increasingly important for toxicological safety assessments of chemicals. Especially a number of recent regulatory shifts within the European Union (EU) have made the development of non-animal based approaches in chemical risk assessment more important (EC 2007; EC 2009), as well as economical limitations, ethical objections and concerns about the predictivity of animal based safety tests. During the past decades, many in vitro assays have been developed to test the toxicity of compounds, but until now they are mainly used for screening and prioritising chemicals with limited applications in quantitative risk assessment. An important reason for the limited use of in vitro data in current risk assessment practice is that in vitro toxicity outcomes are not directly applicable to the in vivo situation. More specifically, in vitro derived concentration-response curves cannot provide an in vivo dose-response curve from which a point-of-departure (PoD) for the risk assessment can be derived. Converting in vitro effective toxicity concentrations into in vivo effective dose levels by applying physiologically based kinetic (PBK) modelling was the major aim of the present thesis. In the present thesis we demonstrated the potential of a combined in vitro PBK-based modelling approach to translate in vitro toxicity data to in vivo toxicity values for rat and human predicting in vivo dose-response curves that allow definition of a PoD for risk assessment. We used in silico and in vitro defined PBK model parameters, and assessed interindividual variability by combining the in vitro PBK approach with Monte-Carlo simulations.

The present thesis focussed on predicting developmental toxicity using the murine embryonic stem cell differentiation test (EST) as a representative in vitro assay for this endpoint. Phenol and a series of p-substituted phenols were selected as the model compounds, representing a series of compounds that have not been evaluated before in the EST. The selected phenols have different chemical properties regarding lipophilicity and reactivity.

In chapter 2 we first evaluated the applicability of the EST to test the potential embryotoxicity for the selected series of phenols. To this purpose, toxicity data obtained with the EST for the phenols were compared to potency data reported for these compounds in the literature in the whole embryo culture (WEC) assay, being another in vitro assay for developmental toxicity. Next, the in vitro potency data generated with the EST were also compared to literature data reporting results from in vivo developmental toxicity tests. We found that the potency ranking of the EST was identical to the potency ranking of the WEC assay. However, the compound p-heptyloxyphenol was relatively more potent in the EST compared to the WEC assay, which may possibly result from the different endpoints that were used to define embryotoxicity and differences in the kinetic behaviour of the test compound in both assays. Furthermore, we found that the EST did not provide a similar potency ranking for the phenols when compared to in vivo developmental toxicity data obtained from literature.
The reason for the observed disparities may be diverse, and insight into the causes of these disparities is necessary to ultimately enhance the applicability of the EST in practice (Spielmann et al. 2006). For the present set of phenols, we hypothesised that combing in vitro derived toxicity values with kinetic data describing the absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion (ADME) of the phenols in vivo may (partly) explain the disparities observed between the in vitro and the in vivo relative embryotoxic potencies. Such an integration of in vitro toxicity data with kinetic modelling may improve predictions of in vitro derived embryotoxic potency data of the test compounds, and theoretically may also be applied to quantitatively predict in vivo effective dose levels as well as PoDs enhancing the applicability of in vitro assays for quantitative risk assessment purposes.

In chapter 3 of the present thesis we demonstrated that combining in vitro embryotoxicity data derived from the EST with PBK modelling based reverse dosimetry, could indeed adequately translate in vitro effect concentrations to in vivo effective dose levels from which a PoD could be derived, with phenol as the model compound of interest. We developed PBK models for phenol in rat and human based on data obtained from in silico and in vitro experiments and data from the literature. Important parameters describing the ADME of phenol in vivo were the oral absorption coefficient and the metabolism of phenol. Glucuronidation and sulfation of phenol in different organs were included in the PBK models for rat and human based on in vitro data obtained from incubations with rat and human subcellular organ fractions. In vitro effect-concentrations obtained with the EST were translated to in vivo effective dose levels applying PBK-based reverse dosimetry, from which an in vivo dose-response curve and a PoD was derived. The predicted PoD for phenol fell within the variation of PoDs obtained from studies reported for developmental toxicity of phenol in the rat, indicating the applicability of this approach for quantitative hazard and risk assessment purposes.

After providing a proof-of-principle for the extrapolation of in vitro toxicity data to the in vivo situation using PBK-based reverse dosimetry for the compound phenol, it was investigated in chapter 4 of this thesis whether the in vitro PBK-based reverse dosimetry approach would also be successful for predicting in vivo potential developmental toxicity of the p-substituted phenolic congeners tested in the EST. Furthermore it was investigated whether the approach could overcome the difference observed between the in vitro and in vivo derived relative embryotoxic potencies for the phenols, particularly for p-heptyloxyphenol. The PBK model for phenol in the rat was used as a starting point to construct PBK models for the phenols that were included in this study. Adjustments that were made consisted of modelling glucuronidation in the liver as the sole route of metabolism as this was found to be the principle metabolic pathway and addition of a fat compartment because of the relatively high lipophilicity of p-heptyloxyphenol. Moreover, we also included in vitro transport experiments to predict the relative oral absorption of the phenols, and added a placental/foetal
compartment to quantify transfer of the compounds from mother to foetus and vice versa. With the in vitro PBK-based reverse dosimetry approach we could overcome the disparities that were observed between the in vitro and the in vivo relative potencies of the phenols, also for the compound p-heptyloxyphenol for which relative toxicity compared to phenol was more than three orders of magnitude higher in the EST while differed less than one order of magnitude in vivo (chapter 2). The most important parameter underlying the improved prediction for p-heptyloxyphenol, when combining the results of the EST with PBK modelling based reverse dosimetry, was the relatively rapid metabolism of this compound by glucuronidation. Moreover, the relatively low placental transport of heptyloxyphenol and the relatively high tissue:plasma partition coefficient of p-heptyloxyphenol compared to those for the other phenols were factors that contributed to an improved prediction.

In chapter 3 we have applied the in vitro PBK approach to predict developmental toxicity of phenol for the average human. In this chapter we did not take into account the variation in the population that might occur in sensitivity to developmental toxicity of phenol, as a result of interindividual human variation in kinetics, while such information may be valuable for risk assessors to derive human guidance values. In chapter 5 of this thesis we demonstrated an approach that combines in vitro toxicity data, physiologically based kinetic (PBK) modelling and Monte Carlo simulations to assess the effects of human interindividual variation in kinetics for phenol induced developmental toxicity. We used two approaches to obtain insight in interindividual differences in phenol glucuronidation. One approach involved in vitro quantification of the kinetic constants for glucuronidation of phenol using subcellular liver samples from ten individual females. The kinetic constants obtained for each female were included in our previously developed PBK model for phenol (Strikwold et al. 2013). The predicted difference between the maximum phenol plasma concentrations ($C_{\text{max}}$) of the ten individual females was limited (3.2-fold). The other approach involved quantification of glucuronidation of phenol by UGT enzymes. The UGT specific kinetic constants obtained were included in the PBK model of phenol (Strikwold et al. 2013) together with information about the variation in activity of these UGTs in the population. Subsequently, Monte Carlo simulations were performed generating a population distribution of the $C_{\text{max}}$ of phenol, which showed a 6.8-fold difference between the highest and the lowest predicted $C_{\text{max}}$ value, which is higher than the difference in $C_{\text{max}}$ observed for the ten females. In a next step interindividual variation for the oral absorption coefficient, which according to the sensitivity analysis (Strikwold et al. 2013) also highly influenced the model outcome, was included in the Monte Carlo simulations as well providing a 15.8-fold difference between the highest and the lowest predicted $C_{\text{max}}$ value. Based on the results obtained from the latter Monte Carlo simulation a chemical specific adjustment factor (CSAF) of 2.0 was derived, that covers interindividual human kinetic variation. Based on this outcome, it was concluded that the default safety factor of 3.16 applied for interindividual human kinetic differences in the safety assessment of
chemicals seems adequate protective. Then, PBK-based reverse dosimetry was applied in which the vitro effect concentrations obtained from the EST were set equal to in vivo plasma concentrations using $C_{\text{max}}$ as an appropriate dose metric, generating effective dose levels. Benchmark dose modelling provided a dose-response curve and a PoD (BMDL$_{05}$) for the risk assessment. Dividing the dose-response curve data obtained with in vitro PBK-based reverse dosimetry, with the CSAF obtained for the $99^{\text{th}}$ percentile of the population provided a dose-response curve that reflects the consequences of the interindividual variability in phenol kinetics for the developmental toxicity of phenol presenting the dose-response curve for the most sensitive individuals. Altogether, we demonstrated an approach that provided a toxicity estimate that is directly relevant to the human situation including interindividual variation, which can be applied for the risk assessment of chemicals, without the need for animal testing.

Overall, this thesis demonstrated the potential of the combined in vitro PBK approach to translate in vitro toxicity data to in vivo toxicity values for rat and human and to predict in vivo dose-response curves and define a PoD for risk assessment. The next sections discuss the most critical steps in the presented approach in some more detail, together with some important future perspectives. Topics discussed include especially:

- The use of the EST for predicting developmental toxicity in vitro
- PBK modelling considerations
- The use of different kinetic reverse dosimetry approaches to perform in vitro to in vivo extrapolations (IVIVE)
- The possibility to take interindividual variations into account and
- Future perspectives

### THE USE OF THE EST FOR PREDICTING DEVELOPMENTAL TOXICITY IN VITRO

A first topic to discuss in some more detail is the use of the EST for predicting developmental toxicity, both in comparison to the WEC assay, being another in vitro assay for developmental toxicity as well as in comparison to in vivo developmental toxicity.

### COMPARISON EST AND WEC ASSAY

For the series of phenols included in the present thesis, the toxic potency data obtained with the EST were close to the toxic potency data reported in the WEC assay, except for
p-heptyloxyphenol which was much more potent in the EST compared to the WEC assay. Reasons for the difference in the observed toxicity between the assays for p-heptyloxyphenol may be multiple, of which some may be related to the lipophilic nature of p-heptyloxyphenol ($\log D_{7.0} = 4.4$ (ACD/Labs 2015)). Inconsistent in vitro results between the EST and the WEC assay have also been observed for the lipophilic compound retinoic acid ($\log D_{7.0} = 4.0$ (ACD/Labs 2015)), for which the EC$_{50}$ in the EST was 80-fold lower than the EC$_{50}$ in the WEC assay (Louisse et al. 2011). Moreover, the EST appeared also to be up to 11-fold more sensitive than the WEC assay towards a series of six triazoles (de Jong et al. 2011) which have moderately high $\log D_{7.0}$ values varying between 2.7 and 3.9 (ACD/Labs 2015).

As discussed in chapter 2, the lower albumin fraction in the EST compared to the WEC assay may result in a higher free fraction of p-heptyloxyphenol in the EST, which may enhance toxicity. In addition, it was reported that phenols easily reach the conceptus and especially p-heptyloxyphenol was found to accumulate in the WEC assay (Fisher et al. 1993), indicating that the yolk sac hardly forms any barrier for compounds to move from the medium to the conceptus in the WEC assay and that the affinity for the conceptus is high, especially for the lipophilic compound p-heptyloxyphenol (Fisher et al. 1993). Such an accumulation of the compound may also occur in the embryonic stem cells and/or embryonic bodies of the EST and enhance the toxicity of p-heptyloxyphenol. In addition, it may be hypothesised that the embryonic stem cells and the embryonic bodies of the EST have a larger surface area-to-volume ratio than the rat conceptus in the WEC assay, enhancing uptake of lipophilic compounds from the culture medium into the cells. As a result the cells of the EST may be more exposed to lipophilic compounds that have a large affinity for the cellular content/membrane and hence may be more vulnerable for toxicity than the conceptus in the WEC assay. Moreover, it has been shown that cell density may impact the free concentration; more cells would result in a lower amount of chemical per cell, diminishing toxicity (Gülden et al. 2010). Additionally it has been proposed that expressing toxicity as moles per cell would facilitate the comparison between assays carried under different experimental conditions (Doskey et al. 2015). However, providing such a dose metric is difficult for the EST, because of significant changes in cell number during the course of the assay.

Another difference between the assays that may result in a more sensitive outcome of the EST compared to the WEC assay, is the different exposure window. In the EST applied in the present study (De Smedt et al. 2008), chemical exposure of the embryonic cells started at single cell suspension and continued ten days in which the cells developed into an embryoid body, subsequently forming contracting cardiomyocytes after plating on non-adherent petri-dishes. In the rat WEC assay, the conceptus (including decidual tissue and an intact yolk sac) (ECVAM 2010) is placed in culture medium containing the test compound at gestational dag (GD) 9.5 (ECVAM 2010) or GD10 (Oglesby et al. 1992), and generally cultured for 48-h. Thus, the EST and the WEC assay may encompass different events in the developing embryo, with
possibly different sensitivity windows for exposure. Another important difference between the assays is the in vitro endpoint tested, which in the EST is differentiation of stem cells into beating cardiomyocytes, while the test outcomes of the WEC assay also include morphological endpoints, which on first sight may better reflect adverse outcomes of developmental toxicity in vivo. In spite of this, the EST appeared to work well also for compounds known to induce malformations in vivo (de Jong et al. 2009).

Overall, for most of the phenolic test compounds included in this thesis comparison of the EST with the WEC assay did not reveal large differences in the toxicity outcome between the assays, except that the EST was more sensitive towards the lipophilic compound p-heptyloxyphenol. Considering that the EST is a sensitive test, it may be regarded a suitable assay to study embryotoxicity. Nonetheless, it is recommended to further explore how in vitro kinetic processes i.e. related to lipophilicity should be accounted for when applying the EST in the hazard or quantitative risk assessment of chemicals.

**PREDICTIVE CAPACITY OF THE EST FOR IN VIVO DEVELOPMENTAL TOXICITY FOR THE PHENOLS**

Another issue to discuss when considering the use of the EST for predicting developmental toxicity in vitro is the predictivity of the EST for the model compounds used for the present studies. The phenols studied in the present thesis, may be regarded as weak embryo toxicants in vivo as developmental effects were reported to occur at relatively high oral dose levels (developmental NOAEL or BMD\textsubscript{0.1} > 40 mg/kg bw) (chapter 2). Our studies showed a limited ability of the EST to correctly predict the relative embryotoxic potency of the phenols, especially regarding p-heptyloxyphenol. Two validation studies using a diverse set of compounds, tested the performance of the EST and provided variable results regarding the ability of the assay to correctly predict in vivo developmental toxicity (Genschow et al. 2004; Marx-Stoelting et al. 2009).

One very important aspect that may limit the predictive capacity of the EST is the lack of metabolic capacity of the assay. This was clearly demonstrated in the present thesis, in which metabolism was an important parameter describing the in vivo kinetics of the phenols in the PBK models and which revealed that, when translating vitro toxicity data to in vivo developmental toxicity values applying PBK-based reverse dosimetry, the predictive performance of the EST for the phenols improved. Apart from metabolism, other reasons that might explain the poor predictivity of the EST may be related to the absence of a well defined applicability domain for the EST which is further discussed below.

**APPLICABILITY DOMAIN OF THE EST**

When using the EST for predicting in vivo developmental toxicity it is also important to consider its applicability domain. The applicability domain of a bioassay may involve the chemical
structure, and/or biological space for which it is applicable to make predictions for new compounds (Hartung et al. 2004; Judson et al. 2013). Thus, the applicability domain of an assay may include a statement about the toxicity endpoint that is being predicted and physico-chemical properties or structural fragments of compounds for which the test is applicable. Carefully defining an applicability domain may be difficult for in vitro toxicity assays, because the number of compounds tested is often relatively small (Judson et al. 2013). This especially holds for the classical EST, which is not a high-throughput assay. At present, the applicability domain of the EST is not well defined. Considerations about the developmental toxicity endpoints that might be detected by the EST are discussed in some more detail below.

DEVELOPMENTAL TOXICITY ENDPOINTS IN RELATION TO THE EST

In the classical EST, differentiation of the embryonic stem cells into beating cardiomyocytes is evaluated after 10 days of chemical exposure. This is a simplified endpoint of embryogenesis/developmental toxicity. It has been shown that embryoid bodies, which are formed during the assay, can generate cells from the three different germ layers (ectoderm, endoderm and mesoderm) (Keller 1995), suggesting similarities with in vivo cellular processes for cardiac differentiation (van der Laan et al. 2012). Additionally, the EST resembles the functional interaction between different cells types like sinus node, ventricular and atrial cells (Tandon and Jyoti 2012). The EST may cover early events in development and differentiation, but lack the ability to test for morphological effects (Spielmann et al. 2006; van der Laan et al. 2012). Moreover, the EST is not able to mimic all complex pathways of developmental toxicity, which may limit its applicability. For example, it has been stated that the murine EST roughly covers a time window of the first GD5-10 in the rat (Ozolinš. 2010). Adverse effects of chemicals on embryonic developments that occur after this period, such as neural differentiation, may possibly remain undetected in the classical EST as has been observed for the compound methylmercury (He et al. 2012; Stummann et al. 2008).

From the example of methylmercury, and as has been outlined by others (Dreisig et al. 2013; Piersma et al. 2013), it may become clear that the EST cannot function as a stand-alone assay to test developmental toxicity. Enlarging the applicability domain to predict toxicity that reflect a wide array of developmental toxic effects can be achieved by testing additional in vitro endpoints (using embryonic stem cells), for example on neurodevelopmental toxicity (Theunissen et al. 2013), developmental bone toxicity measured by osteoblast formation and calcification of osteoblasts (Kuske et al. 2012) and endocrine disruptive endpoints (Jomaa et al. 2014). Toxicity could be identified using a combination of different read-outs like transcriptomic and proteomic profiles and flow cytometric, functional, physiological and morphological biomarkers (Garcia-Käufer et al. 2014; Louisse et al. 2012).
In addition, (in vitro) assays testing placental transport of compounds (i.e. with BeWo cells in a so-called transwell system) and effects of compounds on the functioning of the placenta should be considered, because an altered placenta function may affect the wellbeing of the foetus (Myllynen et al. 2005). Current in vitro assays however, do not cover the variety of endpoints of the placenta, requiring the development of biomarkers for placental toxicity (Vähäkangas et al. 2014).

Altogether, different in vitro assays each covering a different sensitive aspect of foetal and embryonic development, could be applied in combination with the EST in a tiered approach to optimise the strategy for in vitro developmental toxicity testing (Kroese et al. 2015; Piersma et al. 2013).

**PBK MODELLING CONSIDERATIONS**

In chapter 3, 4 and 5 we translated in vitro outcomes of the phenols obtained in the EST to in vivo toxicity values applying PBK-based reverse dosimetry. PBK modelling forms an essential link in this extrapolation step, describing the ADME of a compound. Important steps that determine the quality of the PBK model are the definition of the PBK model structure and the selection of input parameters of the PBK model. These topics affecting the quality of the predictions made will be discussed in some more detail.

**SELECTION AND PREDICTION OF RELEVANT INPUT PARAMETERS OF THE PBK MODELS FOR THE PHENOLS**

To comply with the replacement, reduction and refinement (3Rs) principle in the most optimal way in the present thesis, all the parameters required for the PBK models, except the oral absorption coefficient in the PBK model of phenol (chapter 3), were predicted with in vitro and in silico approaches. Selecting such an in vitro approach to predict the kinetic properties of interest may not be straightforward. For instance, in the present thesis, transport across the small intestine to ultimately predict the oral absorption of the phenols (chapter 4) was quantified with transport studies using Caco-2 cells, but other approaches like the parallel artificial membrane permeability assay (PAMPA)(Kansy et al. 2004), or the use of MDCK cells (Volpe 2011; Wang et al. 2014) could also have been considered. For the phenols, for which passive diffusion is likely to be the most dominant transport route, selecting a different approach would probably provide comparable results. However, when transfer is facilitated by transporters, a more specific selection of the cellular system to be used may be required. Clearly, the applicability and the performance may differ per assay and depends on the physico-chemical properties of the test compound, and the species to be modelled. However,
for many in vitro tests the applicability domain is not (well) defined yet and efforts need to be undertaken to achieve this. Defining the applicability domain for in vitro ADME assays, in analogy to Quantitative Structure-Activity Relationships (QSARs) (Fjodorova et al. 2008), may therefore be valuable.

Evaluating the PBK models with a sensitivity analysis revealed that, apart from the oral absorption coefficient, glucuronidation of phenol in the liver is an influential parameter on the model outcome, both for phenol as well as for the p-substituted phenols. The capacity of the liver to glucuronidate the phenols was derived from in vitro assays with subcellular fractions from rat and human livers. The metabolic constants obtained from these experiments were extrapolated to in vivo relevant values and included in the PBK model. The resulting PBK model predictions provided adequate phenol plasma levels, which were quantitatively evaluated by comparing the values of the compound phenol to in vivo plasma levels reported for this compound in the literature. From these results, it is believed that the approach applied in the present thesis to predict metabolism of phenols in vivo was appropriate, not only for phenol, but also for the p-substituted phenols.

Metabolic formation of hydroquinone was not selected as a parameter to be included in the PBK models of the phenols. In literature, it has been hypothesised that some of the adverse effects of phenol might be due to its hydroxylated metabolite hydroquinone (Bruce et al. 2001). In vivo, only at higher oral doses of phenol (150 mg/kg bw) hydroquinone was present in elevated levels in urine being excreted as the corresponding glucuronide conjugate (Hiser et al. 1994). A developmental NOAEL of 100 mg/kg bw was established for hydroquinone in rats (Health Council of the Netherlands 2012; Krasavage et al. 1992) that received hydroquinone orally during GD6-16. Developmental NOAELs of phenol, derived by studies that used comparable species and dosage regimens as used for deriving the NOAEL of hydroquinone, were 60 and 120 mg/kg bw (Argus 1997; Jones-Price et al. 1983). Given that the in vivo embryotoxic effects were only observed at relatively high oral dose levels of hydroquinone comparable or higher than embryotoxicity values reported for phenol, it seems unlikely that the adverse effects of phenol detected in vivo can be ascribed to the (limited) formation of hydroquinone in the metabolic pathway of phenol.

It is also of interest to note that when phenol and hydroquinone were added together in the WEC assay, a synergistic toxic effect was observed (Chapman et al. 1994). The authors hypothesised that this effect might be due to the fact that phenol enhances the reaction of hydroquinone to benzoquinone providing free radical intermediates (Chapman et al. 1994). Chapman et al. (1994), however, did not report addition of antioxidants to the WEC assay, while hydroquinone is unstable due to auto-oxidation. Considering that the antioxidant GSH may prevent auto-oxidation of hydroquinone (Moridani et al. 2002) and is present in rat and human blood and tissues up to millimolar concentrations (Jain and Flora 2012; Pastore et al. 2003), we do not expect that synergism has an (important) role on phenol induced
developmental toxicity in vivo. Moreover, the metabolic profile reported for an in vivo kinetic study in rat with low to high oral doses of phenol (1.5, 15 and 150 mg/kg bw) did not report formation of benzoquinone (metabolites) (Hiser et al. 1994). Taken together, it is assumed that the parent compound phenol itself is responsible for the developmental toxicity observed and that its plasma and/or tissue concentrations can be expected to provide an adequate descriptor for prediction of the toxic effects that are observed in vivo.

As illustrated for phenol, defining metabolism, both qualitatively and quantitatively is an essential step when developing a PBK model. For phenol, the major metabolic pathways are well known and reported in literature, but, when extending the approach to other compounds, it is good to realise that for many compounds such kinetic information is lacking. A first step is then elucidating the reactions involved in metabolism of the compound and the products that are formed. In silico tools, like the expert systems Meteor (Lhasa Ltd., Leeds, UK), StarDrop (Optibrium Ltd., Cambridge, UK), and MetaSite (Molecular Discovery Ltd., Middlesex, UK) (T’Jollyn et al. 2011) may be helpful to identify molecular sites liable to metabolism and the type of metabolites expected to be formed. Subsequently, in vitro metabolic assays, followed by chemical metabolic profiling can be applied to confirm and/or refine these predictions and define the pathways quantitatively in vitro as well as to define the related kinetic constants required for the PBK models. It should be recognised that this may be labour intensive, but as was clear from the proof-of-principle provided in the present thesis, it is an indispensable aspect to define and parameterise the PBK models required to translate in vitro toxicity values to in vivo dose levels.

**PBK MODELLING OF A PLACENTAL/FOETAL COMPARTMENT**

In the PBK models described in chapter 4, we included a newly developed placental/foetal compartment that models placental transfer based on in vitro transport experiments with BeWo cells and partitioning of the compound in the embryo/foetus using partition coefficients. This approach comprises an important but currently lacking aspect in the extrapolation of in vitro derived embryotoxicity values to the in vivo situation. The measured transfer of the phenols across the BeWo cells was rapid, except for p-heptyloxyphenol for which transport in vitro was less rapid compared to the other phenols possibly due to binding to albumin in the apical compartment of the transport assay as discussed in chapter 4. The transport of p-heptyloxyphenol may be somewhat larger in vivo, because of prevailing dynamic fluid conditions in vivo, opposed to the static situations in the BeWo assay (Li et al. 2013), although such a relation should be further explored. The predicted foetal plasma concentrations for all phenols were close to maternal levels, except for p-heptyloxyphenol, for which the foetal concentrations were predicted to be lower than maternal plasma levels. This result seems to be in line with data reported in the literature for phenol and p-nitrophenol for which foetal and maternal plasma levels were reported to be similar indeed (Abu-Qare et al. 2000; Gray and Kavlock 1990).
An additional feature of the placental/foetal compartment in our PBK model is that it may aid in elucidating whether the embryotoxic effect is a result of a direct action of the compound or maternally mediated, although this was not the scope of the present thesis. For some of the phenols, embryotoxicity in vivo occurred at a similar or somewhat higher dose level than maternal weight changes, which may raise the question whether embryotoxic effects observed in vivo are due to indirect maternal effects or are induced by a more direct action of the compound. Disentangling whether embryotoxic effects observed in vivo are due to a direct action of the compound or mediated by maternal toxicity is difficult (Daston et al. 2010), but it may be important for the risk assessment (Spielmann et al. 2006). This aspect can be especially of importance when defining the most sensitive endpoint for risk assessment or for classification and labelling of a compound. With respect to phenol, for which both foetal and maternal toxicity has been reported, it is of interest to note that our experiments with the EST indicate that the phenols have the potency to cause embryotoxic effects. The transport studies with BeWo cells showed that the phenols may readily pass placental cells facilitating foetal exposure. Including a placental/foetal compartment in the PBK model provided foetal systemic concentrations that were close to maternal concentrations (except for p-heptyloxyphenol). Thus, it may be hypothesised that embryotoxicity observed in vivo at maternally toxic doses could still be mediated by a direct action of the compound since we predicted that the embryo/foetus itself is exposed to the compound, even at concentrations similar to those in maternal plasma in the case of phenol, p-fluorophenol and p-methylketophenol. For p-heptyloxyphenol in vivo dose levels causing maternal toxicity were lower than the dose levels causing embryotoxic effects (Kavlock 1990), which is in line with the difference in maternal and foetal circulating concentrations predicted with our in vitro PBK approach. Altogether, including a placental/foetal compartment in PBK modelling may provide valuable information to refine the toxicological assessment of developmental toxicants.

Taken together, the definition of the PBK model structure and the selection of input parameters of the PBK model are aspects that can greatly affect the quality of the PBK model and the PBK-based reverse dosimetry prediction, especially regarding input parameters that are influential on the model outcome. Developing and applying in silico and in vitro tools to predict in vivo kinetic processes that have a well defined applicability domain is essential. This holds especially true for approaches in predictive toxicology, where in vivo kinetic data are lacking for most compounds to be tested.
THE USE OF DIFFERENT KINETIC REVERSE DOSIMETRY APPROACHES TO PERFORM IN VITRO TO IN VIVO EXTRAPOLATIONS (IVIVE)

Another aspect that determines the quality and applicability of the in vivo toxicity predictions using in vitro toxicity data is the choice for the approach that is applied to perform kinetic reverse dosimetry. To illustrate this, the in vitro PBK approach is briefly compared other in vitro in vivo extrapolation approaches, and the different characteristics of the approaches are discussed. In addition, the selection of the dose metric used in kinetic reverse dosimetry is also addressed.

COMPARISON OF KINETIC REVERSE DOSIMETRY APPROACHES

The present thesis used PBK models to translate in vitro toxicity data to in vivo toxicity values. Recently, other approaches have been reported which also apply reverse dosimetry modelling to link the in vitro effect concentration to an in vivo oral effective dose level (Rotroff et al. 2010; Wetmore et al. 2012; Wetmore et al. 2013; Wetmore et al. 2015). These authors described a high throughput-in vitro in vivo extrapolation (HT-IVIVE) approach for risk assessment, in which at least two in vitro kinetic parameters were measured, namely protein binding and hepatic metabolic clearance. These data were included in the simple kinetic equation of Wilkinson and Shand (1975) to calculate the steady state concentration of a parent compound \( C_{ss} (\mu M) \) in plasma at a low dose exposure level of 1 mg/kg bw/d. Subsequently, this relation is used to calculate an oral equivalent dose of EC\(_{50}\) values obtained from in vitro kinetic (toxicity) studies.

In general, the in vitro PBK approach applied in the present thesis describes the ADME processes in more detail than the HT-IVIVE. Important differences between the models are related to the description of the kinetics of the metabolic processes, the selection of (additional) compartments (i.e. a foetal compartment), the description of the distribution of a compound within an organism and the selection of the dose metric. An overview of the main differences between the in vitro PBK approach applied in the present thesis and the HT-IVIVE approach (Rotroff et al. 2010; Wetmore et al. 2012; Wetmore et al. 2013) is presented in Table 1.

In general, the HT-IVIVE approach may provide a more conservative toxicity estimate (Thomas et al. 2013). It should be realised, however, that this may not always be the case and depends on the kinetic processes to be modelled in connection with model characteristics and the model input parameters. For example, in the in vitro PBK approach we included Michaelis-Menten kinetics (or substrate inhibition when applicable) to describe the metabolism of the phenols, while the HT-IVIVE approach assumes linear metabolic kinetic
processes and uses a metabolic clearance that was derived with the substrate depletion method at a low concentration of either 1 or 10 µM. When a similar clearance value is applied for situations where higher plasma concentrations prevail than the 1 or 10 µM, the HT-IVIVE approach will underestimate the metabolic turnover, resulting in a higher internal (plasma) concentration of the parent compound, but lower concentrations of a metabolite than actually is the case. This provides a conservative toxicity estimate when the parent compound is responsible for toxicity but would lead to an underestimation of the risk when the metabolite is the toxic entity. With this respect it is important to note that the HT-IVIVE approach is not able to predict toxicity values induced by metabolites of the parent compound that is modelled, as it only makes predictions based on the parent compound and not based on the metabolites. With in vitro PBK-based reverse dosimetry, however, it is possible to model the formation of metabolites and predict in vivo toxicity values based on the metabolites formed (Louisse et al. 2010). Another example which may lead to under prediction of the risk is bioaccumulation of the toxic compound in a target organ, which is not modelled with the HT-IVIVE approach. This was, however, also not included in our PBK model, because the phenols were not expected to accumulate.

Overall, the characteristics of the in vitro PBK and the HT-IVIVE approaches affect the applicability of each approach for the risk assessment of chemicals. The HT-IVIVE approach is a generic model especially suitable to provide a first tier toxicity estimate that can be characterised by a higher degree of uncertainty, and predictions are based on more conservative assumptions (Thomas et al. 2013). The PBK model developed in the present thesis is applicable for a higher tier toxicological assessment, although PBK models may also be applied in the first tier when they have more generic characteristics, for example modelling only a limited number of compartments.
Table 1 Overview of differences in the characteristics of the kinetic models applied in the in vitro PBK and the HT-IVIVE reverse dosimetry approaches.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model characteristic</th>
<th>In vitro PBK approach</th>
<th>HT-IVIVE approach(^a,b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Absorption</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral uptake</td>
<td>First order (but can be adjusted) 100% bioavailability, but can be adjusted based on Caco-2</td>
<td>Zero-order 100% bioavailability, but can be adjusted based on Caco-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partition coefficients</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target organs for safety testing</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placental/foetal compartment</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood-brain-barrier</td>
<td>Can be implemented</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binding to specific target molecules</td>
<td>Can be implemented</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prediction target organ concentration</td>
<td>Y (i.e. concentrations in liver or in foetus)</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolism</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatic metabolism</td>
<td>In vitro derived kinetic constants from enzyme kinetic studies considering different models (i.e. Michaelis-Menten, substrate inhibition model)</td>
<td>Clearance value determined in vitro at a low concentration assuming linear metabolic kinetics (See text for details)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-specific binding to microsomes</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prediction of target specific formation and levels of reactive metabolites</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excretion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glomerular filtration rate</td>
<td>Not included, because regarded quantitatively not relevant for phenol</td>
<td>Included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dose metric for reverse dosimetry</td>
<td>C(<em>{\text{max}}), AUC(^c) Can be adjusted to (C</em>{\text{ss}}) for comparison</td>
<td>(C_{\text{ss}})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Time dependent analysis i.e. to see when (C_{\text{ss}}) is established</td>
<td>One time (data) point (steady state)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inter- and intraspecies modelling</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Route-to-route extrapolations</td>
<td>Can be implemented</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation repeated dosing regimens</td>
<td>Can be implemented</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Included (Y) or not included (N) in the kinetic reverse dosimetry approach.
\(^c\) Maximum test concentration (C\(_{\text{max}}\)); area under the concentration-time curve (AUC); steady state concentration (C\(_{\text{ss}}\)). For more details, see section Selection of dose-metric for the in vitro to in vivo extrapolation.
SELECTION OF DOSE-METRIC FOR THE IN VITRO TO IN VIVO EXTRAPOLATION

The choice of an adequate dose metric to be used, for example the maximum test concentration ($C_{\text{max}}$) or the area under the concentration-time curve (AUC), is an important step when performing extrapolations from in vitro toxicity data to in vivo toxicity values. It has been advocated that for reversible (toxic) reactions the peak concentration may be the appropriate metric but that for irreversible toxic actions the AUC may be preferred due to an increase in effect over time (Groothuis et al. 2015).

Daston (2010) reported that developmental toxicity is likely to be dependent on the peak concentration. For the present set of phenols, the PBK model based reverse dosimetry predicted in vivo toxicity values corresponded better to the in vivo toxicity values obtained from the literature when extrapolations were based on $C_{\text{max}}$ values instead of the AUC (chapter 3 and 4). This dose-metric corresponds to the observation that toxicity of the phenols is associated with a polar narcosis mechanism (Hansch et al. 2000; Yi and Liu 2005) for which $C_{\text{max}}$ is regarded an appropriate dose metric (Groothuis et al. 2015).

It has been suggested by some authors (Daston et al. 2010) to multiply the applied test concentration by the duration of the assay to obtain an AUC. This approach may be used as a first screening to assess differences between the dose metrics i.e. $C_{\text{max}}$ or AUC on the model predictions when applying PBK-based reverse dosimetry. However, an important next step may be characterisation of the behaviour of the test compound in the in vitro assay, as in vitro kinetic processes may influence the AUC as was shown in the present thesis (chapters 2 and 3). It is likely that the test design of the EST, by using the hanging drop technique in the first three days of the assay, attributes to evaporation of (semi)-volatile compounds, as phenol disappeared rapidly during the first culturing day, while evaporation was much less after 3 days when phenol was present in petri dishes and 24-well plates. Moreover, it remains also to be seen how the dose metrics $C_{\text{max}}$ and AUC will affect toxicity predictions when considering different in vitro assays such as the EST and the WEC assay which have large differences in the test duration, namely 10 days versus 48 hours.

An additional step in establishing the appropriate dose metric, may be investigating the behaviour of the concentration-response curves at different durations of exposure to evaluate whether a change in the AUC in vitro results in a change in the prediction of toxicity. It should be kept in mind, however, that changes in concentration-response curves may also be induced by a specific window of heightened susceptibility of cells towards the test compound during an assay, especially when the in vitro assay encompasses different processes regarding proliferation and differentiation like the EST.

Thus, identifying an appropriate dose metric for the PBK model based in vitro to in vivo translation is not straightforward, especially not in predictive toxicology when no information about the in vivo effect is available. Therefore, further insight in the relation of different dose metrics and toxic effects, preferably mechanistically based, is required.
THE POSSIBILITY TO TAKE INTERINDIVIDUAL VARIATIONS INTO ACCOUNT

Another aspect that is discussed in some detail in this chapter concerns the possibility to take interindividual variations into account to predict variation in toxicity. PBK models provide the opportunity to evaluate the effect of interindividual kinetic differences in toxicity. This can be achieved by defining PBK models for different individuals using (experimentally derived) kinetic constants from different humans, or by modelling variation in a (larger) population linking PBK modelling with Monte Carlo simulations using information on the level of variation of the kinetic parameters of interest in the human population, as was demonstrated for phenol in the present thesis.

The sensitivity analysis of the model performance revealed that especially glucuronidation and the oral absorption coefficient mainly influenced the predicted levels of phenol in plasma, hence interindividual variation in these kinetic processes was simulated. Individuals with a reduced UGT1A6 and UGT1A9 activity together with an increased oral absorption are regarded a sensitive subgroup for phenol induced developmental toxicity. However, our results indicate that when the oral absorption and glucuronidation by UGT1A6 and UGT1A9 are predominant kinetic processes, the default uncertainty factor of 3.16 which is applied in the risk assessment of chemicals to account for human kinetic differences (IPCS 2005; IPCS 2010) may be adequately protective for phenol induced developmental toxicity.

Multiple factors may influence the sensitivity of individuals towards a chemical, for example life-stage, gender, life style factors, disease status, environmental exposure, drug intake, as well as the genetic make up (Guillemette 2003). A number of these factors are expressed in the coefficients of variation of the activity of UGT enzymes that were used in the present thesis to predict variability in phenol glucuronidation using Monte Carlo simulations. Nonetheless, it should be recognised that the databank of 54 individuals (Court 2010) from which this information originates may not cover the full spectrum of variability in UGT enzyme activity in the population, including the variation caused by genetic variations in the population. With the increasing use of molecular techniques, more and more genetic variations are discovered (UGT Alleles Nomenclature Home Page 2015), but the effect of specific alleles on the UGT activity has only been defined for a selective number of variants. Further defining the influence of polymorphisms on the glucuronidation activity as well as the allele frequency in the population, may refine predictions of interindividual human variation in toxicity as presented in this thesis.

A topic that needs further attention in the prediction of interindividual human variation in toxicity is the variability between humans in the response of a chemical at the target site, such as receptor binding or signal transduction. This so called toxicodynamic difference may
result from factors like disease-status, genetic variability and drug or environmental exposure. At present, information about variations in responses caused by interindividual toxicodynamic differences is scarce. However, using a range of human cell lines from different individuals for instance obtained from biobanks and using in vitro disease models in toxicological research, which are becoming more and more available, may generate information about interindividual differences in dynamic toxicological responses. Variation in toxicological responses can for example be included in the in vitro in silico approach to further refine population based predictions on the toxicity of a chemical.

Overall, integrating in vitro toxicity data, PBK modelling and Monte Carlo simulations provided a unique approach to predict interindividual differences in developmental toxicity. The approach may provide a reliable basis to derive human guidance values and support population health based risk assessment, which is one of the goals outlined by the next generation (NexGen) framework for risk science (Krewski et al. 2014).

FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

The present thesis demonstrated that the in vitro PBK approach was able to predict in vivo PoDs for a series of phenols that are close to in vivo derived toxicity values and appeared to be a promising strategy to extrapolate in vitro toxicity values to in vivo relevant levels. The ultimate goal may be to apply the in vitro PBK approach in predictive toxicity for compounds for which no or little in vivo toxicity data are available for the endpoint of interest. Reaching that point requires sufficient confidence in the prediction together with regulatory acceptance, which may partly go hand-in-hand. This can be achieved by providing more examples, for different substances and different toxicity endpoints.

Based on this consideration, it would be interesting to test the validity of the in vitro PBK approach for other toxicity endpoints. Subsequently, a complete toxicological risk assessment for a set of compounds could be evaluated to see if the critical toxicological endpoint defined in vivo is picked up by the in vitro PBK approach. Within this context, phase I and II of the ToxCast program of the US-EPA (Dix et al. 2007), in which about 1000 unique compounds are being tested in several hundred in vitro tests including toxicity assays, may generate valuable information in the upcoming years. At present a subset of the in vitro toxicity data has been extrapolated to in vivo toxicity values using the method of Wilkinson and Shand (1975) (Wetmore et al. 2012; Wetmore et al. 2013; Wetmore et al. 2015), but this may possibly be extended in the future. Extrapolations generated so far including our approach, may support the definition of endpoints and groups of compounds for which IVIVE extrapolations are promising, but may also define bottlenecks and/or uncertainties that need to be eliminated.

Furthermore, to enlarge the future applicability of the presented in vitro PBK approach,
the method should also be tested for compounds that enter the body via the dermal or the inhalation route, in conjunction with in vitro assays that quantify uptake via these ports of entry. Moreover, we demonstrated a new non-animal based approach to quantify placental transfer using BeWo cells together with predicting the partitioning of the chemical in the foetus with PBK modelling, which provided adequate predictions for the phenols. However, more research is required to define the applicability domain for this method, focusing on changes in the developing embryonic/foetal compartment during pregnancy and the influence of physico-chemical properties of the compounds for which the method would be applicable.

At present extrapolating in vitro toxicity values to in vivo toxicity levels has predominantly been performed using in vitro toxicity data generated by in vitro models using cells from animal origin. A next step can be the use of human cells, which in potential may closer mimic human biological responses than animal cells (Tandon and Jyoti 2012), and may perhaps, eventually, eliminate the interspecies safety factor that accounts for differences in interspecies toxicodynamics in the risk assessment of chemicals. Within this context, the use of induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSC) may have an advantage over immortalised human cells because iPSC may better mimic essential features of primary human cells and may circumvent ethical concerns regarding the use of embryonic stem cells (Yap et al. 2015). Furthermore, three-dimensional (3D) cell cultures in addition to two-dimensional (2D) cultures may be considered as 3D cultures potentially may represent more tissue functions that are present in the in vivo micro environment like cellular communication (Fey and Weresinski 2012) and provide opportunities to include various cell types in one model (Roth and Singer 2014). Moreover, it has been shown that 3D microtissues with liver cells had a prolonged life-time and viability, extending possibilities to test chronic chemical exposure (Messner et al. 2013), which is an important bottleneck in current in vitro toxicity testing. Moreover, the organ-on-a-chip which is a microdevice for culturing living cells that are continuously perfused, may encapsulate many advantages that were outlined above for the different cell culture technologies, like multicellular architecture, tissue-tissue interaction, together with simulating the tissue and organ physiology (Bhatia and Ingber 2014). Taken together, the developments in in vitro cell culture technology of which some are briefly discussed have the potential to improve in vitro toxicity testing in the (near) future, hence refining the extrapolation of in vitro effect concentrations to in vivo toxicity values.

A final factor that needs to be considered in the further development of the in vitro PBK approach for predicting PoDs and using them in a subsequent risk assessment is the way in which related uncertainties can be taken into account. To account for the extra uncertainties associated with the in vitro PBK-based in vivo predictions, an additional safety factor may be introduced when deriving safe exposure levels for the risk assessment of chemicals. Such a safety factor may specify uncertainties related to the in vitro toxicity assay selected, as well as uncertainties implicit in the in silico toxicokinetic methods. Applying such an additional
safety factor may be a starting point to enhance the use of the in vitro PBK model approach in practice, while determining the size of such an uncertainty factor may have to await the development and evaluation of more proofs of principle.

**FINAL CONCLUSIONS**

The present thesis showed that combining in vitro toxicity data with kinetic processes is indispensible to improve in vivo toxicity predictions, which are based on in vitro toxicity data. We demonstrated that combining in vitro toxicity data with PBK-based reverse dosimetry adequately predicted in vivo developmental toxicity values for a series of phenols. The results obtained demonstrate the possibility of the approach to improve toxic potency estimates as well as to provide quantitative toxicity estimates like a PoD, the latter solving a major bottleneck hampering the application of in vitro approaches in the risk assessment of chemicals. Moreover, this thesis is an important step forward to ultimately implement the envisioned paradigm shift away from studying apical endpoints in animal studies for the safety testing of chemicals, towards an approach that is cheaper, non-animal based and more directly relevant for humans.
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CHAPTER 7

Summary
Many efforts have been undertaken over the past decades to develop in vitro tests for a wide range of toxicological endpoints as an alternative to animal testing. The principle application of in vitro toxicity assays still lies in the hazard assessment and the prioritisation of chemicals for further toxicity testing. The in vitro toxicity outcomes are hardly used in quantitative risk assessment of chemicals, for example to predict health-based guidance values like an acceptable or tolerable daily intake (ADI or TDI). An important reason for this limited use is that an in vitro toxicity assay cannot provide a complete in vivo dose-response curve from which a point of departure (PoD) for risk assessment, like a no observed adverse effect level (NOAEL) or a 95% lower confidence limit of the benchmark dose (BMDL) can be derived. Translation of in vitro toxicity data to the in vivo situation is of paramount importance to overcome these constraints. Physiologically based kinetic (PBK) models, which mathematically describe the absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion (ADME) of a compound in an organism, can be used to extrapolate in vitro derived toxicity data to in vivo toxicity values.

The aim of the present thesis was to demonstrate the potential of a combined in vitro PBK-based approach to translate in vitro toxicity data to in vivo toxicity values for rat and human predicting in vivo dose-response curves that allow definition of a PoD for risk assessment. This translation was carried out by applying PBK-based reverse dosimetry, using in silico and in vitro defined kinetic parameters, and by combining the in vitro PBK approach with Monte Carlo simulations to assess interindividual variability. The present thesis focused on the prediction of in vivo developmental toxicity. The ES-D3 differentiation assay of the embryonic stem cell test (EST) was selected as a representative in vitro assay for this toxicity endpoint. Phenol and a series of para-substituted phenols being p-fluorophenol, p-heptyloxyphenol, p-mercaptophenol and p-methylketophenol were chosen as model compounds.

Chapter 1 introduces the methods and concepts that are used in the present thesis, namely in vitro developmental toxicity testing, PBK modelling and Monte Carlo simulations. Also the general outline of the in vitro PBK approach using reverse dosimetry is presented. Furthermore, a brief description of the ADME properties of each phenol that is included in the present thesis is given.

In chapter 2 the applicability of the EST as an alternative assay to predict developmental toxicity for the selected phenols was evaluated. The embryotoxic potencies of the phenols obtained with the EST were compared with potency data reported in the literature for the WEC assay, providing a similar potency ranking. The results suggest that the EST can identify the intrinsic embryotoxic potency of the phenols. However, when comparing the potency ranking of the EST to in vivo reported potency data on developmental toxicity of the phenols, it was concluded that the EST was not able to correctly rank the phenols according these in vivo potency data. Only phenol was correctly ranked, being the least potent congener, while the relative toxic potency of p-heptyloxyphenol was overestimated in the EST, when compared to the in vivo derived relative potency value. It was hypothesised that combining in vitro toxicity
data with kinetic modelling could improve the in vivo toxicity prediction.

In chapter 3 we combined in vitro toxicity data from the EST with PBK-based modelling for the compound phenol. For this purpose, PBK models were developed that describe the ADME of phenol in rat and human. The PBK models were parameterised with in vitro and in silico data and data taken from the literature. Evaluation of the PBK models pointed out that the oral absorption coefficient and phenol glucuronidation are influential model parameters for the predicted phenol plasma concentration. PBK-based reverse dosimetry was applied to translate the in vitro effect concentrations obtained from the EST to in vivo effective dose levels from which an in vivo dose-response curve was generated allowing the prediction of a PoD for risk assessment. The predicted PoD thus obtained for the rat lies within the range of PoDs for phenol obtained from in vivo developmental toxicity data from the literature. The results demonstrate the potential of PBK-based reverse dosimetry to translate in vitro toxicity data to in vivo toxicity values that can be used for quantitative hazard and risk assessment purposes, like deriving safe exposure levels.

In chapter 4 it was explored whether PBK-based reverse dosimetry could also successfully extrapolate in vitro toxicity data obtained in the EST to in vivo developmental toxicity values for a series of para-substituted phenols. It was of interest if this approach could overcome the differences observed between the in vitro and in vivo derived relative embryotoxic potencies for the phenols, especially for p-heptyloxyphenol. For each phenol, a PBK model for the rat was developed. In silico methods were selected and applied to predict input values for a number of PBK model parameters. In vitro assays were performed to predict metabolism of the test compounds and transport across the intestinal and the placental barrier. After completing the PBK models, reverse dosimetry was applied generating in vivo developmental toxicity data for each of the phenols from which a PoD was derived. These PoDs appeared to differ less than 3.8-fold from PoDs derived for the phenols from in vivo toxicity data available in the literature. The large difference that was observed between the in vitro and the in vivo relative embryotoxic potency for the phenols, especially for p-heptyloxyphenol, was reduced to a large extent after applying the in vitro PBK-based reverse dosimetry approach. Our modelling approach pointed towards metabolism by glucuronidation as the most important factor underlying the relative low toxic potency for p-heptyloxyphenol in vivo compared to the relatively high observed embryotoxic potency in vitro. Altogether, the results showed the feasibility of the PBK-based reverse dosimetry approach to properly extrapolate in vitro toxicity outcomes to in vivo toxicity values.

In chapter 5 we demonstrated a modelling approach that integrates in vitro toxicity data, PBK modelling and Monte Carlo simulations to predict the effects of human interindividual variation in kinetics for phenol induced development toxicity. Incubations with recombinant uridine diphosphate glucuronitransferases (UDPGTs) revealed that the enzymes UGT1A6 and UGT1A9 were mainly responsible for glucuronidation of phenol. Kinetic constants
describing the glucuronidation of phenol by UGT1A6 and UGT1A9 were included in the PBK model of phenol together with information about the variation in activity of these UGTs in the population and information on the interindividual variation for the oral absorption coefficient. Monte Carlo simulations in connection with PBK modelling provided a population distribution of phenol plasma concentrations, from which chemical specific adjustment factors (CSAFs) were calculated that cover interindividual human kinetic variation. It was concluded that the default safety factor of 3.16 applied for interindividual human kinetic differences in the safety assessment of chemicals is adequate protective, given that the predicted CSAF lies within this value. Dividing the dose-response curve data obtained with in vitro PBK-based reverse dosimetry with the CSAF provided a dose-response curve that reflects the consequences of the interindividual variability in phenol kinetics for the developmental toxicity of phenol. Altogether, this chapter presented a non-animal based approach to predict interindividual human variation in sensitivity to phenol induced developmental toxicity.

Chapter 6 discusses prominent aspects of the in vitro PBK-based reverse dosimetry approach. The items discussed encompass the selection of the EST as an alternative in vitro assay to predict developmental toxicity, different considerations regarding PBK modelling, the use of different kinetic reverse dosimetry approaches to perform in vitro toxicity to in vivo toxicity extrapolations (IVIVE) and the possibility to take interindividual variations into account. Finally, future perspectives related to the application of the PBK-based reverse dosimetry to predict in vivo toxicity values from in vitro toxicity data are outlined.

In conclusion, this thesis demonstrated that the approach of combining in vitro toxicity data with PBK-based reverse dosimetry has the potential to overcome a major bottleneck in the hazard and risk assessment of chemicals, since it was not only able to improve in vitro toxic potency estimates but more importantly it provided adequate quantitative toxicity estimates such as a PoD. Altogether this thesis contributes to the replacement of apical endpoints in animal studies for the safety testing of chemicals, towards an approach that is cheaper, non-animal based and more directly relevant for humans.
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