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Summary

In July 2018 aerial surveys to estimate the abundance of Harbour Porpoise *Phocoena phocoena* were conducted on the Dutch Continental Shelf. These surveys followed predetermined track lines in four areas: A - Dogger Bank, B - Offshore, C - Frisian Front & D - Delta. Between 13 and 18 July the entire Dutch Continental Shelf (DCS) was surveyed.

Marine mammals were assessed using line transect distance sampling methods. Density and abundance estimates were calculated. In total, 309 sightings of 362 individual Harbour Porpoises were collected. Porpoise densities varied between 0.54-1.76 animals/km² in the areas A-D. The lowest densities (0.54 & 0.63 animals/km²) were found in areas D - Delta and A – Dogger Bank respectively. The highest density was found in area B – Offshore with 1.76 animals/km². Overall the average density on the Dutch Continental Shelf was 1.07 animals/km².

In July 2018, the total number of Harbour Porpoises on the Dutch Continental Shelf (areas A-D) was estimated at 63,514 animals (CI = 34,276-119,734). This estimates falls in the range of abundance estimates since 2010, with a minimum of 25,998 (CI = 13,988 – 53,623 in 2010) and a maximum of 76,773 (CI = 43,414-154,265 in 2014) individuals. The confidence intervals of the abundance estimates overlap, indicating no statistically significant differences between the years.

These abundance estimates show that up to a fifth of the North Sea population, estimated at 345,000-361,000 individuals, has been present on the Dutch Continental Shelf during the summer surveys in 2010-2018.

The results of these aerial surveys will feed into the OSPAR MSFD indicator on abundance and distribution of marine mammals.

In total 25 sightings of other marine mammal species than Harbour Porpoises were recorded. These comprised 23 sightings of single seals (Grey Seal *Halichoerus grypus* and Harbour Seal *Phoca vitulina*). The majority of the seals was observed in coastal waters of the Wadden Isles. Two single Minke Whales *Balaenoptera acutorostrata* were seen in area B – Offshore, with another two off effort in the same area. One sighting of a pod of three White-beaked Dolphins *Lagenorhynchus albirostris* was made in area C – Frisian Front.

This research is part of the project ‘KRM monitoring bruinvis’.
1 Introduction

In the Dutch Harbour Porpoise conservation plan (Camphuysen & Siemensma, 2011) abundance estimates of the Dutch population of Harbour Porpoise Phocoena phocoena have been identified as one of the research needs with the highest priority. These assessments are needed to monitor the density and distribution of this protected species and could be used to evaluate potential impacts of anthropogenic activities on the national population level in the future.

Abundance estimates for the entire Dutch Continental Shelf were lacking until 2010. In July 2010-March 2011, under the umbrella of the Shortlist Masterplan Wind programme, dedicated aerial surveys of the entire Dutch Continental Shelf were conducted for the first time, in three different seasons (Geelhoed et al., 2011 & 2013a). These surveys resulted in abundance estimates and distribution maps of Harbour Porpoises, thus providing a baseline for subsequent surveys in order to study annual and seasonal variations in the numbers and distribution of porpoises in Dutch waters.

As a follow-up, surveys of the Dutch Continental Shelf were conducted in spring 2012 (Geelhoed et al., 2013b), spring 2013 (Geelhoed et al., 2014a), summer 2014 (Geelhoed et al., 2014b), summer 2015 (Geelhoed et al., 2015), and summer 2017 (Geelhoed et al., 2018; Geelhoed & Scheidat, 2018). In this report we present the results of the aerial surveys conducted in July 2018. It was the fifth time ever a complete dedicated survey of Dutch waters took place in July. These surveys are conducted under the umbrella of the KRM-project monitoring bruinvis, a continuation of the BO-project BO-11-011.04-004 funded by the Ministry of Economic Affairs of The Netherlands. Apart from aerial surveys this KRM-project contains diet studies, and studies on contaminant loads in stranded harbour porpoises. The results of these studies are published separately.
2 Assignment

This report presents the aerial survey results using line transect distance sampling as described in the original assignment of KRM monitoring bruinvissen, a continuation of the Beleidsregelstelt Onderzoek program of the Ministry of Economic Affairs. This assignment consisted of aerial surveys of marine mammals on the entire Dutch Continental Shelf in 2018.
3 Materials and Methods

3.1 Study area, survey design and data acquisition

The study area included the entire Dutch section of the continental shelf (59,417 km²). The study area was divided into four sub-areas: A - Dogger Bank (9,615 km²), B - Offshore (16,892 km²), C - Frisian Front (12,023 km²) and D - Delta (20,797 km²) (Figure 1). The design of the track line set-up was chosen to be parallel in areas C and D and zigzag in area A and B to ensure a representative coverage of the sub-areas and minimize off effort time between track lines. In addition, the direction of track lines followed depth gradients in order to get a better sample by minimising variance in encounter rates between transect lines (Buckland et al., 2001). The survey design has been the same since the first aerial surveys were conducted in 2008 (Scheidat et al., 2012).

Figure 1. Map of the Dutch Continental Shelf with the planned track lines in study areas A - Dogger Bank, B - Offshore, C - Frisian Front and D - Delta. Colours indicate sets of track lines.

Surveys were conducted with a Partenavia 68, a high-winged twin-engine airplane equipped with bubble windows, flying at an altitude of ca. 183 m (600 ft) with a speed of ca. 186 km/hr (ca. 100 knots). Every four seconds the aircraft’s position and time (to the nearest second) were recorded automatically onto a laptop computer connected to a GPS. Surveys were conducted by a team of three people. Sighting
information and details on environmental conditions were entered by one person (the navigator) at the
beginning of each transect and whenever conditions changed. Observations were made by two dedicated
observers located at the bubble windows on the left and right sides of the aircraft. For each observation
the observers acquired sighting data including species (all cetaceans and seals), declination angle
measured with an inclinometer from the aircraft abeam to the group, group size, presence of calves,
behaviour (Table 1), swimming direction, cue, and reaction to the survey plane. The perpendicular
distances from the transect to the sighting were later calculated from aircraft altitude and declination
angle. Environmental data included sea state (Beaufort scale), turbidity (4 classes, assessed by visibility
of objects below the sea surface), cloud cover (in octaves), glare and subjective sighting conditions
(Table 2). These sighting conditions represent each observer’s subjective view of the likelihood that the
observer would see a harbour porpoise within the search area should one be present, and could differ
between left and right.

Table 1. Behavioural codes and description for marine mammals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Behaviour</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sw</td>
<td>directional swimming</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasw</td>
<td>fast directional swimming or porpoising</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mill</td>
<td>milling, non-directional swimming</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rest</td>
<td>resting/logging: not moving at the surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed</td>
<td>Feeding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headup</td>
<td>spyahop of seals vertically in the water column</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>other behaviour, noted down in comments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Description of subjective sighting conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sighting condition</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Good (G)</td>
<td>Observer’s assessment that the likelihood of seeing a porpoise, should one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>occur within the search area, is good. Normally, good subjective conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>will require a sea state of two or less and a turbidity of less than two.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate (M)</td>
<td>Observer’s assessment that the likelihood of seeing a porpoise, should one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>occur within the searching area, is moderate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor (P)</td>
<td>Observer’s assessment that it is unlikely to see a porpoise, should one occur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>within the search strip.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not possible to</td>
<td>Observer off effort due to adverse circumstances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>observe (X)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Surveys were conducted in weather conditions safe for flying operations (no fog or rain, visibility > 3km)
and suitable for porpoise surveys (Beaufort sea state equal or less than 3). Surveys were conducted by
Steve Geelhoed, Nicole Janinhoff and Hans Verdaat as observer and navigator. Peter Reijnhout was the
pilot.

3.2 Data quality check and data storage

All collected data was checked, e.g. for consistency of codes, corrected and subsequently stored in the
Dutch database.

3.3 Data analysis

The survey data were collected using distance sampling techniques (Buckland et al., 2001; 2004). The
collected sightings are used to calculate densities and abundance estimates, and to produce distribution
maps. Only data from transect lines flown in good or moderate conditions were used in the analyses.
Densities and abundance estimates were calculated according to distance sampling methods, that yield
absolute densities, i.e., the number of animals/km² with the associated 95% confidence interval (CI)
and coefficient of variation (CV; Buckland et al., 2001). To do this the so called effective strip half-width
(ESW) is calculated. The ESW is calculated for each side of the track line separately. To obtain the first
component to calculate the ESW the perpendicular distance of a sighting to the track line is measured. To calculate the distance of the sighting to the track line from air, the plane’s altitude (600 feet = 183m) and the vertical or ‘declination’ angle to the animal are used. The latter is measured when it comes (or is estimated to come) abeam. By modelling a detection curve to all these distances the effective strip half-width is obtained; this is defined as the distance at which the expected number of detected objects would be the same as for the actual survey (Buckland et al., 2001).

One of the assumptions of line-transect distance sampling is that all animals on the track line are detected, which would mean that the chance to see all animals at a distance of 0 m from the track line is 1 (100%). For most animals, but in particular for cetaceans, this assumption is not true and a correction factor, called g(0), needs to be obtained to correct for the proportion of animals missed on the track line. In practice there are two reasons why animals are not “available” to be seen, (e.g. because they are sub-merged) or 2. they are missed by the observers (“observer bias”). To obtain a reliable estimate of absolute abundance (the number of animals in a given area e.g., the DCS) it is therefore needed to estimate the proportion of animals actually seen on the track line: the true value of g(0), and use the reciprocal of this value to correct the ESW. In the analysis g(0) values of 0.37 for good conditions and 0.14 for moderate conditions are used (taken from Scheidat et al., 2008).

Animal abundance in each stratum v (sub-areas A, B, C and D) was estimated using a Horvitz-Thompson-like estimator (Buckland et al., 2001; 2004) as follows:

\[
\hat{N}_v = \frac{A_v}{L_v} \left( \frac{n_{gsv}}{\hat{\mu}_g} + \frac{n_{msv}}{\hat{\mu}_m} \right) \bar{x}_v
\]

where \( A_v \) is the area of the stratum, \( L_v \) is the length of transect line covered on-effort in good or moderate conditions, \( n_{gsv} \) is the number of sightings that occurred in good conditions in the stratum, \( n_{msv} \) is the number of sightings that occurred in moderate conditions in the stratum, \( \hat{\mu}_g \) is the estimated total effective strip width in good conditions, \( \hat{\mu}_m \) is the estimated total effective strip width in moderate conditions and \( \bar{x}_v \) is the mean observed school size in the stratum.

Group abundance by stratum was estimated by

\[
\hat{N}_{v(group)} = \frac{\hat{N}_v}{\bar{x}_v}
\]

Total animal and group abundances of the entire Dutch Continental Shelf were estimated by:

\[
\hat{N} = \sum_v \hat{N}_v \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{N}_{(group)} = \sum_v \hat{N}_{v(group)}
\]

respectively. Densities were estimated by dividing the abundance estimates by the area of the associated stratum. Average group size across strata was estimated by

\[
\tilde{F}[x] = \frac{\hat{N}}{\hat{N}_{(group)}}
\]

Coefficients of variation (CV) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were estimated by an non-parametric bootstrap (999 replicates) within strata, using transect segments as the sampling units. The variance due to estimation of ESW was incorporated using a parametric bootstrap procedure which assumes the ESW estimates to be normally distributed random variables. More details on this method can be found in Scheidat et al. (2008; 2012).

Distribution maps were created by calculating densities per 1/9 ICES grid cell. This 1/9 ICES grid has latitudinal rows at intervals of 10’, and longitudinal columns at intervals of 20’. ICES 1/9 rectangles intersecting with the DCS measure approximately 20x20km, resulting in areas ranging from 388 to 409 km², depending on latitude.

Densities per 1/9 ICES grid cell were calculated by dividing the total number of animals observed during good and moderate conditions by the total surveyed area. The surveyed area is the distance travelled multiplied by the total effective strip width (ESW). The effective strip half-width (ESW corrected for g(0) values) was defined as 76.5 m for good sighting conditions and 27 m for moderate sighting conditions on each side of the track line (Gilles et al., 2009; Scheidat et al., 2008). Densities in grid cells extending outside the borders of the surveyed area (e.g., the Wadden Sea) could be less reliable due to lower effort and habitat discontinuities within the grid cell. Grid cells with an effort less than 1 km² were omitted from the density calculations.
4 Results

4.1 Weather conditions and survey effort

The entire Dutch Continental Shelf was surveyed on five days in the period 13-18 July (Figure 2, Table 3), resulting in a total distance of 3039.8 km on effort. Of this distance 79.5% was surveyed with good or moderate conditions on at least one side of the plane (Table 4). Some of the track lines in Area A – Dogger Bank and Area B – Offshore with poor or worse conditions on 15 July were surveyed again under better sighting conditions.

Table 3. Survey dates and surveyed areas in July 2018.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survey date</th>
<th>Surveyed area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13 July</td>
<td>Area D – Delta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 July</td>
<td>Area C – Frisian Front &amp; Area D – Delta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 July</td>
<td>Area A – Dogger Bank &amp; Area B – Offshore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 July</td>
<td>Area A – Dogger Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 July</td>
<td>Area A – Dogger Bank &amp; Area B – Offshore</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Total survey days, effort (surveyed distance), sighting conditions (g – good, m – moderate, p – poor, x – not possible to observe) and Harbour Porpoise sightings on both sides during the aerial surveys. Navigator sightings are excluded.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effort (km)</th>
<th>Sighting conditions (%)</th>
<th>Harbour Porpoise sightings (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3039.8</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>65.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.2 Harbour Porpoise sightings – pod size and behaviour

In total, 309 sightings with 362 individual Harbour Porpoises, including 23 calves, were collected (Table 4). These sightings are shown in Figure 3. Most sightings concerned single individuals, with an average pod size of 1.2 individuals. The maximum pod size was 5 animals, recorded twice.

The majority of the sightings concerned directionally swimming animals (83%, n = 297); 12% was milling or resting at the surface. Seven animals were qualified as feeding, associated with a fish ball, and feeding seabirds. Noteworthy is the observation of a Harbour Porpoise apparently giving birth in area B – Offshore on 18 July 2018.

![Image of Harbour Porpoise sightings during the DCS survey in July 2018.](image)

Figure 3. Harbour Porpoise sightings during the DCS survey in July 2018.

4.3 Harbour Porpoise - distribution

The distribution of porpoises (animals/km²) per 1/9 ICES grid cell is shown in Figure 4. Harbour Porpoises were widely distributed and showed a homogenous distribution in a band from area D – Delta north to area B - offshore. The highest densities were found NW of the Wadden Isles. Harbour Porpoises were virtually absent in large areas in the eastern part of area A – Dogger Bank, and in the western part of area D – Delta.

4.4 Harbour Porpoise - densities and abundance estimates

Densities of Harbour Porpoises were estimated for each survey stratum (areas A-D) as well as for the whole DCS. Table 5 gives an overview of density (animals/km²) as well as abundance (number of animals) per survey area. The overall density was 1.07 animals/km². The lowest densities (0.54 & 0.63 animals/km²) were found in areas D - Delta and A – Dogger Bank respectively. The highest density was found in area B – Offshore with 1.76 animals/km² (Table 5).
The total number of Harbour Porpoises on the Dutch Continental Shelf (areas A-D) was estimated at 63,514 animals (CI = 34,276-119,734, Table 5) in July 2018. The majority of the animals (72.9%) was estimated in areas B – Offshore and C – Frisian Front, both areas covering less than half of the total area of the DCS.

**Table 5. Abundance estimates of Harbour Porpoises for July 2018 per area.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Density (animals/km²)</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>Abundance (n animals)</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>CV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Area A – Dogger Bank</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.30-1.43</td>
<td>6020</td>
<td>2859-13,704</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area B – Offshore</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>0.87-3.44</td>
<td>29,722</td>
<td>14,663-58,170</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area C – Frisian Front</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>0.63-2.84</td>
<td>16,595</td>
<td>7618-34,120</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area D - Delta</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.26-1.06</td>
<td>11,176</td>
<td>5400-22,078</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total DCS</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.58-2.02</td>
<td>63,514</td>
<td>34,276-119,734</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 4. Density distribution of Harbour Porpoises (animals/km²) per 1/9 ICES grid cell, July 2018. Grid cells with low effort (< 1 km²) are omitted.**

**4.5 Other marine mammals - sightings**

During the surveys 26 sightings of other marine mammal species were made on effort (Table 6). Two other cetacean species than Harbour Porpoise were sighted: Minke Whale *Balaenoptera acutorostrata*, and White-beaked Dolphin *Lagenorhynchus albirostris*. Two Minke Whales were seen in the north-eastern part of area B – Offshore (Figure 5). Off effort two more sightings of single animals were made in this area, where several fish balls and feeding seabirds were present. One small pod of White-beaked Dolphins was seen 14 July in area C – Frisian Front (Figure 6). Seals (Grey Seal *Halichoerus grypus* and Harbour Seal *Phoca vitulina*) were seen in all areas, but the majority was seen in the vicinity of the coast, with a concentration north of the Wadden Isles near their haul out sites (Figure 7). Most seals were not identified to species level, but Grey Seals (n = 4) were seen in Areas C – Frisian Front and A
Dogger Bank, whereas Harbour Seals \( n = 6 \) were seen in areas C - Frisian Front and D – Delta. Numbers of seals and Minke Whale were too low to calculate densities and abundance estimates.

Table 6. Total survey days, effort (surveyed distance), and on effort sightings of marine mammals other than Harbour Porpoise during the aerial surveys.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effort (km)</th>
<th>Minke Whale</th>
<th>White-beaked Dolphin</th>
<th>Seals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sightings</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3039.8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sightings</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5. Minke whale sightings during the DCS survey in July 2018.
Figure 6. White-beaked Dolphin sightings during the DCS survey in July 2018.
Figure 7. Seal sightings during the DCS survey in July 2018.
Discussion

Wageningen Marine Research and its predecessor IMARES have been conducting aerial surveys in Dutch North Sea waters since May 2008. Four DCS wide surveys have been conducted previously in the month of July, in 2010, 2014, 2015 and 2017. Densities and abundance estimates of Harbour Porpoises are presented in Table 7, showing that summer densities vary between 0.14 and 3.08 animals/km² in the different years, highlighting that the density between the sub-areas is highly variable.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Area A – Dogger Bank</th>
<th>Area B – Offshore</th>
<th>Area C – Frisian Front</th>
<th>Area D – Delta</th>
<th>Total DCS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Density (animals/km²)</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C95% CI</td>
<td>0.30 - 1.43</td>
<td>0.87 - 3.44</td>
<td>0.63 - 2.84</td>
<td>0.26 - 1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abundance (n animals)</td>
<td>6020</td>
<td>29,722</td>
<td>16,595</td>
<td>11,176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>2859 - 13,704</td>
<td>14,663 - 58,170</td>
<td>7618 - 34,120</td>
<td>5400 - 22,078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Area A – Dogger Bank</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Density (animals/km²)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.55 - 2.92</td>
<td>0.08 - 1.53</td>
<td>0.41 - 1.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C95% CI</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.17 - 1.20</td>
<td>0.20 - 0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abundance (n animals)</td>
<td></td>
<td>21,584</td>
<td>6360</td>
<td>11,674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td></td>
<td>9229</td>
<td>11,798</td>
<td>8595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CV</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Area A – Dogger Bank</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Density (animals/km²)</td>
<td>0.43-2.25</td>
<td>0.17-1.20</td>
<td>0.20-0.98</td>
<td>0.41-1.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C95% CI</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abundance (n animals)</td>
<td>10,748</td>
<td>13,573</td>
<td>5304</td>
<td>11,674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>4113</td>
<td>7002</td>
<td>2354</td>
<td>11,798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Area A – Dogger Bank</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Density (animals/km²)</td>
<td>1.50 - 6.45</td>
<td>0.97 - 4.11</td>
<td>9.46 - 1.84</td>
<td>0.21 - 1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C95% CI</td>
<td>29,689</td>
<td>22,010</td>
<td>18,778</td>
<td>8055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abundance (n animals)</td>
<td>29,689</td>
<td>22,010</td>
<td>18,778</td>
<td>8055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>14,375 - 61,995</td>
<td>11,623 - 49,439</td>
<td>9548 - 38,167</td>
<td>3542 - 24,958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Area A – Dogger Bank</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Density (animals/km²)</td>
<td>0.18 - 0.85</td>
<td>0.21 - 1.06</td>
<td>0.05 - 0.89</td>
<td>0.21 - 1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C95% CI</td>
<td>3806</td>
<td>8055</td>
<td>4039</td>
<td>10,098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abundance (n animals)</td>
<td>3806</td>
<td>8055</td>
<td>4039</td>
<td>10,098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>1738 - 8165</td>
<td>3589 - 17,872</td>
<td>553 - 10,701</td>
<td>4341 - 22,024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The abundance estimate for the DCS in 2018 of 63,514 individuals (CI = 34,276-119,734) lies between the minimum estimate in 2010 (n = 25,998; CI = 13,988 – 53,623) and the maximum estimate in 2014 (n = 76,773; CI = 43,414-154,265). Neither the DCS abundance estimate, nor the abundance estimates per sub-area show a trend. The confidence intervals of the abundance estimates overlap, indicating no statistically significant differences between the years.
The porpoises in Dutch waters belong to the population that uses the wider North Sea (Evans et al. 2009). This whole area was surveyed during summer of 2005 and 2016 (SCANS-II and SCANS-III), resulting in an abundance estimate of 355,000 or 345,000 individuals respectively (Hammond et al., 2013, 2017). Using a model-based approach, with the SCANS data and national surveys, Gilles et al. (2016) estimated the population size to number 361,000 individuals in 2005-2013. Putting this in relationship with the results from the DCS surveys indicates that up to a fifth of the North Sea population has been present on the Dutch Continental Shelf in summer 2010-2018.
6 Conclusions

An aerial survey of the Dutch Continental Shelf in July 2018 resulted in an abundance estimate of 63,514 animals (CI = 34,276-119,734). This estimate falls in the range of abundance estimates since 2010, with a minimum of 25,998 (CI = 13,988 – 53,623 in 2010) and a maximum of 76,773 (CI = 43,414-154,265 in 2014) individuals. The confidence intervals of the abundance estimates overlap, indicating no statistically significant differences between the years.

These abundance estimates show that up to a fifth of the North Sea population, estimated at 345,000-361,000 individuals, has been present on the Dutch Continental Shelf during the summer surveys in 2010-2018.

The results of these aerial surveys will feed into the OSPAR MSFD indicator on abundance and distribution of marine mammals.
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