# On soil genesis in temperate humid climate I. Some soil groups in the Netherlands

#### I VAN SCHUYLENBORGH

Department of Regional Pedology, Mineralogy and Geology, Agricultural University, Wageningen, the Netherlands

#### Summary

The translocations of constituents resulting in the formation of Podzols, Grey Brown Podzolic Soils, Acid Brown Earths, Brown Podzolic Soils and Gley soils are discussed. It is emphasized that the organic matter is one of the most important agents for these translocations. Organic matter delivered by leaf fall and roots decomposes by bacterial attack and forms different organic acids (such as polyuronic acids, aminoacids, carbonic acid, etc.). These acids percolate through the soil material, react with primary minerals and the constituents, set free by weathering of the parent rock, move to a certain depth and precipitate, or are completely removed by rains to the rivers. When excepting this mechanism, it will be obvious that soil formation will then be a function of:

- 1° The nature of organic acids; this nature is, in its turn, determined by vegetation and mode of decomposition of the dead leaves, twigs and roots. Here the climate and parent material has a strong effect. The formed organic acids are mobile and react with certain constituents to form chelates or complexes. As soon as complex- or chelate formation has proceeded to some extent, the complex or chelate becomes insoluble and precipitate, so that illuviation horizons are formed. The stability constants of these complexes may therefore give an idea about soil formation. Hence, translocation and precipitation will be a function of
- 2° the amount of the organic acids produced and
- 3° the nature of the parent material. As a consequence of this fact one can see that the A<sub>2</sub>-horizon becomes thicker, the poorer the parent material (see *fig.* 1), climate being identical. The reaction of the organic acids with the parent material, will be dependent on the time of contact and this is affected by
- 4° amount of rainfall and
- 5° permeability of parent material and groundwater level.

It was concluded, that, in the Netherlands, Podzols had been developed on very poor and acid parent material (low in silt and low in clay, i.e. < 2%). Acid Brown Earth and Brown Podzolic Soils on poor parent materials (some silt and some clay (2—5%)) and Grey Brown Podzolic Soils and Gley soils on richer parent materials (loess and riverloams).

# 1. Introduction

Soil genesis can be defined as translocation of inorganic and/or organic substances. Generally this translocation proceeds in a vertical sense. In humid climates one finds always a downward and in arid climates an upward movement. Under influence of

Received for publication 22nd December, 1961.

these translocations a differentiation into horizons takes place in the substrate (parent material). This process of horizon formation can be weakened or even suppressed by biological effects to form more or less homogeneous soils. The substances which are translocated are: organic matter, clay, silicic acid, iron and aluminium oxide, calcium and magnesium. Sodium and potassium are very mobile and are either removed almost completely from the profile (e.g. podzols) or accumulated (e.g. alkali soils).

The rate of translocation depends on the parent material, the climate (temperature, precipitation, evaporation) and topography (groundwater level). Both climate and groundwater level effect the type of vegetation, that in its turn influences soil formation. When parent material, climate and groundwater level do not change or change only slightly during soil formation, the formation of autochtonous soils is the result. These soils are very important for the study of the chemical soil-forming processes. However, in many areas, e.g. in Holland, the climate has changed strongly: warmer and colder, humid and less humid periods have alternated and have so affected soil formation. The parent material too has changed in many cases by erosion (water- or wind erosion) and by alluviation and wind deposition. This has led to either the formation of decapitated profiles, or to polygenetic ones.

It will be evident that for a good understanding of rate and type of soil formation, a quantitative evaluation of the translocated substances is indispensable. The chemical and physical analysis of the horizons of the profile is a means. It will also be evident, that only autochtonous soils are suited for this purpose, as here the whole profile is composed from one and only one parent material, and the now present C-horizon corresponds in its composition with the substrate from which the other horizons are formed. This paper deals with the study of such profiles as far as possible.

The wide variability of climate and parent rock has led to the formation of a large number of soils and it was necessary to create a classification scheme. Soil scientists in America have recently developed a new scheme (1960) and also other countries, among which Holland (Schelling, 1960; Steur, 1960; Pape, 1960; Pulls, 1960), have paid much attention to these problems. The soils are divided into different categories and, without discussing the problem further, it can be said that from the viewpoint of soil formation the category of the "Great Soil Groups" is very important.

In this paper some soil groups occurring in the Netherlands will be discussed, viz. the Podzols, the Grey-Brown Podzolic Soils (shortly: Grey-Browns), the Acid Brown Earths (Sols Brun Acides) and Brown Podzolic Soils and, finally, the Gley soils.

# 2. Methods

Before air-drying the soil samples, certain quantities were taken for the pH-determination and for the size-distribution analysis. For the pH-determination 10 g of soil were suspended in 25 ml of distilled water or in 25 ml 0,01 Mol. CaCl<sub>2</sub>-solution. The pipet-method was used for the mechanical analysis using 25 ml 0,12 Mol. Na<sub>4</sub>P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>-solution as the peptiser. The sand fraction (> 50  $\mu$ ) was sieved in a dry state according to the american system.

Organic carbon was determined by oxidation with chromic acid (ALLISON, 1935) and the concentration of the green  $Cr^{+3}$ -ions was determined colorimetrically at a wavelength of 585 m  $\mu$ .

Nitrogen was determined with the Kjeldahl method, while NH<sub>3</sub> was steam-distilled

into a solution of boric acid and titrated with KH(IO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-solution of known strength (Silverstein and Perthel, 1950) using a mixture of bromcresolgreen and methylred as the indicator. The C/N ratio gives an idea about the qualification of the organic matter present, because nitrogen occurs predominantly in organic form, which is especially true in the Podzols investigated.

The method of the elemental analysis was followed for the investigation of the translocation of some elements. The determination of "free aluminium" or "free iron", therefore, was omitted, as the interpretation of such values is difficult (only the "free iron" contents of some profiles were determined according to Jackson, 1958); changes in "free iron" content do not necessarily coincide with changes in total iron content. A higher content of "free iron oxide" in one horizon does not necessarily mean that iron has been translocated into this horizon; it can be present in a different form. It can only be concluded to a translocation of iron if an increase in "free iron oxide" coincides with an increase in "total iron oxide" content. A determination of total iron is, therefore, more to the point. In many cases the free iron will be present in the clay separate ( $< 2 \mu$ ) and in many cases this will not be true (e.g. see TABLE 4, profile IX). Therefore, it was decided to perform an elemental analysis of the soil as well as of the clay separate. By substraction, it is then possible to calculate the elemental composition of the sand + silt separate.

The soil and the clay separate were fused with soda. The silica was separated by dehydration with hydrochloric acid, filtrated, heated to 800 °C and weighed. After volatilization of the silicium with hydrofluoric acid, heating the residu to 800 °C and weighing, the loss of weight was taken as the weight of silica. The residue was dissolved in hydrochloric acid and added to the filtrate of the silica separation.

The filtrate was made up to volume and specified portions were taken to determine iron by titration with EDTA and sulfosalicylic acid as the indicator (Kuang Lu Cheng, et al., 1953), aluminium by colorimetric analysis with aluminon at pH 4,2 and wavelength of 525 m  $\mu$  (Sandell, 1959), titanium by colorimetric analysis with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in 5 % sulfuric acid solution and at a wavelength of 410 m  $\mu$  (Weisler, 1935) and manganese by colorimetric analysis with formaldoxim at a wavelength of 450 m  $\mu$  (Schuffelen, et al., 1961). Of some soils Ca was determined by complexometric titration, using calcein as the indicator (Van Schouwenburg, 1961) while the phosphate interference was eliminated by the addition of ammoniummolybdate (Middleton, 1961).

The nomenclature of the Soil Survey Manual (1951) and colour indication of the Munsell Soil Color Charts were used as far as possible for the discription of the soil profiles.

## 3. Results and discussion

a. The Podzols. Especially the Humus Iron- and Humus-Podzols were investigated. The proposed American name for these soils is Spodosol.

The podzols belong to the most investigated soils. Nevertheless there are some points that the author wants to discuss. A long time ago the idea has been accepted in the Netherlands, that the Podzols might be formed under the influence of a heather vegetation. Recently, however, EDELMAN (1960) has raised much doubt about this idea, supported by the occurrence of Podzols under a forest vegetation in other countries (e.g. North-America, Russia and others). Because in the Netherlands hardly any Podzols can be found which have not beared a man-affected vegetation, two examples

of Humus Iron Podzols will be discussed here, the vegetation of which has not been influenced by human interference.

One profile is situated in Tapanuli (Sumatra, Indonesia) and the other in Germany (Schwarzwald). The profile descriptions are as follows:

Profile I. Residency Tapanuli (Sumatra). Near village Laepondom. Altitude: 1600 m. Rainfall: 2500 mm/year. Mean temp.: 16.5° C. Hilly area. Flat part. Good drainage. Parent material: liparitic volcanic ash. Vegetation: primary tropical rainforest.

$\mathbf{A}_0$	0—20	cm	Partly decomposed raw humus. When dry, the material is difficult to be wetted.
$\mathbf{A}_2$	2030	cm	Grey (5YR5/1) to dark reddish grey (5YR3/1) sandy loam. Weak fine crumb. Many roots. When dry, it is difficult wettable. Clear and smooth on
B <sub>2h</sub>	3050	cm	Reddish brown (5YR5/3) to dark reddish brown (5YR3/2) sandy loam. Thick platy. Firm. Few roots. Dark reddish grey (5YR4/2) mottling (root mottling). When dry, difficultly wettable. Abrupt and smooth on
$\mathbf{B}_{2ir}$	5055	cm	Yellow (10YR7 <sup>1/2</sup> /6) to reddish yellow (7.5YR6/8) loam. Irregularly platy. Very firm. No roots. Clear and smooth on
C	+55	cm	White (10YR9/1) to pale yellow (2.5Y7/4) loam. Massive. Yellowish red (5YR5/8) and yellow (10YR7/8) mottling. Remnants of pumice stones.

Profile II. Forbach. Schwarzwald (Germany). Altitude: 720 m. Mountainous area. Slope: 20—30°. Well-drained. Parent material: glacial till from Buntsandstein. Vegetation: primary forest of fir and spruce; further Vaccinium myrtillus, Aira flexuosa, Sphagnum spec., Polytrichum formosum, Hypnum Schreberi.

$A_1$	05	cm	Dark reddish brown (5YR3/2—5YR2/2) sand with raw humus and Sphagnum
			moder. Many bleached sand grains. Abrupt and wavy on
$\mathbf{A}_2$	5—40	cm	Pink (5YR6 <sup>1/2</sup> /3) to reddish brown (5YR5/3) sand with disperse humus. Single
-			grain structure. Loose. Clear and wavy on
$\mathbf{B}_{2\mathbf{h}}$	4050	cm	Reddish brown (5YR4/3 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> —5YR3/2) loamy sand. Blocky and firm.
$\mathbf{B_{2ir}}$	50-80	cm	Yellowish red (5YR5/6) to reddish brown (5YR4/4) sand. Massive. Very firm.
			Clear and wavy on
C	80120	cm	Reddish yellow (7.5YR6/5) to reddish brown (5YR4/4) sand. Massive and
			compact. Abrupt and smooth on
D	+120	cm	Light reddish brown (5YR6/4) to reddish brown (5YR4/3) cemented sand.
			It is composed of other material than the solum.

Both profiles are completely autochtonous (see table 1 and 3) and human influence is nearly absent. The vegetation can be considered to be the original vegetation.

A striking fact of these forest podzols is the reddish hue in the  $A_2$ -material, and this is not found in the heather podzols as will be shown later. Probably this is caused by the different nature of the organic material occurring in both types of Podzols. From TABLE 1 and 2 the following well-known facts can be recognized: there is a distinct humus- and clay-accumulation horizon ( $B_{2_{\rm ir}}$ ). Less well-known is the fact that aluminium with respect to iron accumulates in the  $A_2$  and  $B_{2_{\rm h}}$  (see:  $Al_2O_3/Fe_2O_3$ -ratios of TABLE 1 and 2), permitting the conclusion that iron is more mobile than aluminium. Well-known is the fact, that the organic matter, that accumulates in the B-horizon, has a different composition from that of the A-horizons (see: C/N ratios of TABLE 1). Further details can be found in the work of a.o. Schlichting (1953), Scheffer and Welte (1950) and Flaig et al. (1955).

As it is now realised that iron and aluminium are translocated either as complexes



FIGURE
Podzol on (left) white
("poor") sand and on
(right) brown ("richer")
sand. Boundary between
white and brown sand
indicated by dotted line.
Note the thick A<sub>2</sub>-horizon on poor parent material compared with the
thinner A<sub>2</sub>-horizon on
richer parent material

with organic acids (Jones and Wilson, 1929; Gallagher, 1942; Bloomfield, 1953, 1954, 1955, 1959; Scheffer et al., 1959; Scheffer and Ulrich, 1960) or as electrostatic combinations of oppositely charged colloids (MATTSON, 1931; DEB, 1949; SCHNITZER and DELONG, 1955), it is obvious to relate the organic matter in the various horizons with the separation of iron and aluminium in the case of Humus Iron Podzols. If the organic matter with the higher C/N-ratio forms with iron a more stable complex than with aluminium under the prevailing conditions, then it is possible to account for the different mobility of iron and aluminium. It is more difficult to give an acceptable explanation for the deposition of the organic matter complexes in the B-horizon. If we assume that the pure organic polyacids are soluble, but become insoluble after a certain degree of "saturation" with iron and/or aluminium, than a correlation would exist between the composition of the parent material and the upper boundary of the B<sub>2h</sub> horizon, climatic and drainage conditions being equal; the smaller the Fe and Al-content of the parent material the deeper the upper boundary of the  $B_{2h}$ -horizon below the surface. This can be frequently seen in the case of Podzols, occurring in the Netherlands (see FIGURE).

However, little is known about the complexing properties and solubilities of the different constituents of soil organic matter, so that it is not possible to obtain an exact picture of the formation of podzols.

Passing on to the Humus Iron Podzols in Holland, three profiles will be discussed.

Profile III. Horst. Altitude: 20 m. Gently rolling land. Perfectly drained. Parent material: young cover sand (older Dryas: late glacial). Vegetation: young forest of birch and fir; probably reafforested heather land.

- A<sub>1</sub> 0—10 cm Grey (10YR5/1) to dark grey (10YR4/1) sand. Single grain and very loose. Many bleached sand grains, Many roots. Clear and smooth on
- $A_2$  10—25 cm Light grey (10YR7/1½) to grey (10YR5/1) sand. Single grain and very loose. Few roots. Abrupt and smooth on
- B<sub>2h</sub> 25—30 cm Dark brown (7.5YR4/2) to dark reddish brown (5YR2/2) sand. Massive and slightly firm. Abrupt and wavy on
- B<sub>2ir</sub> 30—40 cm Reddish yellow (7.5YR6/6) to reddish brown (5YR4/4) sand. Massive and very firm. The upper boundary of this horizon consists of a 3 mm thick layer, cemented by iron oxide, with yellowish red (5YR4/6) to dark red (2.5YR3/6) colour. Diffuse and smooth on
- B<sub>3</sub> 40—97 cm Pale brown (10YR7/4) to brown (10YR5<sup>1/2</sup>/3) sand with many ondulating horizontal grey brown (10YR4/2) humus-iron fibers. Clear and smooth on
- C +97 cm Pale yellow (2.5Y8/4) to light yellowish brown (2.5Y6/3) sand. Single grain and very loose.

Profile IV. Horst. Altitude: 20 m. Gently rolling land. Well-drained. Parent material: young cover sand (older Dryas: late glacial): somewhat loamier than that of profile III. Vegetation: young forest of birch and fir on reafforested heather field.

- A<sub>1</sub> 0—12 cm Dark grey (10YR41/1/1) to very dark grey (10YR3/1) sand. Single grain and loose. Abrupt and smooth on
- A<sub>2</sub> 12—28 cm Grey (10YR5/1) to very dark grey (10YR3/1) sand. Single grain and very loose. Common, moderate, coarse, light grey (10YR7/1) to grey (10YR6/1) mottling (root-mottling). Clear and wavy on
- B<sub>2h</sub> 28-36 cm Brown (7.5YR4/2) to dark reddish brown (5YR2/2) loamy sand. Massive and firm. Abrupt and irregular on
- B<sub>2ir</sub> 36—48 cm Brown (10YR5/3) to dark brown (10YR3/3) loamy sand. Single grain and loose. Many, prominent, fine, pale brown (10YR7/3) to light yellowish brown (10YR6/4) mottles. Gradual and wavy on
- C 48-70 cm Yellow (10YR8/6) to light yellowish brown (10YR6<sup>1/2</sup>/4) sand. Single grain and very loose.

TABLE 1. C	Hori- zon	A <sub>0</sub> A <sub>2</sub> B <sub>2ir</sub> C	A <sub>1</sub> A <sub>2</sub> B <sub>2</sub> h C	$\begin{array}{c} A_1 \\ A_2 \\ B_{2h}^2 \\ B_2 \\ C \\ C \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} A_1 \\ A_2 \\ B_{2h} \\ C \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{A}_1 \\ \mathbf{A}_2 \\ \mathbf{B}_{2h} \\ \mathbf{B}_{2ir} \\ \mathbf{C} \end{array}$	$\mathbf{\overset{A}{B}}_{3}^{A}$	$\mathbf{A}_2^\mathbf{P}$ $\mathbf{B}_1$
Chemical data	Thickness in cm	20—20 20—30 30—50 50—55 +55	0—5 5—40 40—50 50—80 80—120 +120	010 1025 2530 3040 4097 +97	0—12 12—28 28—36 36—48 48—70	0-14 14-29 29-48 48-64 +64	0—20 20—52 52—70 70—115 115—140	0—20 20—33 33—53
data of the	р Н <sub>2</sub> О	3,42 4,72 5,13 5,75	4,38 4,09 4,38 5,16 5,32 6,70	5,00 4,44 4,30 4,97 5,02	4,49 4,52 4,37 4,42	3,60 4,18 4,25 4,64 5,02	4,57 4,72 6,43 6,75 6,94	4,82 4,53 4,62
soil	0,01 m CaCl <sub>2</sub>	n.d. n.d. n.d.	4,05 3,27 3,55 3,97 4,26 6,51	4,18 4,19 4,42 4,55 4,34	4,04 4,00 4,18 4,33 4,30	2,92 3,14 3,43 3,85 4,22	4,77 4,20 5,50 6,30 6,34	4,24 4,00 4,07
profiles	U %	47,8 7,4 11,6 1,9 0,2	8,8 0,9 4,3 1,5 1,5	1,3 0,4 1,8 0,5 0,1	2,1 1,2 2,3 1,4 0,5	25,2 1,4 4,7 1,5 0,4	1,9 0,4 0,3 0,3	1,2 1,0 0,5
	C/N	22,3 22,2 37,3 42,9	17,5 16,1 21,0 25,1 27,4 22,3	43,9 49,3 19,9 29,2 30,8	51,0 64,3 33,9 32,8 46,0	30,0 44,3 37,7 40,0 55,0	13,7 10,9 10,7 8,7 7,9	10,6 10,5 7,5
	SiO <sub>2</sub> %	93,3 89,1 91,0 94,1	85,8 93,7 86,1 84,8 86,9 84,0	94,6 97,1 90,2 91,7 95,1	88,5 90,2 83,1 84,5 87,3	56,9 94,8 84,8 91,6 93,7	80,7 83,4 81,5 75,5	83,9 81,5 80,8
	$^{ ext{Al}_2 ext{O}_3}_{\%}$	1,70 4,92 4,42 3,78	1,98 2,48 4,73 6,20 5,42 7,24	1,14 1,07 2,59 3,50 2,46 2,26	1,24 1,36 2,35 2,64 1,64	0,71 0,62 1,90 1,23 1,18	4,48 5,05 5,98 7,49 6,91	6,56 7,50 8,42
	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0,22 0,29 0,95 0,43	0,48 0,45 1,81 2,04 1,39 2,13	0,21 0,13 0,37 1,18 0,49 0,44	0,38 0,34 0,72 0,96 0,46	0,16 0,08 0,21 0,29 0,36	2,20 2,20 2,56 3,80 3,78	2,31 2,92 2,80
	$\frac{\text{SiO}_2}{\text{R}_2\text{O}_3}$	30 31 33 39	64 25 23 17	126 141 55 36 58 64	102 98 50 77	118 239 71 111 107	23 22 18,2 12,8 14,1	17,8 14,8 13,5
	SiO <sub>2</sub> Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	93 31 35 42	27 23 27 20 20 20	141 154 60 43 66	122 113 60 54 90	135 259 76 136 135	31 28 23 17,1 18,9	22 18,5 16,3
	SiO <sub>2</sub> Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	1111 825 257 581	477 558 127 110 166	1210 2020 654 207 511 566	614 716 308 235 502	948 3158 1087 848 710	97 101 85 51 55	75 71
	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	 12,9 27 7,33 17,8	6,47 8,70 4,11 4,75 6,10 5,34	8,60 13,1 10,9 4,77 7,80 7,94	5,04 6,35 5,12 4,32 5,54	7,00 12,5 14,6 6,65 5,18	3,19 3,59 3,66 2,96 2,87	4,46 4,02 4,72
	TiO <sub>2</sub>	0,55 0,24 0,15 0,06	0,12 0,16 0,20 0,21 0,15 0,13	0,20 0,20 0,18 0,20 0,20 0,20	0,24 0,26 0,27 0,27 0,25	0,10 0,14 0,14 0,16 0,16	0,64 0,66 0,71 0,69	0,36 0,44 0,49
	MnO %	ה ה ה ה ה ה ה	ָּטְ טָּ טָּטְ טִּיִּטְ טִּיִּטְ טָּ	0,002 0,002 0,002 0,006 0,005	0,004 0,006 0,007 0,007 0,008	0,003 0,003 0,004 0,004 0,006	0,048 0,072 0,042 0,049 0,044	0,064 0,091 0,124
	CaO %	n. n	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ָּטְ טָּ טָּ טִּיִּטְ טִּיִּטְ טִּיִּטְ טִּיִּטְ	יקי קיקי היקי היקי היקי	ָם הַיִּקּ הַיִּקּ	ָם הַיִּם הַיִּם הַיִּם	0,30 0,29 0,30

Neth. J. agric. Sci., Vol. 10 (1962) No. 2 (May)

0,4 5,6 79,8 9,00 0,3 4,5 78,3 10,0 0,3 20,7 88,6 2,43 10,0 0,2 20,7 92,7 2,53 0,3 38,0 91,7 2,59 0,0,2 40,0 92,7 2,53 3,0 3,6 8,2 3,4 84,2 3,28 3,4 0,2 11,0 24,4 91,9 84,2 3,28 3,4 0,2 11,0 24,4 91,9 4,37 0,4 23,1 89,8 3,88 7,47 0,4 12,3 79,5 9,95 0,2 6,9 74,0 11,7 0,3 8,4 73,8 12,0 3,6 6,6 6,6 6,2 9 15,8 6,6 6,6 6,2 9 15,8 6,6 6,6 6,2 9 15,8 6,6 6,6 6,2 9 15,8 6,6 6,6 6,2 9 15,8 1,0 2,3 3,4 1,3 8,4 7,3 8,7 7,1 7,7 7,7 7,7 7,7 7,7 7,7 7,7 7,7 7	79,2 7,98 3,00 74,6 10,2 4,49 79,3 8,18 2,85
4000 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
0.80	0,4 0,1 nih.
	2—50 6,60 6,06 0—95 6,56 6,07 +95 5,56 4,97
	B <sub>18</sub> S

TABLE 2. Chemical composition (partial) of the clay separates

IABLE	2. Cn	emicai	composi	поп (ра	itiai) oi	the cla	y schara	.168		
Pro- file	Hori- zon	SiO <sub>2</sub>	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	$\frac{\text{SiO}_2}{\text{R}_2\text{O}_3}$	$\frac{\mathrm{SiO}_2}{\mathrm{Al}_2\mathrm{O}_3}$	$\frac{\mathrm{SiO_2}}{\mathrm{Fe_2O_3}}$	$\frac{\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3}{\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3}$	TiO <sub>2</sub> %	MnO %
I	A <sub>0</sub> A <sub>2</sub>	28,3	18,1	2,35	2,45	2,66	32	12,2	2,75	n.d.
	B <sub>2h</sub>	12,7	38,8	2,30	0,54	0,56	14,8	26	1,09	n.d.
	B <sub>2ir</sub>	26,4	36,2	7,77	1,07	1,21	8,84	7,32	0,67	n.d.
	$\tilde{C}^n$	32,7	43,0	4,89	1,21	1,30	18	13,8	0,37	n.d.
II	$\mathbf{A_1}$	40,5	16,9	3,24	3,62	4,06	37	8,23	0,68	0,016
	A <sub>2</sub>	43,6	19,9	4,26	3,28	3,73	27	7,32	0,83	0,032
	$\mathbf{B}_{2\mathbf{h}}^-$	40,7	22,4	5,66	2,65	3,09	19,1	6,21	0,86	0,036
	$\mathbf{B_{2ir}}$	40,9	23,8	7,16	2,47	2,94	15,2	5,17	0,82	0,044 0,037
	C	34,9	22,4	5,78	2,28	2,65	16,1	6,08	0,63	
111	$\mathbf{A_1}$	59,2	12,3	2,78	7,15	8,17	57 58	6,93 10,8	2,21 2,80	n.d. n.d.
	$\mathbf{A_2}$	58,5	18,6	2,70 3,11	4,89 4,66	<b>5,3</b> 5 <b>5,1</b> 6	48	9,23	1,66	n.d.
	B <sub>2</sub> h	55,5 41,7	18,3 17,3	12,4	<b>2,8</b> 1	<b>4,</b> 09	<b>8,</b> 98	2,20	1,71	n.d.
	B <sub>2ir</sub> BC	47,5	8,13	1,63	8,81	9,94	78	7,81	1,08	n.d.
1V	$A_1$	58,1	15,2	2,02	6,01	6,52	77	11,8	1,79	0,017
• •	A <sub>2</sub>	57,5	16,6	1,60	5,54	5,88	96	16,3	1,91	0,026
	$\mathbf{B}_{2h}$	50,0	17,5	2,42	4,54	4,94	56	11,4	1,59	0,035
	B <sub>2ic</sub>	42,4	20,6	10,7	2,63	<b>3,</b> 51	10,6	3,01	1,45	0,050
	C	51,4	20,9	4,08	3,73	4,19	34	8,02	1,52	0,032
VI	$\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{p}}$	47,4	21,1	10,8	2,87	3,81	11,6	3,05	1,25	0,032
	$A_2$	47,7	21,2	10,0	2,94	3,82	12,7	3,32	1,28	0,033
	$B_1$	48,1	21,6	11,0	2,82	3,78	11,7	3,09	1,29	0,036 0,033
	$\mathbf{B_2}$	74,5	20,3	13,8	<b>2,6</b> 0	3,74 3,87	8,62 9,07	2,31 2,35	1,12 1,17	0,033
	С	46,0	20,2	13,5	2,71					
VII	$\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{p}}$	51,5	22,3	8,06	3,19	3,92	16,1	4,34	1,09	0,034
	$\mathbf{A_2}$	46,0	21,3	9,09	<b>2,89</b>	3,67	13,5	3,68	1,16	0,050 0,050
	$\mathbf{B_1}$	49,0	22,3	8,59	<b>2,99</b>	3,72 3,69	15,2 15,0	4,08 4,05	1,09 1,06	0,056
	B <sub>21</sub>	48,3	22,2	<b>8,6</b> 0 <b>9,</b> 06	2,96 2,65	3,31	13,1	3,97	0,98	0,042
	B <sub>22</sub> D	44,7 45,0	22,9 21,3	9,67	<b>2,79</b>	3,60	12,4	3,45	0,80	0,040
VIII	Δ	50,1	21,4	8,10	3,20	3,97	16,5	4,15	1,56	n.d.
A 111	$A_1$ $(B)_1$	50,9	22,8	8,00	3,09	3,79	17,0	4,48	1,37	n.d.
	(B) <sub>3</sub>	50,3	22,7	7,24	3,07	3,70	18,2	4,91	1,42	n.d.
	c´*	50,0	22,5	8,44	3,05	3,78	15,8	4,17	1,50	n.d.
IX	$\mathbf{A_1}$	42,5	18,5	9,26	2,99	3,90	12,2	3,13	1,13	0,130
	$(\mathbf{B})_1$	45,5	20,8	10,2	2,83	3,72	11,8	3,19	1,14	0,207
	$(\mathbf{B})_{3}$	46,7	21,2	10,3	2,87	3,76	12,1	3,24	1,14	0,182 0,254
	С	<b>4</b> 4,8	22,7	10,9	2,57	3,36	11,0	3,29	1,06	
X	$\mathbf{A_1}$	42,4	13,0	7,55	3,45	4,49	15,0	3,33 2,86	1,17 1,04	0,039 0,068
	$(\mathbf{B})_1$	40,6	19,3	10,6	2,65	3,57	10,2 8,79	2,80	0,91	0,058
	(B) <sub>3</sub>	35,9	19,6	10,9	2,30 2,04	3,11 2,88	6,88	2,39	0,91	0,055
	C D	31,8 24,8	18,7 14,1	12,3 11,2	1,99	2,99	5,09	1,97	0,71	0,040
ΧI	$\mathbf{A}_1$	45,9	19,3	3,80	3,60	4,05	32	7,95	1,32	0,010
Λı	$egin{array}{c} \mathbf{A_1} \\ \mathbf{A_{2g}} \end{array}$	47,3	19,8	3,18	3,68	4,06	40	9,76	1,45	0,008
	B <sub>1g</sub>	53,0	22,2	4,68	3,58	4,06	30	7,45	1,39	0,010
	$\mathbf{B}_{2g}^{-1g}$	42,2	19,2	10,1	2,80	3,74	11,2	3,00	0,90	0,018
	B <sub>3</sub> g	42,8	19,8	9,66	2,80	3,68	10,1	3,21	1,05	0,018

Pro-	Hori-	$SiO_2$	$Al_2O_3$	$\rm Fe_2O_3$	${ m SiO}_2$	$SiO_2$	$SiO_2$	$Al_2O_3$	$TiO_2$	MnO
file	zon	%	%	%	$R_2O_3$	$\overline{\mathrm{Al_2O_3}}$	$\overline{\mathrm{Fe_2O_3}}$	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	% -	%
XII	$\mathbf{A_1}$	45,6	31,0	6,82	2,18	2,50	17,4	6,98	0,86	0,120
	$A_{2g}^-$	47,7	33,7	5,88	2,16	2,41	21,6	8,98	0,90	0.080
	$B_{18}$	47,6	34,5	6,42	2,09	2,37	19,8	8,44	1,01	0,625
	$\mathbf{B_{2g}^{13}}$	43,2	35,2	14,8	1,64	2,09	7,76	3,72	0,70	0,334
	$\mathbf{B_{3g}^{23}}$	45,8	33,6	8,31	2,01	2,31	14,7	6,35	0,67	0,174
XIII	$A_1$	50,7	22,3	3,15	3,54	3,86	43	11,1	1,34	0,017
	$\hat{\mathbf{A_2}}$	48,2	22,0	2,65	3,45	3,72	48	13,0	1,45	0,017
	$B_{1g}^-$	51,8	24,1	3,47	3,35	3,66	40	10,8	1,44	0,020
	$B_{2g}$	51,2	23,7	3,98	3,32	3,67	34	9,33	1,50	0,016
	$B_{3g}$	45,2	21,1	5,41	3,14	3,65	22	6,09	1,14	0,020
XIV	$\mathbf{A_1}$	41,3	18,7	<b>9,7</b> 0	2,82	3,75	11,3	3,02	1,02	0,033
	$\mathbf{A_{2g}}$	42,3	19,1	9,86	2,83	3,77	11,5	3,04	1,01	0.030
	B <sub>1g</sub>	42,5	19,2	9,83	2,84	3,76	11,5	3,05	1,01	0,037
	$\mathbf{B_{2g}}^{16}$	43,8	19,0	12,4	2,77	3,92	9,41	2,40	0,99	0,042
	G	39,3	16,3	8,23	3,10	4,10	12,7	3,10	0.78	0,016

Profile V. Rolde. Altitude: 12 m. Gently rolling land. Moderately drained. Parent material: young cover sand (older Dryas: late glacial). Vegetation: heather with few firs.

A<sub>1</sub> 0—14 cm Dark brown (10YR3/3) to very dark brown (10YR2/2) peaty, felty, root mass. Abrupt and smooth on

A<sub>2</sub> 14—29 cm Light grey (2.5Y6<sup>1/2</sup>/0 to light brown grey (10YR6/2) sand. Single grain and loose. Few roots. Abrupt and smooth on

 $B_{2h}$  29—48 cm Dark brown (7.5YR3/2) to dark reddish brown (5YR2/2) sand. Felty root mass on water stagnating  $B_{2ir}$ . Abrupt and smooth on

B<sub>2ir</sub> 48—64 cm Dark brown (7.5YR4/3) to dark brown (5YR3/4) sand. Massive and firm.

Horizontal humasiron fibers. Many, prominent, moderate, brown (7.5YR4/4)

mottles. Gradual and smooth on Yellow (10YR7<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>/6) to reddish yellow (7.5YR6/6) older young cover sand.

Weak blocky. Friable.

 $\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{D}}$ 

+64 cm

Profile III and IV are autochtonous (see table 1 and 3); profile V, however, not. The CD horizon is not composed of the material form which the profile is formed.

The profiles III, IV and V differ from profiles I and II in the colours of the  $A_2$  and in the organic matter distribution. The colour of the  $A_2$ -horizon is grey without the reddish hue of the  $A_2$  of the forest podzols; this is a consequence of the heather vegetation.

Whereas in profiles I and II the organic matter fraction with the high C/N-quotient accumulates in the B-horizon, we see in the profiles III and IV an accumulation of organic matter with low C/N ratio in the B-horizon; in profile V there is no difference in composion. This has to be attributed to the dominant effect of the heather vegetation on soil formation in the case of profiles III and IV, whereas in profile V an effect of the original forest as well as an effect of the heather vegetation is observable, leading to a more or less equal composition of the organic matter over the whole profile.

The mobilities of the different elements is comparable with those in profiles I and II and, as a consequence, it has now to be assumed that iron forms the most stable complex with the organic acid of low C/N-ratio. This is not very astonishing because it is very well imaginable that various organic acids form complexes with comparable stabilities with iron and aluminium. Once again it is obvious that it is not possible to obtain a good insight in soil formation without a good knowledge of the organic matter of the soil.

Soil Survey workers in the Netherlands classify profiles I, II and III as High Humus Podzols and the profiles IV and V as Lower Humus Podzols (STEUR, 1960; PAPE, 1960). The qualification high and low refers to the position with respect to the groundwater and is therefore a hydrographic classification. In the U.S. of America profiles I and II would probably be classified as Orthods, III and IV as Humods and V as an Aquod. Without discussing the merits of these classifications, it has to be remarked here that there is no difference from a genetic point; all profiles show a humus- and clay-accumulation horizon and an aluminium- and iron-accumulation horizon. Also the distribution of the different constituents over the profile is the same. However, the level of the contents of iron and aluminium is different; in the cases of profiles I, II, III and IV this can be attributed to a difference in parent material, but in the case of profile V to a difference in hydrographic position. The genesis of this last profile can be represented in the following way: after the deposition of the parent material the groundwater level was raised, so that the material was affected by reduction conditions which led to an impoverishment of iron. After a lowering of the groundwater level in later times a normal podzol formation started on this poor parent material with the consequent translocation and deposition of constituents, characteristic for a Humus Iron Podzol. From a genetic viewpoint, all these soils belong to the Humus Iron Podzols; however, they have a different value as a habitat for plants. Considering this fact and the fact, that the iron content of profile V is very low, it is justified to classify this profile as a Humus Podzol.

b. The Grey Brown Podzolic Soils. Profiles VI and VII are examples. They belong to the Udalfs according to the 7th approximation and to the High Grey Brown Podzolic Soils according to the dutch classification-scheme.

Profile VI. Sittard. Quarry "Op den Kamp". Altitude: 57 m. Hilly land. Nearly level high part. Well-drained. Parent material: Würm-loess (Pleniglacial B). Cultivated land.

 $A_p$  0—20 cm Brown (10YR5 $^{1/2}$ /3) to dark grey brown (10YR4/2) loess. Well developed crumb structure. Friable. Clear and smooth on

A<sub>2</sub> 20—52 cm Pale brown (10YR7/4) to brown (7.5YR4/4) loess. Very weak thick platy. Very porous and friable. Gradual and smooth on

B<sub>1</sub> 52-70 cm Light yellowish brown (10YR6/4) to brown (7.5YR4½/4) loess. Moderate subangular blocky. Very porous and friable. Clear and smooth on

B<sub>2</sub> 70—115 cm Brown (7.5YR5/4) to reddish brown (5YR4/3) loess. Weak prismatic. Distinct coatings on structural units. Very porous and friable. Gradual and smooth on Strong brown (7.5YR5<sup>1</sup>/2/6) to reddish brown (5YR4/4) loess. Moderate subangular blocky. Coatings on structural units. Very porous and friable.

Below this loess deposit another deposit occurs which, however, cannot be considered as the parent material of this profile. The profile is well rooted and biological activity is good (many worm-tracks).

Profile VII. Ottersum. Altitude: 12 m. Level land. Well-drained. Parent material: river loam (river levee of the Niers: Alleröd). Vegetation: grasses.

- A<sub>p</sub> 0—20 cm Brown (10YR5<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>/3) to dark brown (10YR3<sup>1</sup>/<sub>1</sub>/3) sandy loam. Strong human interference.
- A<sub>2</sub> 20—33 cm Yellowish brown (10YR5<sup>1</sup>/z/4) to brown (7.5YR4<sup>1</sup>/z/4) sandy loam. Blocky structure with crumbly units. Friable. Many worm excrements and roots.
- B<sub>1</sub> 33—53 cm Brown (7.5YR5<sup>1/2</sup>/4) to reddish brown (5YR4/3<sup>1/2</sup>) loam. Moderate blocky.

  Porous and friable. Many worm tracks. Many fine roots. Weakly developed clay coatings.
- B<sub>21</sub> 53—68 cm Reddish yellow (7.5YR6/5) to reddish brown (5YR4/3<sup>1/2</sup>) loam. Well developed blocky structure. Porous and friable. Well developed coatings on structural units. Few roots. Worm tracks.
- B<sub>22</sub> 68—89 cm Reddish yellow (7.5YR6<sup>1/z</sup>/4) to reddish brown (5YR5/4) loam. Weakly developed prismatic structure. Porous and friable. Well developed coatings. No roots. Worm tracks.
- D 89-100 cm Reddish yellow (5YR51/2/6) to yellowish red (5YR4/6) sandy loam.

The  $A_p$ -horizon contains little stones and potsherds belonging to material, that was used as fertilizer; the lowest horizon consists of quite a different material than the solum, as can be seen from *table* 3. Both horizons, therefore, cannot be taken into account when considering soil genesis.

TABLE 1 and 2 show that distinct textural and iron and aluminium accumulation horizons are present; there is no organic matter accumulation. The A2 horizon is only weakly developed compared with that of the Podzols. However, the distribution of the elements is essentially equal to that of the Podzols, although the differentiation is less pronounced. This latter fact is caused by the lower organic matter content of the Grev Browns and possibly by the great biological activity (worms) in these profiles, leading to a certain mixing of the different horizons. The translocation of iron and aluminium is not only a function of the nature of the organic matter, but also of the amount of it: as this amount is smaller, translocations of the metals will also be lower. The nature of the organic matter is different from that in the Podzols, which is shown by the C/N-ratios, whereas this ratio decreases with depth. As in the case of the heather podzols the organic matter fraction with the lower C/N ratio appears to form stable complexes with iron under the prevailing conditions resulting in the greater mobility of iron compared with aluminium. Although the value of the C/N ratio of these soils might have been influenced by the cultivation measures it must be remarked, that in similar tropical soils (where human influence was certainly not present) the same coarse in C/N ratio was found (van Schuylen-BORGH, 1958) while light absorption studies of the organic matter (TAN and VAN SCHUY-LENBORGH, 1961 b) supported the conclusions.

It can be concluded, that the translocation of constituents in both soils are essentially identical, although the theoretical background is obscure because of the insufficient knowledge of the properties of soil organic matter.

c. The Acid Brown Earths (Sols Bruns acides) and the Brown-Podzolic Soils. Three out of fifteen analyzed profiles will be discussed here.

Profile VIII. Kessel-Eyck (Limburg). Altitude: 30 m. Gently rolling land. High part. Well-drained. Parent material: loamy, fine, young, coversand. Vegetation: oak shrubs.

A<sub>1</sub> 0-5 cm Grey brown (10YR5/2) to dark grey brown (10YR4/2) loamy sand. Weak crumb structure. Loose. Many roots. Clear and smooth on

- $(B)_1$  5—32 cm Very pale brown (10YR7/4) to brown (10YR5/3) loamy sand. Weak crumb structure. Loose. Many prominent, very fine, black, mottles. Gradual and smooth on
- (B)<sub>3</sub> 32—52 cm Very pale brown (10YR7<sup>1/2</sup>/4) to pale brown (10YR5<sup>1/2</sup>/3) loamy sand. Single grain structure. Very loose. Many prominent, very fine, black mottles. Gradual and smooth on
- C +52 cm Very pale brown (10YR8/4) to pale brown (10YR6/3) loamy sand. Single grain. Very loose. Few, faint, medium, brown (10YR5<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>/5) mottles.

Profile IX. Epe (Veluwe). Altitude: 30 m. Rolling land. High, flat part. Parent material: pushed preglacial deposits of fluviatile material: gravelly, loamy sand. Vegetation: Birch, oak, Mollinia.

- A<sub>1</sub> 0—22 cm Dark brown (7.5YR3/2) to very dark grey (5YR3/1) loamy sand. Weak crumb structure. Very friable, Many roots. Clear and smooth on
- (B)<sub>1</sub> 22—34 cm Brown (10YR5/3) to dark brown (7.5YR4/2) sand. Very weak subangular blocky structure. Friable. Well rooted. Gradual and smooth on
- (B)<sub>3</sub> 34—55 cm Very pale brown (10YR7/3) to light brown (7.5YR5<sup>1/2</sup>/4) sand. Single grain. Loose. Moderately rooted. Gradual and smooth on
- C +55 cm Very pale brown (10YR7/4) to light yellowish brown (10YR6/4) sand. Single grain. Few, faint, coarse, pale brown (10YR6/3) mottles. Few roots.

Profile X. Loenen (Veluwe). Altitude: 58 m. Rolling land. High, nearly horizontal part. Parent material: as prof. IX. Vegetation: Oak, fir, grasses.

- A<sub>0</sub> 7—0 cm Strongly decomposed, dark reddish brown (5YR2/2) litter.

  A<sub>1</sub> 0—15 cm Brown (7.5YR4/2) to very dark reddish brown grey (5YR3/1<sup>1</sup>/2) loamy sand.

  Weak subangular and crumb structure. Very friable. Few bleached sand grains
- present. Many roots. 2.8 % gravel.  $B_1$  15—38 cm Reddish yellow (7.5YR5 $^{1/2}$ /6) to dark and strong brown (7.5YR4/4 and 7.5YR5/6) loamy sand. Very weak crumb structure. Very friable. Very porous. Many roots, 7.3 % gravel.
- B<sub>3</sub> 38—63 cm Reddish yellow (7.5YR7/6) to strong brown (7.5YR5/7) loamy sand. Very weak subangular blocky. Very friable, Very porous. Many roots. 10.2 % gravel.
- C 63—81 cm Yellow (10YR7/6) to yellowish brown (10YR5/6) sand. Single grain. Loose. Moderately rooted. Porous, 5.2 % gravel.
- D<sub>r</sub> 81—97 cm Very pale brown (10YR6<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>/4) to yellowish brown (10YR5/6) sand. Single grain. Few roots. 3.6 % gravel.

The characteristic differences of these profiles (with the exception of profile X) and those of preceding soil groups are:

- 1. no translocation of clay, so that the clay content decreases with depth;
- 2. the mobility of iron and aluminium is small: the iron content of the soil (TABLE 1) decreases with depth or is nearly constant and the aluminium content increases with depth; apparently, aluminium is more mobile than iron (see Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-ratio in TABLE 1);
- 3. the iron content of the clay separate (TABLE 2) is practically constant, whereas the Al-content increases with depth, supporting conclusion 2;
- 4. the C/N ratio does not show a certain trend, sometimes it is constant, sometimes it increases; it seems to be more or less constant;
- 5. in profile X the iron content increases with depth; the C/N ratio decreases with depth.

It is evident that the soil formation process differs from that of the Podzols and

Grey Browns. Moreover, the same soils occur in the tropics (VAN SCHUYLENBORGH, 1958; TAN and VAN SCHUYLENBORGH, 1959, 1961 a). The greater mobility of aluminium can be explained either by assuming that the organic matter form such a stable complex with iron that aluminium is not chelated and has been transported as ion, or by assuming that there is no complexation at all, so that the translocation of these oxides is determined by their solubility products, or by assuming that the vegetation absorbs more iron than aluminium, leading to a more or less even distribution of iron <sup>1</sup>. Again we cannot give a certain conclusion because of the lack of sufficient knowledge of the properties of soil organic matter.

Profile X is different from VIII and IX in that it has similar characteristics as the profiles of the Podzols; there is some bleaching and a removal of iron from the surface horizon; it has been more strongly podzolized. It seems to be an intergrade between profiles VIII and IX and a Podzol.

Soil survey workers in the Netherlands classify the soils as Humus Iron Podzols, because of the occurrence of a podzol-B horizon (horizon of accumulation of iron and aluminium, together with organic matter or of organic matter alone) and the presence of moder-humus. The latter is true, but a podzol-B horizon (the occurrence of such a horizon is based on the colour of this horizon in comparison with A- and C-horizon) is certainly not present: the iron as well as the "free iron" content decreases with depth in profiles VIII and IX (see TABLE 4). Hence, the colour is a misleading criterion for the presence of iron. The reddish hue of the B-horizon must be ascribed to the fact, that iron is present in another form than in the A- and C-horizon (see also: LAATSCH, 1938).

There is much confusion concerning the classification of brown soils in spite of the elucidating study of TAVERNIER and SMITH (1957). They conclude that the french and american classification scheme agree very well and accepting their conceptions, it is evident that profiles VIII and IX has to be classified as Acid Brown Earths (Sols bruns acides) and profile X as an Acid Brown Earth-Podzol intergrade or Brown Podzolic Soil.

In the recent american classification scheme (1960) these soils belong to the Order of the Inceptisols and the Suborder of the Ochrepts. This suborder is divided into 5 groups; the soils under discussion cannot be grouped in one or more of these.

- d. The Gley-soils. Four examples of this group will be discussed here.
- Profile XI. Kottenforst (1 km S.W. of Röttgen). Altitude: 180 m. Mountainous area. Flat part. Poorly drained. Temp.: 9.4° C. Rainfall: 600 mm/year. Vegetation: oak, beech, hornbeam (150 years old). Parent material: Würm-loess (late pleistocene) on gravel and sand of the river Rhine (old-pleistocene).
- A<sub>1</sub> 0—8 cm Light brown grey (10YR6<sup>1/2</sup>/2) to dark grey brown (10YR4<sup>1/2</sup>/2) loess. Granular structure. Friable. Many roots. Clear and wavy on
- A<sub>2g</sub> 8—25 cm Light yellow (2.5Y8/3) to light olive brown (2.5Y5/4) loess. Blocky structure. Slightly firm. Few, faint, fine, pink (7.5YR7/5) to yellowish red (5YR4/6) mottles. Moderately rooted. Gradual and smooth on
- <sup>1</sup> Still another explanation was supposed in a personal communication by Dr. W. H. VAN DER MAREL, who stated that the greater mobility of aluminium would be only apparent. If there is in the profile a translocation of an Al-rich clay mineral, whereas Al-poor clay minerals remain in the surface horizons, also an increasing  $Al_2O_3/Fe_2O_3$  ratio would be found. However, then the  $SiO_2/Al_2O_3$ -ratio of the clay fraction would increase with depth. This was not found in the analyses, so that the author declines VAN DER MAREL's suggestion.

$\mathbf{B}_{1\mathbf{g}}$	25—45 cm	Light grey (10YR7 <sup>1/s</sup> /2) to light brown grey (10YR6/2 <sup>1/s</sup> ) loess. Blocky. Slightly sticky. Common, distinct, fine, pink (7.5YR7/4) to yellowish red (5YR5/8)
$\mathbf{B_2}$	45—95 cm	mottles. Few roots. Clear and smooth on Light brown (7.5YR6/4) to reddish yellow (7.5YR6/6) loess. Prismatic and platy. Sticky. Many, prominent, coarse, strong brown (7.5YR5/8) to yellowish
B <sub>3g</sub>	95—120 cm	red (5YR4/6) mottles. Few roots. Abrupt and smooth on Light yellowish brown (10YR6/4) to yellowish brown (10YR5/4) loess. Compact and hard. Water stagnating.

This profile is called Pseudogley in Germany.

TABLE 3. Grain-size distribution of the profiles

Pro.	Horizon	2000—	1000	500	250—	100	502 μ	< 2 μ
iile		1000 μ	$500 \mu$	$250 \mu$	$100 \mu$	50 μ %	% '	%
		%	%	%	%	%		
	$\mathbf{A}_{0}$						_	
	$\mathbf{A_2}$	4,5	22,9	21,2	18,9	8,2	14,8	9,5
	$\mathbf{B_{2h}}$	0,8	11,7	17,8	20,9	7,2	29,0	12,6
	$\mathbf{B}_{2ir}^-$	0,6	7,1	12,2	18,5	9,5	40,8	11,3
	C	1,0	7,8	12,5	19,0	11,8	37,3	10,6
Ī	$\mathbf{A_1}$	2,6	16,1	36,8	31,4	5,2	6,6	2,2
	$\mathbf{A_2}$	2,0	14,7	37,0	31,7	4,8	7,4	2,4
	$\mathbf{c}^{-}$	2,5	15,2	32,7	29,1	4,5	8,4	7,6
	$\mathbf{B_{2h}}$	3,3	20,3	31,6	25,3	3,5	9,0	7,0
	$\mathbf{B}_{2ir}$	8,9	33,4	29,7	17,2	2,1	5,1	3,6
**	D	13,0	47,6	20,8	6,1	1,2	5,0	6,3
II	$\mathbf{A}_{1}$	0,0	0,6	5,8	65,8	19,9	6,3	1,5
	$\mathbf{A_2}^{\mathbf{r}}$	0,2	0,6	4,1	66,9	22,8	4,3	1,2
	$\mathbf{B_{2h}}^{\mathbf{z}}$	0,1	0,7	6.4	69,3	17,8	3,8	1,9
	$\mathbf{B_{2ir}^{2ir}}$	0,1	0,6	5,4	68,9	18,9	3,7	2,4
	ВĈ	0,1	0,5	4,0	62,7	26,3	4,7	1,7
	C	0,1	0,7	8,1	65,5	21,9	2,2	1,5
<b>v</b>	$\mathbf{A_1}$	0,0	0,4	3,7	49,9	32,4	11,8	1,8
	$\mathbf{A_2}$	0,1	0,2	3,4	48,9	33,6	12,3	1,5
	$\mathbf{B_{2h}}$	0,1	0,4	3,3	49,1	33,2	11,6	2,3
	$\mathbf{B}_{2ir}$	0,1	0,3	3,0	48,1	34,8	11,6	2,1
	C	0,1	0,4	3,5	48,0	34,9	11,2	1,9
7	$A_1$	n.	d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
	${f A_2}$	3,		23,5	56,0	14,3	2,8	0,3
	$\mathbf{B_{2h}}$	1,	3	17,9	59,6	17,5	1,7	2,0
	$\mathbf{B_{2ir}}$	2,	3	19,1	56,8	18,0	2,3	1,5
	C	5,		21,3	43,4	26,0	1,5	2,1
/I	$\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{p}}$	0,4	0,8	1,6	4,1	9,8	74,0	9,3
	$\mathbf{A_2}$	0,2	0,3	0,9	3,0	8,5	77,3	9,8
	$\mathbf{B_1}^-$	0,0	0,1	0,5	2,6	8,4	74,7	13,7
	$\mathbf{B_2}$	0,1	0,0	0,4	1,0	7,5	70,5	20,5
	C	0,1	0,1	0,2	0,9	8,2	72,2	18,3
'II	$A_p$	0,7	5,9	20,9	29,0	7,7	23,2	11,9
	$\mathbf{A_2}$	0,3	2,9	12,9	26,4	9,6	32,0	5,9
	$\mathbf{B_1}$	0,2	2,5	10,6	24,7	10,2	34,6	17,0
	$\mathbf{B_{21}}^{-}$	0,2	2,3	8,8	22,0	10,1	36,1	20,5
	$\mathbf{B_{22}}$	0,2	1,4	6,3	25,6	10,0	33,6	22,9
	D	0,0	0,1	1,3	62,8	12,3	7,8	15,7

Pro-	Horizon	2000—	1000—	500	250—	100—	50—2 μ	< 2 μ	
file		$1000 \mu$	$500 \mu$	$250 \mu$	$100 \mu$	50 μ	% '	%	
		%	%	%	%	%			
VIII	$\mathbf{A_1}$	0,0	0,3	2,0	40,5	34,0	19,1	4,1	
	(B) <sub>1</sub>	0,0	0,1	1,7	40,5	34,8	19,0	3,9	
	$(\mathbf{B})_{3}^{\mathbf{-}}$	0,0	0,1	1,7	41,1	34,1	19,6	3,4	
	С	0,0	0,2	2,2	49,7	33,6	11,9	2,4	$> 2 \mathrm{mm}$
IX	$\mathbf{A}_1$	2,3	14,1	29,2	29,6	4,5	9,2	5,3	5,8
	$(\mathbf{B})_1$	5,3	11,6	25,8	31,3	4,9	8,0	3,8	9,3
	$(\mathbf{B})_{8}$	4,5	15,2	25,2	33,7	5,6	6,6	2,8	6,4
	С	4,6	18,6	28,1	29,2	3,6	5,7	3,0	8,2
X	$\mathbf{A_1}$	1,8	10,7	26,6	33,6	6,6	13,1	4,8	2,8
	$(\mathbf{B})_1$	1,5	9,8	25,1	34,5	5,8	10,9	5,1	7,3
	$(\mathbf{B})_{3}$	1,0	8,2	22,6	37,5	5,8	10,4	4,3	10,2
	C	1,0	14,2	30,9	38,0	3,9	4,5	2,3	5,2
	$\mathbf{D_r}$	6,7	15,5	27,9	38,5	3,3	3,1	1,4	3,6
XI	$\mathbf{A_1}$		0,4	1,0	1,9	4,5	79,9	12,3	
	$A_{28}$	0,4	0,4	0,6	2,0	4,5	82,4	9,7	
	$B_{1g}$	0,1	0,1	0,2	0,9	2,8	80,4	15,5	
	$\mathbf{B_{2g}}$	0,2	0,4	0,6	1,5	2,7	62,7	31,9	
	$\mathbf{B_{3g}}$	0,1	0,3	0,6	1,4	3,0	63,7	30,9	
XII	$\mathbf{A_1}$	1,1	9,1	24,3	17,4	3,4	22,3	22,4	
	$\mathbf{A_{2g}}^{-}$	0,1	1,5	5,4	10,8	4,5	44,1	33,6	
	$\mathbf{B}_{1}\mathbf{g}$	0,2	1,5	6,6	13,4	5,0	41,9	31,4	
	$\mathbf{B_{2g}}$	0,3	0,6	2,1	4,9	2,3	46,6	43,2	
	$\mathbf{B_{3g}}$	1,4	3,0	5,5	10,2	3,6	30,4	45,9	
	$\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{g}}$	1,7	15,9	58,3	18,8	0,3	1,8	3,2	
XIII	$\mathbf{A_1}$	_1	_1	_1	_1	_1	61,9	11,8	
	$\mathbf{A_2}$	0,0	0,2	1,1	5,4	9,4	73,4	10,5	
	$\mathbf{B_{1g}}$	0,0	0,1	1,0	4,9	10,1	73,4	10,5	
	$\mathbf{B_{2g}}$	0,0	0,1	0,8	4,7	10,6	75,8	8,0	
	$\mathbf{B_{3g}}$	0,2	0,3	1,0	5,0	9,3	71,1	13,1	
	$\mathbf{D_g}$	0,2	0,6	9,4	36,0	18,4	26,4	9,0	
XIV	$\mathbf{A_1}$	0,9	0,7	0,8	1,1	5,1	76,3	15,1	
	$\mathbf{A_{2g}}$	0,9	1,4	1,4	2,1	6,4	71,4	16,4	
	$\mathbf{B_{1g}}$	0,9	1,0	0,7	0,8	4,1	74,5	18,0	
	$\mathbf{B_{2g}}$	0,1	0,2	0,2	0,5	3,0	71,1	24,9	
	G	0,9	0,8	0,9	1,6	4,1	75,0	16,7	

<sup>1</sup> Total sand: 26,3 %.

Profile XII. Schandeloo (Limburg). Altitude: 20 m. Flat area. Poorly drained. Vegetation: oak, alder, ferns. Parent material: riverloam (low terrace of the river the Meuse: late glacial).

A<sub>1</sub> 0—10 cm Light brown (10YR6/3) to grey brown (10YR4½/2) fine sandy clay loam. Moderate crumb. Friable. Well-rooted. Clear and wavy on

 $A_{2g}$  10—30 cm Light yellow (5Y6 $^{1/2}$ /3) to grey brown (2.5Y5/2) clay loam. Weak platy. Friable. Few, very faint, fine, rusty mottles. Moderately rooted. Gradual and smooth on

B<sub>1g</sub> 30—46 cm Light grey (2.5Y7/6) to light brown grey (2.5Y5<sup>1/2</sup>/3) clay loam. Moderate blocky. Slightly sticky. Clay and black coatings on structural units. Common, prominent, medium, yellow (10YR7/6) to brownish yellow (10YR5<sup>1/2</sup>/6) mottles. Few roots. Clear and smooth on

B<sub>2 g</sub> 46—65 cm Strong brown (7.5YR5/6) to yellowish red (5YR5/6) silty clay. Strong coarse blocky. Slightly sticky. Many, prominent, medium, light grey (10YR7/2) to grey brown (10YR5/2) mottles. Black coatings on structural units. Gradual and smooth on
 B<sub>3 g</sub> 65—83 cm Light grey (5Y6/1) to olive grey (5Y4<sup>1/2</sup>/2) clay. Weak prismatic. Sticky. Clay coatings on structural units. Vertical reddish yellow (7.5YR6/8) to yellowish red (5YR5/6) streaks (root channels). Clear and smooth on
 D<sub>g</sub> +83 cm Light grey (10YR6<sup>1/2</sup>/1) to grey brown (10YR5/2) sand. Single grain. Loose Rusty.

This profile has an admixture of a younger deposit in the  $A_1$ -horizon, while the  $D_g$ -horizon is not the parent material of the profile. However, horizons  $A_{2g}$ - $B_{3g}$  are formed in the same material.

TABLE 4. Total Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>- and "free Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>"-content of the soil and Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-content of the clay separates of some profiles

Profile	Horizon	Total $Fe_2O_3$	"free Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> "	${\rm Fe_2O_3}$ in clay $\%$
VI	${f A}_2$	2,20	0,85	10,0
	$\mathbf{B_1}^{-}$	2,56	1,07	11,0
	$\mathbf{B_2}$	3,80	1,69	13,8
	$\mathbf{B}_{3}^{-}$	3,78	1,60	13,5
VII	$\mathbf{A_2}$	2,92	1,25	9,09
	$\mathbf{B_1}^{\mathbf{Z}}$	2,80	1,15	8,59
	$\mathbf{B_{21}}$	3,26	1,27	8,60
	$\mathbf{B_{22}^{21}}$	3,97	1,50	9,06
VIII	$\mathbf{A}_1$	0,89	0,40	8,10
	$(\mathbf{B})_1$	0,85	0,39	8,00
	$(B)_3$	0,82	0,33	7,24
	C	0,87	0,33	8,44
IX	$\mathbf{A_1}$	1,68	0,51	9,26
	$(\mathbf{B})_1$	1,69	0,49	10,2
	(B) <sub>3</sub>	1,64	0,46	10,3
	C	1,36	0,39	10,9
X	$\mathbf{A_1}$	1,21	0,53	7,55
	$(\mathbf{B})_1$	1,40	0,69	10,6
	$(B)_3$	1,47	0,60	10,9
	Ċ	1,50	0,60	12,3
	D	1,46	0,54	11,2

Profile XIII. Limbricht (Limburg). Altitude: 35 m. Gently rolling land. Low part. Poorly drained. Parent material: Würm-loess (pleniglacial-B). Vegetation: oak, alder, ferns.

A<sub>1</sub> 0—7 cm Dark grey brown (10YR4/1<sup>1/2</sup>) to very dark brown (10YR2/2) loess. High content of badly decomposed organic matter. Abrupt and wavy on

A<sub>2g</sub> 7-24 cm Grey brown (10YR5/2) to dark grey brown (10YR4/2) loess. Weak crumb. Friable. Many roots. Many, faint, medium, light brown (10YR6/3) to brown (10YR5/3) mottles. Clear and smooth on

B<sub>1 g</sub> 24—29 cm Light yellow (2.5Y8/3) to light brown grey (2.5Y6<sup>t/s</sup>/2) loess. Platy. Friable. Well-rooted. Clear and smooth on

B<sub>2 g</sub> 29—48 cm White (2.5Y8/2) to light yellow (2.5Y7/3) loess. Platy. Sticky. Common, distinct, medium, yellow (10YR7/6) to brownish yellow (10YR6/6) mottles. Few roots. Gradual and smooth on

$B_{3\alpha}$	4886	cm	White (10YR8/1) to light brown grey (2.5Y6/2) loess. Blocky. Sticky. Some
- 6			faint coatings. Many, prominent, coarse, reddish yellow (7.5YR6/8) to strong
			brown (7.5YR5/8) mottles. No roots. Clear and smooth on

D<sub>g</sub> 86—140 cm Light grey (2.5Y6<sup>1/2</sup>/2) to light brown grey (2.5Y6/2) sandy loam. Massive. Sticky. Many, distinct, medium, reddish yellow (7.5YR5<sup>1/2</sup>/6) to strong brown (7.5YR5/6) and fine black iron-manganese mottles. This horizon is not the parent material of the solum.

Profile XIV. Kleeberg (Limburg). Altitude: 130 m. Hilly land. Slope: ± 20 %. Poorly-drained. Parent material: Würm-loess (pleniglacial-B). Vegetation: grass.

$\mathbf{A_1}$	016	cm	Light brown grey (10YR7/2) to dark grey (10YR4 <sup>1/a</sup> /1) loess. Moderate fine blocky. Sticky, Well-rooted. Rusty along roots. Clear and smooth on
$A_{2g}$	1632	cm	Light grey (10YR7/2) to dark grey (10YR4 <sup>1/2</sup> /1) loess. Moderate fine blocky. Sticky. Common, distinct, fine, brownish yellow (10YR6/8) to yellowish-brown
$\mathbf{B}_{1\mathbf{g}}$	32—50	cm	(10YR5/6) mottles. Moderately rooted. Clear and smooth on Light grey (2.5Y7/2) to dark grey (10YR4 <sup>1/2</sup> /1) loess. Moderate fine blocky. Sticky. Common, distinct, fine, brownish yellow (10YR6/8) to yellowish brown
$\mathbf{B_{2g}}$	50—95	cm	(10YR5/6) mottles. Moderately rooted. Clear and smooth on Light yellow (5Y7/3) to greyish green (5G6/1) loess. Prismatic. Sticky. Many, distinct, medium, strong brown (7.5YR5/6) to brown (7.5YR5/3) mottles and
G	+95	cm	concretions. No roots. Clear and smooth on Light grey (5Y7/2) to greyish green (5Y5/1) loess. Massive. Few concretions. Practically constant reduction layer.

TABLE 1 demonstrates, that all profiles are characterized by an, although not very strong, illuviation of clay, a very low pH (except profile XIV), a decrease of the C/N-ratio with depth, an illuviation of iron and aluminium, while iron is again more mobile than aluminium, and finally by a strong illuviation of manganese. They seem to have an intermediate position between the Grey Browns and the Podzols. The analyses of the clay separate lead to the same conclusions. Profile XIV is unlike the other three profiles in that the pH is much higher and that the translocations are less pronounced. Undoubtedly these are the result of the slope, so that the percolation with rainwater is smaller on account of the surface run-off.

Furthermore the analyses show, that there is no difference in soil formation whether the reduction conditions are caused by a high groundwater level (profiles XII and XIII) or by a temporarily saturation of the soil by an impermeable layer (profile XI).

Finally it can be stated that the soil formation process, responsible for the formation of Podzols, Grey Brown Podzolic Soils and Gley-soils, is essentially the same. Soil Survey workers in the Netherlands classify the soils, discussed above, as Low Grey Brown Podzolic Soils, whereas the american literature describes them as Humic Gley or Low Humic Gley Soils. In the new american classification scheme they belong to the Order of the Ultisols, the Suborder of the Aquults and the group of the Umbraquults.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

This investigation was made possible by a grant of the Netherlands Organization for Pure Research (Z.W.O.).

The author wishes to thank Ir. J. M. M. VAN DEN BROEK for his help in providing, sampling and discussing some profiles and Prof. Dr. E. MÜCKENHAUSEN, who showed the profiles of locations in Germany during an excursion in 1959.

# LITERATURE

Allison, L. E.	1935	Soil Sci. 40, 311.
BLOOMFIELD, C.	1953	
<del></del>	1954	
	1955	
	1959	Chem. and Ind. No. 9, 259.
BRYAN, W. H., and	1949	
L. J. H. TEAKLE		
Dев, В. С.	1949	J. Soil Sci. 1, 112.
EDELMAN, C. H.	1960	Kon. Nederl. Akad. Wetensch., Afd. Natuurkunde. 69, No. 6.
FLAIG, W. et al.	1955	Z. Pflern. Düng. Bodenk. N.F. 71 (106), 33.
GALLAGHER, P. H.		Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. 48B, 213.
JACKSON, M. L.	1958	Soil Chemical Analysis. New Jersey.
Jones, H. T., and	1929	J. Soc. Chem. Ind. 48, 304.
J. S. WILCOX	1/2/	3. 50c. Chem. 11th. 40, 504.
KUANG LU CHENG et al.	1953	Anal. Chem. 25, 347.
LAATSCH, W.	1938	Dynamik der deutschen Acker- und Waldböden. Dresden.
Mattson, S.	1931	Soil Sci. 31, 57.
MIDDLETON, K. R.	1961	The Analyst. 86, 111.
PAPE, J. C.	1959	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Puls, F. W. G.	1959	•
SANDELL, E. B.	1959	•
Distributed, E. D.	1939	Londen.
Scheffer, F., and	1960	
B. Ulrich	1900	Hallus and Hallasangung. Dana 1. Stattgart.
and E. WELTE	1050	Z. Pflern. Düng. Bodenk. N.F. 48 (93), 250.
—— et al.	1959	•
Schelling, J.		Landbk. Tijdschr. 71, 737.
SCHLICHTING, E.	1953	
SCHNITZER, M.	1957	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
and W. A. Delong	1957	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
SCHOUWENBURG, J. CH. VAN		•
SCHUFFELEN, A. C. et al.	1961	
	1961	,
SCHUYLENBORGH, J. VAN	1958	
and F. F. E. VAN	1933	Neth. J. agric. Sci. 3, 192.
RUMMELEN	1050	4l. Gl 22 040
SILVERSTEIN, R. M., and	1950	Anal. Chem. 22, 949.
R. PERTHEL	1051	Call Carlo Manual Washington
Soil Survey Staff U.S.A.		Soil Survey Manual, Washington.
		Soil Classification. A comprehensive system. Washington.
STEUR, G. G. L.		Landbk. Tijdschr. 71, 744.
Stevenson, F. J.	1959	
TAN, K. H., and J. VAN	1959	Neth. J. agric. Sci. 7, 1.
SCHUYLENBORGH		
<del></del>		Neth. J. agric. Sci. 9, 41.
		Neth. J. agric. Sci. 9, 174.
Tavernier, R., and	1957	Advances of Agronomy. IX, 217.
G. D. SMITH		
WEISLER, A.	1945	Ind. Eng. Chem. Anal. ed. 17, 775.